V. C. NAIR (*)

Integral equations of convolution form. (**)

Introduction.

Integral equations of the form

$$\int_0^t \varphi(t-x)f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = g(t) \;,$$

where f or φ is the unknown function, are of immense importance due to their frequent occurrence in applied mathematics. This paper gives the solutions of three such equations using Laplace transform. These results generalize theorems earlier given by Jet Wimp ([4], p. 44) and Rusia ([6]₁, pp. 119, 123, 127, 129; [6]₂, pp. 16, 17; [7]₃, pp. 67-70).

I. - Definitions and results used.

 \mathbf{If}

(1.1)
$$\varphi(p) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left[-pt\right] f(t) dt,$$

then $\varphi(p)$ is called the Laplace transform of f(t) and f(t) is called the inverse Laplace transform of $\varphi(p)$ and this relation is denoted by

$$\varphi(p) \doteqdot f(t)$$
.

^(*) Indirizzo: Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Regional Engineering College, Calicut, India.

^(**) Contents of this paper is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis approved by the University of Rajasthan, 1970. - Ricevuto: 12-XII-1971.

[2]

Re (a) denotes the real part of the complex number a. $\Delta(k,s)$ denotes the set of k parameters

$$s/k$$
, $(s+1)/k$, $(s+2)/k$, ..., $(s+k-1)/k$.

 $a_1(a_i)_n$ denotes the set of n parameters $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$.

 $(a_i, e_i)_n$ denotes the set of n pairs of parameters

$$(a_1, e_1), (a_2, e_2), \ldots, (a_n, e_n)$$
.

(1.2)
$$p_q[1(a_j, e_j)_p; 1(b_j, f_j)_q; x] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + e_1 k) \Gamma(a_2 + e_2 k) \dots \Gamma(a_p + e_p k) x^k}{\Gamma(b_1 + f_1 k) \Gamma(b_2 + f_2 k) \dots \Gamma(b_q + f_q) \quad k!}$$

is the generalized hypergeometric function defined by WRIGHT ([7]1, p. 287) and

(1.3)
$$J_{\nu}^{\mu}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^r}{r! \Gamma(1+\nu+\mu r)}$$

is the generalized Bessel function defined by Wright ([7]2, p. 257).

The functions (1.2) and (1.3) being special cases of the H function defined by Fox ([2], p. 408), their Laplace transforms can be obtained as special cases of the Laplace transform of the H function proved by Gupta ([3], p. 100). The following two special cases will be used in this paper:

$$(1.4) t^{\alpha}{}_{1}\psi_{1}[(\delta,1); (1+\alpha,b); -ct^{b}] \doteqdot \Gamma(\delta) p^{-1-\alpha}(1+cp^{-b})^{-\delta},$$

provided Re (p) > 0, 2 > b > 0, Re $(1 + \alpha) > 0$ and $|\arg ep^{-b}| < \pi(2 - b)/2$;

$$(1.5) t^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}^{\beta}(at^{\beta}) \doteq p^{-1-\alpha} \exp\left[-ap^{-\beta}\right],$$

provided Re (p) > 0, $1 \ge \beta > 0$, Re $(1 + \alpha) > 0$ and $|\arg ap^{-\beta}| < \pi(1 - \beta)/2$. From ERDÉLYI ([1], pp. 129-131) we have:

(1.6) If
$$f(t) = g(p)$$
, then $\exp[-at]f(t) = g(p+a)$.

(1.7) If
$$f(t) = g(p)$$
, $f(0) = f'(0) = \dots = f^{(n-1)}(0) = 0$ and $f^{(n)}(t)$ is continuous, then $f^{(n)}(t) = p^n g(p)$.

(1.8) If
$$f_1(t) \doteqdot g_1(p)$$
 and $f_2(t) \doteqdot g_2(p)$, then
$$\int\limits_0^t f_1(x) f_2(t-x) \,\mathrm{d}x \doteqdot g_1(p) g_2(p) \;,$$

(1.9)
$$t^{\delta-1} {}_{m}F_{n}[_{1}(\alpha_{j})_{m}; _{1}(\beta_{j})_{n}; (at)]^{k} \doteq$$

$$= \Gamma(\delta) p^{-\delta}_{m+k} F_n[_1(\alpha_i)_m, \Delta(k, \delta);_1(\beta_i)_n; (ka/p)^k],$$

provided $m + k \le n + 1$, Re $(\delta) > 0$, Re (p) > 0 when $m + k \le n$ and Re $[p + ka \cdot \exp[2\pi i r/k]] > 0$ for r = 0, 1, ..., k - 1 when m + k = n + 1.

2. - The integral equations.

Theorem I. Each of integral equations

$$(2.1) \quad g(t) = A \int_{\mathbf{0}}^{t} [(D+a)^{m} f(t-x)] \exp[-ax] x^{\alpha} {}_{1} \psi_{1} [(\delta, 1); (1+\alpha, b); ex^{b}] dx$$
and

$$(2.2) \ f(t) = B \int_{\mathbf{0}}^{t} [(D+a)^{n} g(t-x)] \exp [-ax] x^{\beta} {}_{1} \psi_{1} [(-\delta,1); (1+\beta,b); cx^{b}] dx$$

is the solution of the other, provided

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} 2 > b > 0 \ , \ \operatorname{Re} \, (1+\alpha) > 0 \ , \ \operatorname{Re} \, (1+\beta) > 0 \ , \ AB \varGamma (\delta) \varGamma (-\delta) = 1 \ , \\ \alpha + \beta + 2 = m + n, \end{cases}$$

(2.4)
$$f(0) = f'(0) = \dots = f^{(m-1)}(0) = 0$$
, $f^{(m)}(x)$ is continuous,

(2.5)
$$g(0) = g'(0) = \dots = g^{(n-1)}(0) = 0$$
, $g^{(n)}(x)$ is continuous,

(2.6) m and n are non-negative integers and D represents differentiation with respect to t-x.

Proof. Let
$$f(t) = F(p)$$
 and $g(t) = G(p)$. Using (1.4) and (1.6),

$$\exp{[-at]}t^{\alpha}{}_{1}\psi_{1}[(\delta,1);\,(1+\alpha,b);\,ct^{\flat}] = (p+a)^{-\mathbf{1}-\alpha}[1+c(p+a)^{-\mathbf{1}}]^{-\delta}\varGamma(\delta)\;.$$

Using (1.7),

$$(D+a)^m f(t) = F(p)(p+a)^m$$

provided the conditions (2.4) are satisfied.

Using (1.8), the integral equation (2.1) becomes

(2.7)
$$G(p) = A \Gamma(\delta) (p+a)^{m-1-\alpha} [1 - c(p+a)^{-b}]^{-\delta} F(p).$$

Similarly, the integral equation (2.2) becomes

(2.8)
$$F(p) = B\Gamma(-\delta)(p+a)^{n-1-\beta}[1-c(p+a)^{-\delta}]^{\delta}G(p).$$

The equations (2.7) and (2.8) can be obtained from each other when $AB\Gamma(-\delta)\Gamma(\delta)=1$ and $m+n=\alpha+\beta+2$. Hence, by Lerch's theorem ([5], p. 5), it follows that each of the integral equations (2.1) and (2.2) is the solution of the other.

Special cases. Putting b = r/s, where r and s are positive integers, the theorem (2.2) gives the following result involving Meijer's G function.

Each of the integral equations

$$(2.9) \quad g(t) = A \int_{0}^{t} \left[(D+a)^{m} f(t-x) \right] \exp\left[-ax\right] x^{\alpha} G_{s,s+r}^{s,s} \left[ex^{r} \left| \begin{array}{c} \varDelta(s,1-\delta) \\ \varDelta(s,0), \ \varDelta(r,-\alpha) \end{array} \right] \mathrm{d}x \right]$$

and

$$(2.10) \ f(t) = B \int_{0}^{t} \left[(D+a)^{n} g(t-x) \right] \exp\left[-ax \right] x^{\beta} G_{s,s+r}^{s,s} \left[ex^{r} \left| \frac{\Delta(s,1+\delta)}{\Delta(s,0)}, \Delta(r,-\beta) \right] dx$$

is the solution of the other, provided Re $(1 + \alpha) > 0$, Re $(1 + \beta) > 0$, r < 2s, $\alpha + \beta + 2 = m + n$, the conditions (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) of (2.2) are satisfied and

$$\Gamma(\delta)\Gamma(-\delta)AB = r^{1-m-n}(2\pi)^{1+r-2s}$$

When r = s = 1, the G functions in (2.10) reduce to confluent hypergeometric functions. Put further A = 1, a = m = 0 to get a theorem by Jet WIMP ([4], p. 44).

Theorem II. Each of the integral equations

(2.11)
$$g(t) = A \int_{0}^{t} [(D+k)^{m} f(t-x)] \exp[-kx] x^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}^{b}(ax^{b}) dx$$

and

(2.12)
$$f(t) = \frac{1}{A} \int_{0}^{t} [(D+k)^{n} g(t-x)] \exp[-kx] x^{\beta} J_{\beta}^{b}(-ax^{b}) dx$$

is the solution of the other, provided $m+n=\alpha+\beta+2$, $1 \ge b > 0$, $\text{Re}(1+\alpha) > 0$, $\text{Re}(1+\beta) > 0$ and the conditions (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) of (2.2) are satisfied.

The proof of (2.12) is similar to that of (2.2), using (1.5).

Special cases. Putting b=r/s, where r and s are positive integers, the theorem (2.12) reduces to the following result involving Meijer's G function. Each of the integral equations

$$(2.13) \ g(t) = A \int_{0}^{t} \left[(D+k)^{m} f(t-x) \right] \exp \left[-kx \right] x^{\alpha} G_{0,s+r}^{s,0} \left[ax^{r} | \varDelta(s,0), \varDelta(r,-\alpha) \right] \mathrm{d}x$$

and

$$(2.14) f(t) = B \int_{0}^{t} [(D+k)^{n} g(t-x)] \exp[-kx] x^{\beta} G_{0,s+r}^{s,0}[(-1)^{s} ax^{r} | \Delta(s,0), \Delta(r,-\beta)] dx$$

is the solution of the other, provided $r \leqslant s$, Re $(1+\alpha) > 0$, Re $(1+\beta) > 0$, $\alpha + \beta = m + n + 2$, $ABr^{m+n+3} = s(2\pi)^{r-s}$ and the conditions (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) of (2.2) are satisfied.

When r = s = 1, the G functions of (2.14) reduce to Bessel functions. Various special cases of (2.14) were proved by Rusia ([6]₃, pp. 67-70).

Theorem III. If k, m, n, r, s are all integers, r > 0 Re $(\alpha) > 0$, Re $(\beta) > 0$, $r(n+s) + k + m = \alpha + \beta$,

$$f(0) = f'(0) = \dots = f^{(i-1)}(0) = 0 = g(0) = g'(0) = \dots = g^{(i-1)}(0)$$

and $f^{(i)}(t)$, $g^{(i)}(t)$ are continuous functions, then each of the integral equations

$$(2.15) \ \ g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} \{ (D+b)^{k} [(D+b)^{r} + a^{r}]^{s} g(x) \} \exp [-b(t-x)] (t-x)^{\alpha-1} \cdot e^{-t} (t-x)^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} (t-x)^{\alpha-1$$

$$\cdot_1 F_r[s+v; \Delta(r,\alpha); -(at-ax)^r/r^r] dx$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{0}^{t} \{ (D+b)^{m} [(D+b)^{r} + a^{r}]^{n} f(x) \} \exp \left[-b(t-x) \right] (t-x)^{\beta-s} \cdot {}_{1}F_{r}[n-v; \Delta(r,\beta); -(at-ax)^{r}/r^{r}] dx$$

is the solution of the other, where i is the number of times f(x) is differentiated in (2.16), j is the number of times g(x) is differentiated in (2.15),

$$D = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}, \frac{1}{D}f(x) = \int_0^x f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x, \qquad \frac{1}{D+a}f(x) = \exp\left[-ax\right] \int_0^x \exp\left[ax\right]f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

The proof of (2.16) is similar to that of (2.2), using the following special case of (1.9):

$$t^{\alpha-1} {}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}F_{\scriptscriptstyle n}[v; \Delta(n, \alpha); -(at/n)^n] \doteq \Gamma(\alpha) p^{nv-\alpha} (p^n + a^n)^{-\sigma},$$

provided Re $(\alpha) > 0$ and Re (p + a) > 0.

Special cases. Put r=1, b=k=s=0 to get a theorem by Jet Wimp ([4], p. 44).

Various results of Rusia ($[6]_1$, pp. 119, 123, 127, 129; $[6]_2$, pp. 16, 17) can be deduced from (2.16).

I am highly grateful to Dr. C. B. RATHIE for his keen interest in the preparation of this paper and to the Principal, Calicut Regional Engineering College, Calicut for giving the facilities.

References.

- [1] A. Erdelyi, Tables of Integral Transforms, I, McGraw Hill Book Company, New York 1954.
- [2] C. Fox, The G and H functions as symmetrical Fourier kernels, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 98 (1961), 395-429.
- [3] K. C. Gupta, On the H function, Annales de la Société Scientifique de Bruxelles
 (2) 79 (1965), 97-106.
- [4] Jet Wimp, Two integral transform pairs involving hypergeometric functions, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. 7 (1965), 44.
- [5] N. W. Mclachlan, Laplace transform and their applications to differental equations, Dover publications, New York 1962.

- [6] K. C. Rusia, :[•]₁ A study on certain integral equations with applications to problems of engineering, Thesis approved by University of Jabalpur 1966; [•]₂ An integral equation involving generalized Laguerre polynomial, Mathematica Japonicae 2 (1966); [•]₃ Some integral equations and integrals, Proc. Mat. Acad. Sci. India 37 (1967), 67-70.
- [7] E. M. Wright, [•]₁ The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function, J. London Math. Soc. 10 (1935), 286-293; [•]₂ The asymptotic expansion of the generalized Bessel function, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 38 (1935), 257-270.

Summary.

See the Introduction.

* * *