## DELFINA ROUX and ELISABETTA MALUTA (\*)

## Contractive Kannan maps in compact spaces (\*\*)

A GIORGIO SESTINI per il suo 70º compleanno

1. - Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $T: X \to X$  satisfy, for every  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$(1.1) d(Tx, Ty) \leqslant b(x, y) d(x, Tx) + b(y, x) d(y, Ty)$$
(1)

with  $b: X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1)$ , such that

(1.2) 
$$b(x, y) + b(y, x) \leq 1$$

$$(1.3) b(x, y) \to 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Max} \{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} \to 0 \text{or } \infty.$$

We call such a map a (generalized) Kannan map; if, moreover, in (1.1) the strict inequality holds for every x and y in X,  $x \neq y$ , we call T a contractive Kannan map.

A Kannan map can't have more than one fixed point; if (X, d) is complete and  $\sup_{x,y \in X} (b(x,y) + b(y,x)) < 1$ , then T has a fixed point u (and, for each  $x \in X$ ,  $T^n x \to u$ ). If  $\sup_{x,y \in X} (b(x,y) + b(y,x)) = 1$  the result is no longer true,

<sup>(\*)</sup> Indirizzo degli AA.: Istituto Matematico, Università, Via Saldini 50, 20133 Milano, Italy.

<sup>(\*\*)</sup> Lavoro eseguito nell'ambito del G.N.A.F.A. (C.N.R.). — Ricevuto: 21-VIII-1978.

<sup>(1)</sup> Maps satisfying (1.1) were first considered by R. Kannan [1]<sub>1</sub> (in the case  $b(x,y)=b(y,x)=K<\frac{1}{2}$ ), by S. Reich [4]<sub>1</sub> (in the case  $K=\frac{1}{2}$ ); by R. M. Tiberio Bianchini [6] (in the case  $b(x,y)+b(y,x)\leqslant K<1$ ) and by S. Massa [2] (in a more general form).

even if T is a contractive Kannan map and, moreover, b(x, y) = b(y, x). (It suffices to consider the space (X, d) of the points  $x_n \in l^1$  of the form  $x_n = (1 + 1/n)e_n$ , where  $e_n$  is the natural basis of  $l^1$  and the map  $T: x_n \to x_{n+1}$ ).

In this paper we give a simple fixed point theorem for mappings of Kannan type in compact topological spaces. We obtain, as a consequence, that every contractive Kannan self-mapping of a closed ball in a conjugate normed space has a fixed point.

The result seems to be new and of some interest.

**2.** – Let O(x) be the set  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \{T^n x\}$  and  $\overline{O(x)}$  its closure. The following theorem holds.

Theorem 1. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological Hausdorff compact space;  $\varphi: X \times X \to R^+$  be lower semicontinuous and  $T: X \to X$  be such that  $\forall x, y \in X$ 

(2.1) 
$$\varphi(Tx, Ty) \leqslant b(x, y) \varphi(x, Tx) + b(y, x) \varphi(y, Ty)$$

with  $b: X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1)$  satisfying (1.2) and

$$(1.3)' b(x, y) \to 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Max} \{ \varphi(x, Tx), \varphi(y, Ty) \} \to 0 or \infty$$

Ιf

(2.2) 
$$x \neq Tx \Rightarrow \exists y \in \overline{O(x)} : \varphi(y, Ty) < \varphi(x, Tx)$$

then T has a fixed point in X.

Moreover, if  $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \varphi(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = \inf_{x\in X} \varphi(x, Tx)$  (2), then the limit points of the sequence  $\{T^n x\}$  (if any) are fixed points of T.

Remarks. 1.- The theorem doesn't contain any hypothesis of continuity of T.

2. Even if  $\varphi(Tx, T^2x) < \varphi(x, Tx) \ \forall x \neq Tx$ , and moreover b(x, y) = b(y, x), T can have more than one fixed point and  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \varphi(T^nx, T^{n+1}x) = \inf_{x \in X} \varphi(x, Tx)$  doesn't imply that  $\{T^nx\}$  converges. (Indeed, let X = [-2, 2] with the usual metric d, A = [-1, 1],  $\varphi(x, y) = \min \{d(x, A), d(y, A)\}$  and consider the map Tx = x if  $x \in A$ ,  $Tx = -\frac{1}{2}(x + x/|x|)$  if  $x \in X \setminus A$ ).

3. – If  $\lim_{n\to +\infty} \varphi(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \neq \inf_{x\in X} \varphi(x, Tx)$ , the limit points of  $\{T^n x\}$  don't need to be fixed, even if  $\varphi = d$  and T is a sequentially contractive (3) Kannan

<sup>(2)</sup> Observe that (2.1) ensures  $\varphi(Tx, T^2x) \leqslant \varphi(x, Tx)$ .

<sup>(3)</sup> i.e.  $\forall x \neq Tx$ ,  $d(Tx, T^2x) < d(x, Tx)$ .

map and b(x, y) = b(y, x). (Indeed let X, d, A as above,  $\varphi = d$  and consider the map Tx = 0 if  $x \in A$ ,  $Tx = -\frac{1}{2}(x + x/|x|)$  if  $x \in X \setminus A$ ).

4. – If (1.3)' doesn't hold, the theorem fails to be true, even if in (2.1) the strict inequality holds (consider X = [0, 1] with the usual metric d,  $\varphi = d$ ,  $Tx = \frac{1}{2}x$  if  $x \neq 0$  and Tx = 1 if x = 0).

5. – If (2.2) does not hold, the theorem fails to be true (consider the metric space  $\{0\} \cup \{1\}$  with d(x,y) = |x-y|,  $\varphi = d$ , T(0) = 1, and T(1) = 0).

Proof of Theorem 1. For each  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  let us set

$$A_r = \{x \in X \colon \varphi(x, Tx) \leqslant r\}$$

and, if  $A_r \neq \emptyset$ ,  $B_r = \operatorname{el} T(A_r)$ . Let  $r_0 = \operatorname{Inf} \{r : A_r \neq \emptyset\}$ .

Lemma.  $A_{r_0} \neq \emptyset$  and  $B_{r_0} \in A_{r_0}$  (4).

Indeed let  $A_r \neq \emptyset$  and  $x \in B_r$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $y \in A_r$  such that

$$\varphi(x, Tx) - \varepsilon \leqslant \varphi(Ty, Tx) \leqslant b(y, x) \varphi(y, Ty) + b(x, y) \varphi(x, Tx)$$
.

From (1.2) and (1.3)' we get  $x \in A_r$ ; hence  $B_r \subset A_r$  and  $B_r \subset B_{r'}$  if r < r'. Then  $C = \bigcap_{r \in A_r} B_r \neq \emptyset$ . But  $x \in C \Rightarrow x \in A_{r_0}$  and the lemma follows.

Now observe that, if  $x \in A_{r_0}$ , the lemma gives  $\overline{O(x)} = \{x\} \cup \overline{O(Tx)} \subset A_{r_0}$ , absurd if  $x \neq Tx$  when (2.2) holds. So  $x \in A_{r_0} \Rightarrow x = Tx$ .

Finally, if  $\varphi(T^nx, T^{n+1}x) \to r_0$  and z is a limit point of  $\{T^nx\}$ , the lower semicontinuity of  $\varphi$  implies  $z \in A_{r_0}$  and so z = Tz (5) and Theorem 1 is proved.

3. - Now let us list some consequences of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. If (X, d) is a compact metric space, and  $T: X \to X$  is a Kannan map such that  $x \neq Tx \Rightarrow \exists y \in \overline{O(x)}: d(y, Ty) < d(x, Tx)$ , then T has a fixed point u and, if T is asymptotically regular at x (6),  $T^nx \to u$ .

If  $b(x, y) = b(y, x) = \frac{1}{2}$ , we obtain Proposition 1 of  $[4]_2$ .

<sup>(4)</sup> The Lemma doesn't require use of (2.2).

<sup>(5)</sup> More generally, if  $\varphi(y_n, Ty_n) \to r_0$ , the limit points of the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  are fixed.

<sup>(6)</sup> i.e.  $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \to 0$ . This condition cannot be omitted in this and in the following Theorems 3 and 4: see the counter-example in Remark 3.

Corollary 1. Every sequentially slowly contractive (7) Kannan map on a compact metric space (X, d) has a fixed point (8).

If  $b(x, y) = b(y, x) = \frac{1}{2}$ , we obtain Theorem 2 of  $[1]_2$  without any hypothesis of continuity.

Corollary 2. Every contractive Kannan map on a compact metric space (X, d) has a fixed point.

Theorem 3. Let X be a weakly compact subset of a normed space S. If  $T: X \to X$  is a sequentially slowly contractive Kannan map, then T has a fixed point u in X and, if T is asymptotically regular at x,  $T^n x \to u$ .

Proof. From Theorem 1, assuming  $\varphi(x, y) = ||x - y||$ , we obtain that the fixed point u exists. Moreover, if T is asymptotically regular at x,

$$||u - T^n x|| = ||Tu - T^n x|| \le b(T^{n-1}x, u) ||T^{n-1}x - T^n x||$$

and the theorem follows.

Corollary 3. If X is a closed convex bounded subset of a reflexive Banach space, every sequentially contractive Kannan map  $T: X \to X$  has a fixed point in X.

If  $b(x, y) = b(y, x) = \frac{1}{2}$ , we obtain a result of [5], p. 111.

Theorem 4. Let X be a weakly\*compact subset of a conjugate normed space S. If  $T: X \to X$  is a sequentially slowly contractive Kannan map, then T has a fixed point u in X and, if T is asymptotically regular at x,  $T^nx \to u$ .

The proof is similar to that one of Theorem 3.

Corollary 4. If X is a closed ball in a conjugate normed space, every contractive Kannan map  $T: X \to X$  has a fixed point in X.

The problem whether this result holds in any Banach space is still open.

<sup>(7)</sup> i.e.  $\forall x \neq Tx$ ,  $\exists n = n(x) : d(T^n x, T^{n-1} x) < d(x, Tx)$ .

<sup>(8)</sup> Observe that this and the following results cannot be derived from Theorem 1 of [3] (indeed d(x, Tx) is not, in general, lower semicontinuous).

## References

- [1] R. Kannan: [•]<sub>1</sub> Some results on fixed points, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **60** (1968), 71-76; [•]<sub>2</sub> Some results on fixed points IV, Fundamenta Math. **74** (1972), 181-187.
- [2] S. Massa, Generalized contractions in metric spaces, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) 10 (1974), 689-694.
- [3] S. Massa e D. Roux, Applicationi densificanti e teoremi di punto unito, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) 4 (1971), 835-840.
- [4] S. Reich: [•]<sub>1</sub> Kannan's fixed point theorem, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) 4 (1971), 1-11; [•]<sub>2</sub> Remarks on fixed points, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. (8) 52 (1972), 689-697.
- [5] P. M. SOARDI, Struttura quasi normale e teoremi di punto unito, Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste 4 (1972), 105-114.
- [6] R. M. Tiberio Bianchini, Su un problema di S. Reich riguardante la teoria dei punti fissi, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) 5 (1972), 103-108.

## Summary

We give a fixed point theorem for maps of Kannan type in compact topological spacesg We obtain as a consequence, among other things, that every contractive Kannan selfmappin. of a closed ball in a conjugate normed space has a fixed point.

\* \* \*

