## SIMON M. SALAMON (\*)

# The twistor transform of a Verlinde formula (\*\*)

#### 1 - Introduction

Let  $\Sigma$  be a compact Riemann surface of genus g. The moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_g=\mathfrak{M}_g(2,1)$  of stable rank 2 holomorphic bundles over  $\Sigma$  with fixed determinant bundle of degree 1 is a smooth complex (3g-3)-dimensional manifold [25]. The anticanonical bundle of M is the square of a holomorphic line bundle L, some power of which embeds  $\mathfrak{M}_g$  into a projective space. The dimensions of the vector spaces  $H^0(\mathfrak{M}_g, \mathcal{O}(L^{m-1}))$  of holomorphic sections of powers of L are known to be independent of the choice of complex structure on  $\Sigma$ , and are given by the formula

(1.1) 
$$h^{0}(\mathfrak{M}_{g}, \mathcal{O}(L^{m-1})) = -m^{g-1} \sum_{i=1}^{2m-1} (-1)^{i} \csc^{2g-2} \left( \frac{i\pi}{2m} \right)$$

predicted by Verlinde [29]. This is closely related to the structure of the cohomology ring of  $\mathcal{M}_g$ , and a number of independent proofs and generalizations of (1.1) are now known. Below we shall follow closely the approach of Szenes [27].

In the case in which  $\Sigma$  is a hyperelliptic surface, and is therefore a 2-fold branched covering of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ , Desale and Ramanan [9] exhibit  $\mathcal{M}_g$  as a complex submanifold of the flag manifold  $\mathcal{F}_g = SO(2g+2)/(U(g-1)\times SO(4))$ . As explained in [27] this reduces verification of (1.1) to certain SO(2g+2)-equivariant calculations. Our contribution is to observe that  $\mathcal{F}_g$  is the twistor space of the real oriented Grassmannian  $\mathcal{G}_g = SO(2g+2)/(SO(2g-2)\times SO(4))$  in the sense of [7], [8] for all  $g \geq 3$ . This enables us to relate the cohomology of the symmetric space  $\mathcal{G}_g$  directly to the coholomogy of  $\mathcal{M}_g$ , and we obtain a set of

<sup>(\*)</sup> Math. Inst., Univ. Oxford, 24-29 St. Giles, Oxford OX1 3LB, UK.

<sup>(\*\*)</sup> Received January 9, 1995. AMS classification 14 D 20.

generators for the latter which may be compared to the universal ones described in [21], [28], [10]. As a feasibility study, we illustrate the theory in the present paper for the case g = 3 which is worthy of special attention since the fibration  $\mathcal{F}_3 \to \mathcal{G}_3$  encapsulates the quaternionic structure of the base space in a manner first identified by Wolf [31].

In Section 2 we investigate the cohomology of  $\mathfrak{G}_3=SO(8)/(SO(4)\times SO(4))$ . Using its quaternionic spin structure, we prove that the odd Pontrjagin classes of  $\mathfrak{G}_3$  vanish, and that its  $\widehat{A}$  class simplifies remarkably. In the third section we recover Ramanan's description [23] of the Chern ring of  $\mathfrak{M}_3$  in the context of the natural mapping  $\mathfrak{M}_3 \to \mathfrak{G}_3$ , enabling  $h^0(\mathfrak{M}_3, L^{m-1})$  to be computed rapidly. Whilst this provides only a particularly simple instance of (1.1), results of the fourth section identify  $H^0(\mathfrak{M}_3, L^k)$  with a virtual representation of SO(8) that also arises from the kernels of coupled Dirac operators on  $\mathfrak{G}_3$ . Similar techniques can in theory be applied to higher genus cases, and formulae such as  $p_1^g=0$  on  $\mathfrak{M}_g$  [28], [16] may be expected to interact with properties of  $\mathfrak{G}_g$  such as the constancy of the elliptic genera considered in [30], [15].

## 2 - Grassmannian cohomology

From now on we denote by g the Grassmannian

(2.1) 
$$g_3 = \frac{SO(8)}{SO(4) \times SO(4)}$$

that parametrizes real oriented 4-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^8$ . Let W denote the tautological real rank 4 vector bundle over  $\mathcal{G}$ , and  $W^{\perp}$  its orthogonal complement in the trivial bundle over  $\mathcal{G}$  with fibre  $\mathbb{R}^8$ . The bundles W and  $W^{\perp}$  arise from the standard representations of the two SO(4) factors constituting the isotropy subgroup in (2.1), and it follows that

$$(2.2) T\mathfrak{S} \simeq W \otimes W^{\perp}.$$

The SO(8)-invariant Riemannian metric on  $\mathcal{G}$  determines an isomorphism  $W \simeq W^*$  of vector bundles.

The decomposition (2.2) may be refined by lifting the SO(4) structure of W to  $Spin(4) \simeq SU(2) \times SU(2)$  on a suitable open dense subset  $\mathcal{G}'$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ . This procedure is one that is familiar from the study of Riemannian 4-manifolds, and

$$W_C \simeq U \otimes_C V$$

where U and V are each complex rank 2 vector bundles over  $\mathcal{G}'$ . The resulting isomorphism

$$(2.3) (T\mathfrak{S})_C \simeq U \otimes (V \otimes W_C^{\perp})$$

reflects the fact that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a quaternion-Kähler manifold [31], [24]. In (2.3), U may be thought of as a quaternionic line bundle (usually called H), and its cofactor  $V \otimes W_c^{\perp}$  (usually called E) has structure group  $SU(2) \times SO(4)$  extending to Sp(4).

The Betti numbers of a quaternion-Kähler 4n-manifold of positive scalar curvature satisfy  $b_{2k+1} = 0$  for all k and  $b_{2k-4} \le b_{2k}$  for  $k \le n+1$ . They are also subject to the linear constraint of [17] which for n=4 takes the form

$$3(b_2 + b_4) = 1 + b_6 + 2b_8$$
.

This is well illustrated by G, which has Poincaré polynomial

$$P_t(\mathfrak{S}) = 1 + 3t^4 + 4t^8 + 3t^{12} + t^{16},$$

and is the only real Grassmannian to have  $b_4 > 2$ . (These facts may be deduced from [12], chapter XI). We shall in fact only be concerned with the subring generated by the Euler class e = e(W) and the first Pontrjagin class  $f = p_1(W)$ .

Although the classes e and f are very natural, it will ultimately be more convenient to consider

$$u = -c_2(U)$$
  $v = -c_2(V)$ .

Because of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -ambiguity in the definition U, V, the classes u, v are not integral, but the symmetric products  $\bigcirc^2 U$ ,  $\bigcirc^2 V$  are globally defined so 4u, 4v belong to  $H^4(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Z})$ . If we write formally  $4u = l^2$  then

(2.4) 
$$\operatorname{ch}(U) = e^{\frac{l}{2}} + e^{-\frac{l}{2}} = 2 + u + \frac{1}{12}u^2 + \frac{1}{360}u^3 + \frac{1}{20160}u^4.$$

The class l is given geometrical significance by the splitting (3.2). An analogous expression to (2.4) holds for  $\operatorname{ch}(V)$ , and from  $\operatorname{ch}(W_C) = \operatorname{ch}(U)\operatorname{ch}(V)$ , we obtain

(2.5) 
$$e = u - v$$
  $f = 2(u + v)$ .

We may add that  $p_2(W) = c_4(W_C) = (u-v)^2$  confirming the well-known relation

$$(2.6) p_2(W) = e^2.$$

Moreover, the space  $H^4(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{Z})$  is generated by e, f together with  $e(W^{\perp})$  [19].

Proposition 1. Evaluation on the fundamental cycle [9] yields

$$e^4 = 2 = e^2 f^2$$
  $e^3 f = 0 = e f^3$   $f^4 = 4$   $u^4 = \frac{21}{64} = v^4$   $u^3 v = -\frac{7}{64} = u v^3$   $u^2 v^2 = \frac{5}{64}$ .

We shall deduce these Schubert-type relations from a description of the total Pontrjagin class and the  $\hat{A}$  class

$$P(T\mathfrak{S}) = 1 + P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4$$

$$\hat{A}(T\mathcal{G}) = 1 + \hat{A}_1 + \hat{A}_2 + \hat{A}_3 + \hat{A}_4$$

of the tangent bundle (2. 2) of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . (Upper case  $P_i$ 's are used to prevent a future clash of notation.) The classes  $\hat{A}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 4$  are determined in terms of the  $P_i$  in the usual way [14], and

Proposition 2. 
$$P_1 = 0 = P_3$$
 and  $\hat{A}(g) = 1 - \frac{1}{240} f^2$ .

Proof of both Propositions. It is easy to check that, in the presence of (2.5), the two sets of equations of Proposition 1 are equivalent. The equalities  $u^4 = v^4$  and  $u^3v = uv^3$  are immediate from the symmetry between U and V, and these are equivalent to  $e^3f = 0 = ef^3$ . Using (2.6), we have

$$ch(W_C) = 4 + f + \frac{1}{12} (-2e^2 + f^2) 
+ \frac{1}{360} (-3e^2f + f^3) + \frac{1}{20160} (2e^4 - 4e^2f^2 + f^4).$$

From (2.2) and (2.7)

$$\begin{split} \cosh{(T\mathfrak{S})_C} &= (\cosh{W_C})(8-\cosh{W_C}) \\ &= 16-f^2+\frac{1}{6}\left(2e^2f-f^3\right)+\frac{1}{720}\left(-20e^4+32e^2f^2-9f^4\right). \end{split}$$

In particular  $P_1 = 0$ , and so we also have

(2.9) 
$$\operatorname{ch}(T\mathfrak{S})_{\mathcal{C}} = 16 - \frac{1}{6} P_2 + \frac{1}{120} P_3 + \frac{1}{10080} (P_2^2 - 2P_4).$$

Comparing (2.8) and (2.9) gives

$$(2.10) \quad P_2 = 6f^2 \qquad P_3 = 20(2e^2f - f^3) \qquad P_4 = 140e^4 - 224e^2f^2 + 81f^4 \; .$$

The remainder of the proof is based on the following less obvious facts.

i.  $\mathcal{G}$  is a spin manifold (see forward to (4.1)) carrying a metric of positive scalar curvature. Therefore its  $\widehat{A}$  genus

$$(2.11) \qquad \widehat{A}_4 = \frac{1}{2^{16} 3^4 5^2 7} \left(762 P_1^4 - 1808 P_1^2 P_2 + 416 P_2^2 + 1024 P_1 P_3 - 384 P_4\right)$$

vanishes. Thus

$$(2.12) 0 = 416(6f)^2 - 384(140e^4 - 224e^2f^2 + 81f^4) = 5376(-10e^4 + 16e^2f^2 - 3f^4).$$

ii. The dimension d of the isometry group of any quaternion-Kähler 16-manifold with positive scalar curvature is given by  $d = 7 - \frac{8}{3} P_1 u^3 + 64 u^4$ , [24], p. 170. In the present case,  $d = \dim SO(8) = 28$  and we obtain

(2.13) 
$$21 = 64u^4 = \frac{1}{4} \left( 16e^4 + 24e^2f^2 + f^4 \right).$$

iii. On any compact quaternion-Kähler 4n-manifold M with positive scalar curvature and n > 2, the index  $\widehat{A}(M, \bigcirc^2 U) = \langle \operatorname{ch}(\bigcirc^2 U) \widehat{A}, [M] \rangle$  vanishes; this is a consequence of [24], Corollary 6.7 which is explained in [17]. Given that

$$\operatorname{ch}(\odot^2 U) = 3 + 4u + \frac{4}{3}u^2 + \frac{8}{45}u^3 + \frac{4}{315}u^4$$

$$\hat{A} = 1 - \frac{1}{24}P_1 - \frac{1}{2^53^25}P_2 - \frac{1}{2^63^35^17}P_3 = 1 - \frac{1}{240}f^2 + \frac{1}{1008}(2e^2f - f^3)$$

and  $u = \frac{1}{4}(2e + f)$ , it follows that

$$(2.14) 24e^4 - 26e^2f^2 + f^4 = 0.$$

Proposition 1 now follows from (2.12), (2.13), (2.14), and it only remains to prove that  $P_3 = 0$ . Because of the symmetry between W and  $W^{\perp}$ , it suffices to prove that  $P_3 e = 0 = P_3 f$ , but this follows from (2.10) and Proposition 1.

Remark. The vanishing of  $\hat{A}_4$  and (2.12) above is in fact equivalent to the vanishing of the index  $\hat{A}(M,T)$  of the Dirac operator coupled to the tangent bundle (see (4.2)), essentially the so-called Rarita-Schwinger operator. This index is known to be equivariantly constant on any spin manifold with  $S^1$  action [30], and always vanishes in the homogeneous setting [15].

### 3 - The flag manifold and moduli space

We denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the complex 9-dimensional homogeneous space

(3.1) 
$$\mathcal{F}_3 = \frac{SO(8)}{U(2) \times SO(4)}$$

that parametrizes complex 2-dimensional subspaces  $\Pi$  of  $\mathbb{C}^8$  that are isotropic with respect to a standard SO(8)-invariant bilinear from. It has a complex contact structure that was studied in [31] and exhibits it as the twistor space of  $\mathcal{G}$  in the sense of [24]. Projecting  $\Pi$  to a real 4-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^8$  determines an SO(8)-equivariant mapping  $\pi\colon\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{G}$  and each fibre of  $\pi$  is isomorphic to SO(4)/U(2) and defines a rational curve in the complex manifold  $\mathcal{F}$ .

From standard facts about twistor spaces [6], [24], [22], one knows that  $Pic(\mathcal{T})$  is generated by a holomorphic line bundle L on  $\mathcal{T}$  such that

- i. the restriction of L to each fibre  $\pi^{-1}(x) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1$  equals  $\mathcal{O}(2)$
- ii.  $L^5$  is isomorphic to the anticanonical bundle  $\kappa^{-1}$  of  $\mathcal{F}\!\!.$

The line bundle L admits a square root over an open set  $\mathcal{G}'$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  on which U and V are defined, there is a  $C^{\infty}$  isomorphism

(3.2) 
$$\pi^* U \simeq L^{1/2} \oplus L^{-1/2}.$$

Let l denote the fundamental class  $c_1(L)$  in  $H^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{Z})$ . From the Leray-Hirsch theorem, there is an identity  $(\frac{l}{2})^2 + \pi^* c_2(U) = 0$  of real cohomology classes. In terms of integral classes and omitting  $\pi^*$ 

$$(3.3) l^2 = 4u.$$

In the notation of the Introduction, let  $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}_3$ . Szenes exhibits the latter as the zero set of a non-degenerate holomorphic section  $s\in H^0(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{O}(\sigma^*))$ , where  $\sigma=\bigcirc^2\tau$  and  $\tau$  denotes the tautological rank 2 complex vector bundle acquired from the embedding  $\mathcal{F}\subset Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^8)$ . (Such a Section s corresponds to a quadratic form on  $\mathbb{C}^8$ , but we shall not mention this again until the end of Section 4.) From the coset description (3.1), it follows that

$$\tau \simeq L^{-1/2} \otimes \pi^* V$$

the right-hand side is well defined on  $\mathcal{F}$ , even though the individual factors only make sense locally (for example on  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{G}')$ ). Since  $V \simeq V^*$ , we have  $\sigma^* \simeq L \otimes \pi^* \odot^2 V$ . The resulting holomorphic structure on  $\pi^* \odot^2 V$  coincides with that induced in a standard way from the fact that  $\odot^2 V$  has a self-dual connection on the quaternion-Kähler manifold  $\mathcal{G}$ , in the sense of [18]. In particular,  $\pi^* \odot^2 V$  is trivial over each fibre  $\pi^{-1}(x) \simeq \mathbf{CP}^1$ . From now on we shall write  $\odot^2 V$  in place of  $\pi^* \odot^2 V$ , and often omit tensor product signs.

The cohomology classes l, u, v may be pulled back from both  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{M}$ , and we shall denote the resulting elements of  $H^i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{R})$  by the same symbols.

Proposition 3. On  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,  $3u^2 + 10uv + 3v^2 = 0$ , and evaluation on  $[\mathfrak{M}]$  yields

$$u^3 = \frac{7}{2} = -v^3$$
,  $uv^2 = \frac{3}{2} = -u^2v$ .

Proof. The submanifold  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is Poincaré dual to the Euler class  $c_3(\sigma^*)$ , which is readily computed from the formula  $\mathrm{ch}(\sigma^*) = e^l \, \mathrm{ch}(\odot^2 V)$  (see (3.4)) and equals 4l(u-v). Then, for example,

$$\left\langle u^3,[\mathfrak{M}]\right\rangle = \left\langle u^3c_3(\sigma^*),[\mathcal{F}]\right\rangle = \left\langle 4l(u^4-u^3v),[\mathcal{F}]\right\rangle = 8\left\langle u^4-u^3v,[\mathcal{G}]\right\rangle = \frac{7}{2}\;,$$

the last equality from Proposition 1. The evaluation of  $u^2v$ ,  $uv^2$  and  $v^3$  follows in exactly the same way.

Since  $H^8(\mathfrak{M}, \mathbf{R}) = H^4(\mathfrak{M}, \mathbf{R})$  is 2-dimensional [20], there must be a non-trivial linear relation  $au^2 + buv + cv^2 = 0$ . The solution  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{b} = \frac{3}{10}$  can be found by multiplying the left-hand side by u and v in turn.

The next result gives an independent derivation of the characteristic ring in the context of the twistor fibration  $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ .

Proposition 4. The Chern and Pontrjagin classes of M are given by

$$c_1=2l$$
  $c_2=4(3u+v)$   $c_3=8lu$   $c_4=-\frac{112}{3}uv$   $c_5=c_6=0$  
$$p_1=-8(u+v)$$
  $p_2=\frac{3}{8}p_1^2$   $p_3=0$ .

Proof. It is known [24] that the fibration  $\pi$  gives a  $C^{\infty}$  splitting of the holomorphic tangent bundle of  $\mathcal{F}: T^{1,0}\mathcal{F} \simeq L \oplus L^{1/2}(V \otimes W_C^{\perp})$ .

Combining this with the isomorphism

$$T^{1,0}\mathcal{F}|_{\mathfrak{M}} \simeq T^{1,0}\mathcal{M} \oplus (L \odot^2 V)|_{\mathfrak{M}},$$

we obtain  $\operatorname{ch}(T^{1,\,0}\mathfrak{M}) = e^l + e^{\frac{l}{2}}\operatorname{ch}(VW_C^{\perp}) - e^l\operatorname{ch}(\odot^2V)$ =  $e^l(1 + e^{-\frac{l}{2}}\operatorname{ch}V(8 - \operatorname{ch}W_C) - \operatorname{ch}(\odot^2V))$ .

This yields the required expressions for  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$ . We also get  $c_4 = 28(u+v)^2$  which reduces to  $-\frac{112}{3}uv$  from Proposition 3. We next obtain  $c_5 = -32 lv(u+v)$ , so that  $c_5 l = 0$  and the vanishing of  $c_5$  follows from the fact that  $H^2(\mathfrak{M}, \mathbf{R})$  is 1-dimensional [20]. Finally, all these equalities combine to yield

$$c_6 = \frac{1}{3} (504u^3 + 2824u^2v + 1928uv^2 + 120v^3),$$

and Proposition 3 implies that  $c_6 = 0$ . The Pontrjagin classes  $p_i$  of  $\mathfrak{M}$  are now determined from the Chern classes by the usual relations.

Remark. The cohomology ring and Chern classes of  $\mathfrak{M}$  were computed in [23], Theorem 4, and comparison with that shows that

$$h = l \qquad \qquad v = \frac{1}{2} \left( 3u + v \right).$$

In general, it is known that the total Pontrjagin class of  $\mathcal{M}_g$  equals  $(1+\frac{1}{2g-2}\;p_1)^{2g-2}$  [21]. Moreover,  $p_1^g=0$  [16], [28] and  $c_i=0$  if i>2g-2 [11].

The above enable the dimension  $d_k$  of  $H^0(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O}(L^k))$  to be computed quickly. For this purpose it is convenient to set  $k = m - 1 \ge 0$ .

Theorem 1. 
$$d_{m-1} = \frac{1}{45} m^2 (11 + 20m^2 + 14m^4)$$
.

Proof. Given that  $c_1(T^{1,0}\mathcal{F})=2l$ , the Todd class  $\operatorname{td}(T^{1,0}\mathcal{M})$  equals

$$e^{l} \hat{A}(T\mathfrak{M}) = e^{l} [1 - \frac{1}{24} \, p_1 + \frac{1}{2^7 3^2 5} \, (7 p_1^2 - 4 p_2)] \, .$$

Using Propositions 3, 4 and the Riemann-Roch theorem, we obtain

$$d_{m-1} = \left\langle e^{ml} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3} \left( u + v \right) - \frac{11}{135} uv \right), [\mathfrak{M}] \right\rangle$$

$$= -\frac{22}{135} m^2 u^2 v + \frac{2}{9} m^4 (u^3 + u^2 v) + \frac{4}{45} m^6 u^3$$

and the result follows.

#### 4 - Equivariant indexes

In this section, we begin by considering the Dirac operator over the Grassmannian  $\mathcal{G}$ . Recall from (2.3) that the quaternionic structure of  $\mathcal{G}$  is characterized by the vector bundles H=U and  $E\simeq VW_C$  (juxtaposition denotes tensor product). For  $p\leqslant 4$ , the exterior power  $\wedge^p E$  contains a proper subbundle  $\wedge_0^p E$  with the property that  $\wedge^p E=\wedge_0^p E\oplus \wedge^{p-2} E$  and, as described in [4], the total spin bundle  $\Delta$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  decomposes as  $\Delta_+\oplus\Delta_-$  where

$$(4.1) \Delta_{+} \simeq \bigcirc^{4} U \oplus \bigcirc^{2} U \wedge_{0}^{2} E \oplus \wedge_{0}^{4} E \Delta_{-} \simeq \bigcirc^{3} U E \oplus U \wedge_{0}^{3} E.$$

The fact that all the summands on the right-hand side are globally defined confirms that  $\mathcal{G}$  is spin, though we shall not in fact need the decompositions (4.1).

Now let X be any other complex vector bundle over  $\mathcal{G}$ . The choice of a connection on X allows one to extend the Dirac operator on  $\mathcal{G}$  to an elliptic operator

$$D_Y:\Gamma(\Delta_+X)\to\Gamma(\Delta_-X)$$
.

The index of this coupled Dirac operator is by definition dim  $(\ker D_X)$  – dim  $(\operatorname{coker} D_X)$ . This extends to a homomorphism  $K(\mathfrak{S}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ , so that the index of  $D_X$  is also defined when X is a virtual vector bundle. The Atiyah-Singer index theorem [3] asserts that the index of  $D_X$  equals

$$\widehat{A}(\mathcal{G}, X) = \langle \operatorname{ch}(X)\widehat{A}(T\mathcal{G}), [\mathcal{G}] \rangle.$$

In our situation, this fact is closely related to the Riemann-Roch theorem on  $\mathcal{F}$  which provides the following interpretation of  $d_k$ .

Theorem 2. Let  $X_k = \bigcirc^{2k+4} U - \bigcirc^{2k+2} U \bigcirc^2 V + \bigcirc^{2k} U \bigcirc^2 V - \bigcirc^{2k-2} U$ ,  $k \ge 1$ . Then  $d_k = \hat{A}(\mathcal{G}, X_k)$ .

Proof. Let  $\sigma$  denote the rank 3 vector bundle  $\bigcirc^2 \tau$  as above, and let (k) denote the operation of tensoring with  $L^k$ . The description of  $\mathfrak{M}$  as the zero set of a section of  $\sigma^* \simeq \bigcirc^2 V(1)$  provides a Koszul complex

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(\wedge^3 \sigma(k)) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(\wedge^2 \sigma(k)) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(\sigma(k)) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(k) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \to 0$$

or equivalently,

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(k-3) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(\bigcirc^2 V(k-2)) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(\bigcirc^2 V(k-1)) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(k) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(k) \to 0 \; .$$

It follows that

(4.3) 
$$\chi(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{O}(k)) = a_k - b_{k-1} + b_{k-2} - a_{k-3}$$

where

$$(4.4) a_k = \chi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}(k)) b_k = \chi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}(\bigcirc^2 V(k))).$$

These holomorphic Euler characteristics may be computed using the Riemann-Roch theorem and the cohomological version [24], (7.2) of the twistor transform; the result is

$$(4.5) a_k = \widehat{A}(\mathcal{G}, \odot^{2k+4}U) b_k = \widehat{A}(\mathcal{G}, \odot^{2k+4}U \odot^2V).$$

Finally, Proposition 4 implies that the canonical bundle  $\kappa(\mathfrak{M})$  is isomorphic to  $L^{-2}$ , so by Serre duality and Kodaira vanishing,  $H^i(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O}(k)) = 0$  for all  $i \ge 1$  and  $k \ge -1$ . In particular,  $\chi(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O}(k)) = \dim H^0(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{O}(k))$  for all  $k \ge -1$ , and the theorem now follows from (4.3).

The isometry group SO(8) of  $\mathfrak{S}$  acts naturally on the cohomology groups over  $\mathfrak{F}$  of the sheaves  $\mathfrak{O}(k)$ ,  $\mathfrak{O}(\odot^2V(k))$  considered above. The integers  $a_k$ ,  $b_k$  and

$$d_k = a_k - b_{k-1} + b_{k-2} - a_{k-3}$$

are therefore the dimensions of certain virtual SO(8)-modules, and we identify these shortly.

Let  $V(\gamma)$  denote the complex irreducible representation of SO(8) with dominant weight  $\gamma$ , where  $\gamma = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4)$  with  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_3 \ge \lambda_4 \ge 0$ . We adopt standard coordinates so that  $V(1, 0, 0, 0) = C^8$  is the fundamental representation, and  $V(1, 1, 0, 0) = \mathfrak{So}(8, C)$  is the complexified adjoint representation.

Proposition 5. Let  $A_k = V(k, k, 0, 0)$  and  $B_k = V(k+1, k-1, 0, 0)$ . Then  $a_k = \dim A_k$  and  $b_k = \dim B_k$ .

Proof. The Weyl dimension formula states that

dim 
$$(V(\gamma)) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{\langle \alpha, d + \gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha, d \rangle}$$

where  $R_+$  denotes the set of positive roots and d is half of their sum. With the above coordinates,

$$R_{+} = \{(1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 1), (0, 0, 1, 1), (1, -1, 0, 0), (1, 0, -1, 0), (1, 0, 0, -1), (0, 1, -1, 0), (0, 1, 0, -1), (0, 0, 1, -1)\}$$

$$d = (3, 2, 1, 0) \text{ and we obtain}$$

$$\dim A_k = \frac{1}{4320} (k+1)(k+2)^3 (2k+5)(k+3)^3 (k+4)$$

$$\dim B_k = \frac{1}{1440} k(k+1)^2 (k+2)(2k+5)(k+3)(k+4)^2 (k+5).$$

We claim that the right-hand sides are equal to  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  respectively. It follows from (4.4) that  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  are polynomials in k of degree 9, and by Serre duality,

$$(4.6) a_{-k} = -a_{k-5} b_{-k} = -b_{k-5} k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By (4.6) and suitable vanishing theorems [5],  $a_k=0=b_k$  for  $k=-4, -3, -\frac{5}{2}, -2, -1$ . In addition,  $\mathcal F$  has Todd genus  $a_0=1=-a_{-5}$ , and  $b_0=0=b_{-5}$ . Accordingly,

$$a_k = \frac{1}{4320} (k+1)(k+2)(2k+5)(k+3)(k+4) \widetilde{a}_k$$

$$b_k = \frac{1}{1440} k(k+1)(k+2)(2k+5)(k+3)(k+4)(k+5) \widetilde{b}_k$$

where  $\widetilde{a}_k$  is a quartic polynomial in k with  $\widetilde{a}_0 = 36$  and  $\widetilde{b}_k$  is quadratic in k.

Let n = 2k + 4. The formulae (4.5) involve  $ch(\bigcirc^n U) = f(n)$ , where

(4.7) 
$$f(x) = \frac{e^{(x+1)\frac{l}{2}} - e^{-(x+1)\frac{l}{2}}}{e^{\frac{l}{2}} - e^{-\frac{l}{2}}}$$

(see (3.2)). To evade an explicit calculation of  $\operatorname{ch}(\odot^n U)$ , we exploit the following formulae which are easily deduced from (4.7).

Lemma. 
$$f'(0) = \frac{\frac{l}{2}}{\tanh \frac{l}{2}}$$
,  $f''(0) = u$ .

The right-hand side of the first equation is the series used in the definition of Hirzebruch's L-genus, and using (3.3) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d}{dn}\Big|_{n=0} \operatorname{ch}(\bigcirc^n U) = 1 - \sum_{j\geq 1} (-1)^j \frac{2^{2j} B_j}{(2j)!} u^{2j}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} u - \frac{1}{45} u^2 + \frac{2}{945} u^3 - \frac{1}{4725} u^4\right)$$

where  $B_i$  are the Bernoulli numbers [14]. From above, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dk}\Big|_{k=-2}a_k=\frac{1}{270}\left(u^4+2u^3v+u^2v^2\right)-\frac{1}{4725}u^4=0=\frac{d^2}{dk^2}\Big|_{k=-2}a_k.$$

It follows that  $\tilde{a}_k$  is divisible by  $(k+2)^2$ , and by Serre duality by  $(k+3)^2$ . We obtain  $\tilde{a}_k = (k+2)^2(k+3)^2$ . The identification  $\tilde{b}_k = (k+1)(k+4)$  is similar, and proceeds using a less-enlightening version of the previous Lemma; we omit the details.

The following table displays some of the above dimension functions in terms of k.

k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$a_k$	1	28	300	1925	8918	32928	102816	282150	698775
$b_k$	0	35	567	4312	21840	85050	274890	772464	1945944
$d_k$	1	28	265	1392	5145	15100	37681	83392	168273

Applying Serre duality and Kodaira vanishing over  $\mathcal{F}$ , recalling that  $\kappa(\mathcal{F}) \simeq L^{-5}$ , one shows that there is in fact an SO(8)-equivariant isomorphism  $A_k \simeq H^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}(k))$ . In particular,  $A_1$  may be identified with both the space of holomorphic sections of L and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{So}(8, \mathbb{C})$  of infinitesimal automorphisms of the contact structure of  $\mathcal{F}$ . There is an associated moment mapping  $\mathcal{F} \to \mathbf{P}(\mathfrak{So}(8, \mathbb{C})^*) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^{27}$  that identifies  $\mathcal{F}$  with the projectivization of the nilpotent orbit of minimal dimension [26]. Accordingly, the SO(8)-equivariant linear mapping

$$\phi_k: \bigcirc^k(H^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}(1))) \to H^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}(k))$$

is onto for all  $k \ge 1$ . Indeed,  $A_k$  is the irreducible summand of  $\bigcirc^k A_1$  of highest weight, and it suffices to show that the restriction of  $\phi_k$  to  $A_k$  is an isomorphism. Observe that  $A_k$  contains a decomposable tensor product  $\xi^{\otimes k}$  for some non-zero  $\xi \in A_1$  and  $\phi_k(\xi^{\otimes k})$ , being the k-th power of  $\xi$  regarded as a section of L, is also non-zero. The irreducibility of  $A_k$  and Schur's lemma establishes the claim.

A similar argument can be given to establish an SO(8)-equivariant isomorphism  $B_k \simeq H^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}(\odot^2V(k)))$ , given that  $H^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}(\odot^2V(k)))$  vanishes for all i > 0 and  $k \ge 0$ . One considers the mapping

$$\psi_k: H^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}(\bigcirc^2 V(1))) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}(k-1)) \to H^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}(\bigcirc^2 V(k)))$$

in which  $H^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}(\bigcirc^2V(1)))$  is isomorphic to the irreducible 35-dimensional SO(8)-module  $\bigcirc_0^2C^8$  with highest weight (2, 0, 0, 0). The irreducible summand of highest weight in the tensor product is isomorphic to  $B_k$  and the restriction of  $\psi_k$  to this is an isomorphism.

The above arguments can be streamlined by applying more sophisticated twistor transform machinery contained, for example, in [5]. In particular,  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  are known to be isomorphic to the respective kernels of natural twistor operators

$$\alpha_k: \odot^{2k}U \to E \ \odot^{2k+1}U \qquad \qquad \beta_k: \odot^{2k}U \ \odot^2V \to E \ \odot^{2k+1}U \ \odot^2V.$$

Recall that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is the zero set of an element s of the space  $B_1 = \bigcirc_0^2 \mathbb{C}^8$ . For suitable hyperelliptic surfaces  $\Sigma$ , the section s will be a real element; at each point of  $\mathfrak{G}$  it then defines a section of  $\bigcirc^2(W \oplus W^{\perp})$ , which is a trivial bundle with fibre  $\mathbb{R}^8$  (see (2.1)). In these terms the element  $\widetilde{s} \in \ker \beta_1$  determined by s

is essentially the image of s by the homomorphism

$$\bigcirc^2 (W \oplus W^{\perp})_C \rightarrow \bigcirc^2 W_C \rightarrow \bigcirc^2 U \bigcirc^2 V \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(\bigcirc^2 V, \bigcirc^2 U).$$

This may be used to describe  $\mathfrak{M}$  as a branched cover of a real subvariety of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . The Horrocks instanton bundle over  $\mathbb{CP}^5$  discussed at the end of [18] provides an analogous situation in which a geometric object is defined by a non-degenerate solution of a twistor equation over a homogeneous space. Such situations are worthy of more systematic investigation.

#### References

- [1] M. F. ATIYAH and R. BOTT, Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London 308 (1982), 523-615.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin and I. M. Singer, Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. 362 (1978), 425-461.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, The index theory of elliptic operators III, Ann. Math. 87 (1968), 546-604.
- [4] R. Barker and S. M. Salamon, Analysis on a generalized Heisenberg group, J. London Math. Soc. 28 (1983), 184-192.
- [5] R. J. Baston and M. G. Eastwood, The Penrose transform: its interaction with representation theory, Oxford Univ. Press 1989.
- [6] A. Besse, Einstein manifolds, Springer, Berlin 1987.
- [7] R. L. BRYANT, Lie groups and twistor spaces, Duke Math. J. 52 (1985), 223-261.
- [8] F. E. Burstall and J. H. Rawnsley, Twistor theory for Riemannian symmetric spaces, Lect. Notes Math. 1424, Springer, Berlin 1990.
- [9] U. V. DESALE and S. RAMANAN, Classification of vector bundles of rank 2 over hyperelliptic curves, Invent. Math. 38 (1976), 161-185.
- [10] S. K. Donaldson, Gluing techniques in the cohomology of moduli spaces, Topological Methods in Modern Mathematics, Publish or Perish, Boston 1993.
- [11] D. Gieseker, A degeneration of the moduli space of stable bundles, J. Diff. Geometry 19 (1984), 173-206.
- [12] W. GREUB, S. HALPERIN and R. VANSTONE, Curvature, connections and characteristic classes, Vol. 3, Academic Press, Boston 1976.
- [13] G. HARDER and M. S. NARASIMHAN, On the cohomology groups of moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves, Math. Ann. 212 (1978), 215-248.
- [14] F. Hirzebruch, Topological methods in algebraic geometry, Springer, Berlin 1966.

- [15] F. HIRZEBRUCH and P. SLADOWY, Ellipic genera, involutions, and homogeneous spin manifolds, Geom. Dedicata 35 (1990), 309-343.
- [16] F. C. Kirwan, The cohomology rings of moduli spaces of bundles over Riemann surfaces, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1992), 853-906.
- [17] C. R. LEBRUN and S. M. SALAMON, Strong rigidity of positive quaternion-Kähler manifolds, Invent. Math. 118 (1994), 109-132.
- [18] M. MAMONE CAPRIA and S. SALAMON, Yang-Mills fields on quaternionic spaces, Nonlinearity 1 (1988), 517-530.
- [19] J. W. MILNOR and J. D. STASHEFF, *Characteristic classes*, Annals of Math. Studies 76, Princeton Univ. Press 1974.
- [20] P. E. Newstead, Topological properties of some spaces of stable bundles, Topology 6 (1967), 241-262.
- [21] P. E. Newstead, Characteristic classes of stable bundles over an algebraic curve, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 169 (1972), 337-345.
- [22] Y. S. Poon and S. M. Salamon, Eight-dimensional quaternion-Kähler manifolds with positive scalar curvature, J. Diff. Geometry 33 (1991), 363-378.
- [23] S. RAMANAN, The moduli space of vector bundles over an algebraic curve, Math. Ann. 200 (1973), 69-84.
- [24] S. SALAMON, Quaternionic Kähler manifolds, Invent. Math. 67 (1982), 143-171.
- [25] C. S. Seshadri, Space of unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface, Ann. of Math. 85 (1967), 303-336.
- [26] A. F. SWANN, Hyperkähler and quaternionic Kähler geometry, Math. Ann. 289 (1991), 421-450.
- [27] A. Szenes, Hilbert polynomials of moduli spaces of rank 2 vector bundles I, Topology 32 (1993), 587-597.
- [28] M. Thaddeus, Conformal field theory and the moduli space of stable bundles, J. Diff. Geometry 35 (1992), 131-149.
- [29] E. Verlinde, Fusion rules and modular transformations in 2d conformal field theory, Nucl. Phys. B. 300 (1988), 360-376.
- [30] E. Witten, *The index of the Dirac operator in loop space*, Elliptic curves and modular forms in algebraic topology, Lect. Notes Math. 1326, Springer, Berlin 1988.
- [31] J. A. Wolf, Complex homogeneous contact structures and quaternionic symmetric spaces, J. Math. Mech. 14 (1965), 1033-1047.

\* \* \*

