MOHD. NASIM KHAN (*)

A Continuous Ring

in which Every Large Right Ideal is two Sided. (**)

The concept of q-ring was introduct by Jain, Mohamed and Singh in [1]. They defined that a ring R is to be right (left) q-ring if every right (left) ideal of R is quasi-injective and proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1. The following are equivalent:

- (i) R is a right q-ring.
- (ii) R is right self-injective and every right ideal of R is of the form eI, e is an idempotent and I is a two-sided ideal in R.
 - (iii) R is right self-injective and every large right ideal of R is two-sided.

UTUMI defined in [5], the concept of a continuous ring as a generalization of the self-injective ring as follows; A ring R is said to be right continuous if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) For any right ideal A there is an idempotent e such that eR is an essential extension of A.
- (ii) If fR, $f=f^2$ is isomorphic to a right ideal B, then B is also generated by an idempotent.

In this Note, a ring R is said to be right (left) (eq)-ring if it is right (left) continuous and each of its large right (left) ideals is two-sided. In the last,

^(*) Indirizzo: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh (U.P.), India.

^(**) Ricevuto: 11-IV-1972.

we have shown by an example that a right (eq)-ring need not be a right q-ring. However it is clear from Theorem 1 that every right q-ring is a right (eq)-ring. Here we attempt to generalize some results for q-rings to (eq)-rings.

For any ring R, R^4 , J(R) and B(R) will denote the right singular ideal, the Jacobson radical and prime radical respectively and essentially the terminologies are same as in [1].

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise stated, we assume that every ring has unity $1 \neq 0$.

It can be seen easily that the following results have the same proofs as for q-rings in [1].

Theorem 2. Let n > 1 be an integer. Then R_n is a right (eq)-ring if and only if R is semi-simple artinian.

Theorem 3. A simple ring is a right (eq)-ring if and only if R is artinian.

Since in a right continuous ring R, $R^d = J(R)$ ([5] Lemma 4.1) so it can be easily seen on the same lines as ([1] Lemma 2.8) that following holds.

Lemma 1. Let R be a right (cq)-ring, then B(R) is essential in J(R), as right ideals.

Theorem 4. A right (cq)-ring is regular if and only if it is semi-prime.

Proof. Let R be a right (eq)-ring. Then by UTUMI ([5] Lemma 4.1) R/J(R) is regular. Since $B(R) \subset J(R)$ by Lemma 1. We see that J(R) = 0 if B(R) = 0. Hence R is regular if and only if R is semi-prime.

Theorem 5. Let V be a vector space our some division ring D and $R = Hom_p(V, V)$. Then R is a right (cq)-ring if and only if V is finite dimensional.

Proof. If V is finite dimensional, then R is simple artinian so trivially R is a (cq)-ring.

Let V be not of finite dimensional, then $V \cong V \oplus V$. This yields $R \cong R_2$ so if R were a (eq)-ring then R_2 is also a (eq)-ring. Hence by Theorem 2 R is simple artinian so V must be of finite dimension.

The proof of the following Lemmas are the same as of those for q-rings.

Lemma 2. A semi-prime right (cq)-ring with zero socle is strongly regular.

Lemma 3. A prime right (cq)-ring has non-zero socle.

Now we prove the following:

Theorem 6. A prime right (cq)-ring is simple artinian.

Proof. Let R be a prime right (eq)-ring, then by Lemma 2, R has a non-zero socle so R is primitive. Hence by UTUMI ([5], Theorem 7.9) R is right self-injective ring. Since by definition of (eq)-ring, every large right ideal is two-sided, by Theorem 1, R is right q-ring.

Thus by ([1], Theorem 2.13) R is simple artinian.

Finally we have the following structure theorem:

Theorem 7. If R is a semi-prime right (cq)-ring, then $R = A \oplus B$ where A is a d.u.o. ring and B is semi-simple artinian.

Proof. Let R be a semi-prime right (eq)-ring then R is regular by Theorem 4 and L(R) the lattice of all right ideals of R is complete. So by UTUMI ([3], Corollary of Theorem 4) for every positive integer n there is the decomposition $R = R_n \oplus R'_n$ such that R_n is an ideal of index $\leq n$ and R'_n is an ideal not containing any ideal of index $\leq n$. In particular if we put n = 1 then $R = R_1 \oplus R'_1$ where R_1 is, if non-zero, an ideal of index 1 and R'_1 is an idean not containing any ideal of index 1. So by UTUMI ([3], Theorem 3) R'_1 is right self-injective and hence R'_1 is a right q-ring. Thus by ([1], Theorem 2.19). $R'_1 = A' \oplus B$ where A' is a d.u.o. ring and B is semi-simple artinian. Again since R_1 is an ideal of index 1 so every idempotent of R_1 is central and hence R_1 is a d.u.o. ring. Thus we have $R = A \oplus B$ such that $A = R_1 \oplus A'$ is a d.u.o. ring and B is a semi-simple artinian ring.

In the above structure theorem we have $R = A \oplus B$ such that A is a d.u.o. right (eq)-ring and B is semi-simple artinian. Since every left ideal of B is a direct summand and every one sided ideal of A is two-sided so the following can be easily proved:

Lemma 4. If a semi-prime ring R is right (cq)-ring then it is also left (cq)-ring.

Example. Let $\{K_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in N}$ be any infinite family of fields such that each K_{α} has a proper subfield say F_{α} . Let $S=\Pi K_{\alpha}$ and T be the ring of all those elements in S which have all except a finite number of components in F_{α} . Then T is continuous but not self-injective by UTUMI ([3], Example 3). Since T is commutative so each of its one sided ideal is two-sided. Hence Π is a (cq)-ring but not a q-ring.

Remark. One can study those rings in which every large right ideal is two-sided. One can immediately see that Theorem 2, 3 and 4 all hold for such type of rings. Further notice that Lemma 1 does not hold for such rings. For example consider any domain D having a unique maximal ideal M. Then B(D)=0 and J(D)=M so B(D) is not large in J(D).

I express my heartiest thanks to Dr. Surjeet Singh, my supervisor, for his interest and valuable suggestions.

References.

- [1] S. K. Jain, S. H. Mohamed and S. Singh, Rings in which every right-ideal is quasi-injective, Pacific J. Math. 31 (1969), 73-79.
- [2] R. E. JOHNSON and E. T. WONG, Quasi-injective modules and irreducible rings, J. London Math. Soc. 36 (1961), 260-268.
- [3] Y. Utumi, On continuous regular rings and semi-simple self-injective rings, Canad. J. Math. 12 (1960), 597-605.
- [4] Y. UTUMI, On continuous regular rings, Canad. Math. Bull. 4 (1961), 63-69.
- [5] Y. Utumi, On continuous ring and self-injective rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1965), 158-173.

* * *