

R. N. P A N D E Y (*)

**On [R, log $m \cdot \log n$, 2] Summability
of Double Fourier Series. (**)**

1. — Let $f(x, y)$ be L in the square $[-\pi, \pi; -\pi, \pi]$ and be periodic in each variable with period 2π . The partial sum of double FOURIER series associated with $f(x, y)$ is given, as usual, by

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \varphi(u, v) \frac{\sin \{(\mu + \frac{1}{2})u\}}{2 \sin(u/2)} \frac{\sin \{(\nu + \frac{1}{2})v\}}{2 \sin(v/2)} du dv \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \frac{\varphi(u, v)}{uv} \sin(\mu u) \sin(\nu v) du dv + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u, v) &= \frac{1}{4}[f(x+u, y+v) + f(x+u, y-v) + f(x-u, y+v) + \\ &\quad + f(x-u, y-v) - 4s]. \end{aligned}$$

Definition. The double series $\sum \sum A_{\mu\nu}$ is said to be summable by strong logarithmic means of positive order k or summable [R, log $m, \log n, k$], prov-

(*) Indirizzo: Department of Mathematics, Govt. Science College, Gwalior-M.P., India.

(**) Ricevuto: 10-III-1970.

ided there exists some constant s such that

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n |s_{\mu\nu} - s|^k / (\mu\nu) = o(\log m \log n),$$

where

$$S_{mn} = \sum_1^m \sum_1^n A_{\mu\nu}.$$

Here in this report we shall be concerned with $k = 2$. We shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem A. *If $f(x, y) \in L^2$ and if for some fixed τ and δ we have:*

$$(1.1) \quad \int_s^\tau \int_t^\delta \{\varphi(u, v)\}^2 / (u, v) du dv = o(\log s^{-1} \log t^{-1}),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^\pi du \left| \int_t^\delta \{\varphi(u, v)\}^2 / v dv \right| = o(\log t^{-1}),$$

$$(1.3) \quad \int_0^\pi dv \left| \int_s^\tau \{\varphi(u, v)\}^2 / u du \right| = o(\log s^{-1}),$$

then

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n |s_{\mu\nu} - s|^2 / (\mu\nu) = o(\log m \log n).$$

It can be easily seen that

$$\left[\sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n |s_{\mu\nu} - s|^2 / (\mu\nu) = o(\log m \log n) \right] \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[\sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n |s_{\mu\nu} - s|^k / (\mu\nu) = o(\log m \log n) \right], \quad \forall \text{ positive } k \leq 2.$$

For if $k < 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n |s_{\mu\nu} - s|^k / (\mu\nu) &= \sum \sum |s_{\mu\nu} - s|^k / (\mu^{1-k/2} \nu^{1-k/2}) (\mu^{k/2} \nu^{k/2}) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n |s_{\mu\nu} - s|^2 / (\mu\nu) \right)^{k/2} \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n (1/\mu\nu) \right)^{1-k/2} \\ &\leq o(\log^{k/2} m \log^{k/2} n) (\log^{1-k/2} m \log^{1-k/2} n) \\ &= o(\log m \log n). \end{aligned}$$

2. – In 1913, HARDY and LITTLEWOOD proved the following theorem on strong summability $(c, 2)$ or summability $[c, 2]$.

Theorem B. If $f(t)$ is of integrable square in a neighbourhood of x and if

$$\int_0^t \{\varphi(u)\}^2 du = o(t),$$

then

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^n |s_\mu - s| = o(n).$$

This theorem was generalised in every direction by various workers including the authoress of the Theorem B themselves. HSÜ [1] generalised the process of $[c, k]$ summability to the case of double FOURIER series, SHARMA [2] further extended the result of HSÜ by replacing the ordinary partial sum by CÈSARO mean of positive order. Here in our present report Theorem A is an extension of a theorem by SINGH [3] on $[R, \log n, 2]$ summability to the case of a double FOURIER series. SINGH [3] proves the following theorem.

Theorem C. If $f \in L^2$ and if, for some fixed δ , we have

$$\int_t^\delta \{\varphi(u)\}^2/u du = o(\log t^{-1}),$$

then

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n \{s_\nu - s\}^2/\nu = o(\log n).$$

For the proof of Theorem A we shall require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 (a). *If (1.1) is true, then*

$$\int_0^s \int_0^t |\varphi(u, v)| du dv = o(st\sqrt{\log s^{-1} \log t^{-1}})$$

Proof. It can be easily shown that

$$(1.1) \Rightarrow \int_0^s \int_0^t \{\varphi(u, v)\}^2 du dv = o(st \log s^{-1} \log t^{-1}).$$

Now using SCHWARTZ inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s \int_0^t \varphi(u, v) du dv &\leq (\int_0^s \int_0^t \{\varphi u, v\}^z du dv)^{1/2} (\int_0^s \int_0^t du dv)^{1/2} \\ &= o(st\sqrt{\log s^{-1} \log t^{-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 (b).

$$(1.2) \quad \Rightarrow \int_0^\pi du \left| \int_0^t \varphi(u, v) dv \right| = o(t\sqrt{\log t^{-1}}).$$

Proof of the Lemma 1(b) is same as that of Lemma 1(a).

Lemma 2. *If*

$$\begin{array}{lll} 0 \leq s \leq \tau < \pi, & 0 \leq u \leq \tau < \pi, & s \neq u, \\ \text{then} & & \\ 0 \leq t \leq \delta < \pi, & 0 \leq v \leq \delta < \pi, & t \neq v, \end{array}$$

$$\left| \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{\sin(vt) \sin(vv)}{v} \right| \leq K \log \frac{t+v}{|t-v|},$$

where K depends on δ only, etc. .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{\sin(vt) \sin(vv)}{v} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{\cos v(t-v) - \cos v(t+v)}{v} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{|t-v|}^{t+v} \left(\sum_{v=1}^n \sin(v\theta) \right) d\theta = \frac{1}{4} \int_{|t-v|}^{t+v} \frac{\cos(\theta/2) - \cos(n+\frac{1}{2})\theta}{\sin(\theta/2)} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\cos(\theta/2) - \cos(n+\frac{1}{2})\theta| < 2$ and since for $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\delta < 2\pi$, $\sin(\theta/2) > K\theta$ and that $K > 0$ depends on δ only, the result follows readily.

Proof of Theorem A. Making usual standard simplifications by taking $f(x, y)$ to be an even-even function, and assuming at $x = 0$, $y = 0$, $s = 0$,

we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \frac{f(u, v)}{\sin(u/2) \sin(v/2)} \sin(\mu + \frac{1}{2}) u \sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2}) v du dv \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi f(u, v) \frac{\sin(\mu u)}{v} \frac{\sin(\nu v)}{v} du dv + o(1) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(\int_0^\tau \int_0^\delta + \int_\tau^\pi \int_0^\delta + \int_0^\tau \int_\delta^\pi + \int_\tau^\pi \int_\delta^\pi \right) + o(1), \\
 (3.1) \quad s_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} (I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4) + o(1), \quad \text{say}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad I_4 = o(1),$$

by RIEMANN-LEBESGUE theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \left(\int_0^{1/m} \int_0^{1/n} + \int_{1/m}^\tau \int_0^{1/n} + \int_0^{1/m} \int_{1/n}^\delta + \int_{1/m}^\tau \int_{1/n}^\delta \right) \\
 &= I_{1,1} + I_{2,2} + I_{1,3} + I_{1,4}, \quad \text{say}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{1,1} &= \int_0^{1/m} \int_0^{1/n} + \frac{f(u, v)}{uv} \sin(\mu u) \sin(\nu v) du dv, \\
 \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I_{1,1}^2 / (\mu\nu) &= (O \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n 1/(\mu\nu) [\int_0^{1/m} \int_0^{1/n} f(u, v) \{(\mu u \cdot \nu v)/uv\} du dv]^2) \\
 &= O \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n \mu\nu \right) \cdot o(1/(m^2 n^2) \log m \log n), \\
 (3.3) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I_{1,1}^2 / (\mu\nu) &= o(\log m \log n) \quad \text{by (1.1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I_{1,2}^2 / (\mu\nu) &= O \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu/\mu \left[\int_{1/m}^{\tau} \int_0^{1/n} |f(u, v)| du dv \right]^2 \right) \\
 &= o \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu/\mu [1/n^2 \log n] \right), \\
 &\quad \text{by (1.2).} \\
 (3.4) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I_{1,2}^2 / (\mu\nu) &= o(\log m \log n)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I_{1,3}^2 / (\mu\nu) = o(\log m \log n).$$

Consider the integral $I_{1,4}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I_{1,4}^2 / (\mu\nu) &= \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n 1 / (\mu\nu) \int_{1/m}^{\tau} \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \{f(u, v)/(uv)\} \sin(\mu u) \sin(\nu v) du dv \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot \int_{1/m}^{\tau} \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \{f(s, t)/(st)\} \sin(\mu s) \sin(\nu t) ds dt \\
 &= \int_{1/m}^{\tau} \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \{f(u, v)/(uv)\} du dv \int_{1/m}^{\tau} \int_{1/n}^{\delta} f(s, t)/(st) \left\{ \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sin(\mu u) \sin(\mu v) \mu^{-1} \right\} \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sin(\nu v) \sin(\nu t) \nu^{-1} \right\} ds dt, \\
 (3.6) \quad \left\{ \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I_{1,4}^2 / (\mu\nu) \right\} &\leq K \int_{1/m}^{\tau} \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \{f(u, v)/(uv)\} du \int_{1/m}^{\tau} \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \{f(s, t)/(st)\} \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot \log \frac{s+u}{|s-u|} \log \frac{t+v}{|t-v|} ds dt
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma (2).

Since the function integrated out in (3.6) is symmetric in $s \& u$ and $t \& v$, the contribution of domain of integration for which $s < u$, $t < v$, should be equal

to the contribution of portion for which $s > u$, $t > v$, hence (3.6) is equal to

$$(3.7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 4k \int_{1/m}^{\tau} \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{f(u, v)}{uv} du dv \int_u^{\tau} \int_v^{\delta} \frac{f(s, t)}{st} \log \frac{s+u}{|s-u|} \log \frac{t+v}{|t-v|} ds dt \\ = 4k \int_{1/m}^{\tau} \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{f(u, v)}{uv} du dv \int_1^{\tau/u} \int_1^{\delta/v} \frac{f(u\xi, v\eta)}{\xi\eta} \log \frac{\xi+1}{|\xi-1|} \log \frac{\eta+1}{|\eta-1|} d\xi d\eta \\ = 4k \int_1^{m\tau} \int_1^{n\delta} \frac{1}{\xi\eta} \log \frac{\xi+1}{|\xi-1|} \log \frac{\eta+1}{|\eta-1|} d\xi d\eta \int_{1/m}^{\tau/\xi} \int_{1/n}^{\delta/\eta} \frac{f(u, v)f(u\xi, v\eta)}{uv} du dv. \end{array} \right.$$

The interior integral does not exceed

$$(3.8) \quad \left(\int_{1/m}^{\tau/\xi} \int_{1/n}^{\delta/\eta} \frac{\{f(u, v)\}^2}{uv} du dv \right)^{1/2} \quad \left(\int_{1/m}^{\tau/\xi} \int_{1/n}^{\delta/\eta} \frac{\{f(u\xi, v\eta)\}^2}{uv} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\int_{1/m}^{\tau} \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{\{f(u, v)\}^2}{uv} du dv = o(\log m \log n).$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.8) we see that (3.7) is $= o(\log m \log n)$ hence

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I_{1,4}^2 / (\mu\nu) = o(\log m \log n).$$

In view of (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.9) and MINKOWSKI'S inequality we find that

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I_1^2 / (\mu\nu) = o(\log m \log n).$$

Now we proceed to consider integral I_2 of (3.1)

$$(3.11) \quad I_2 = \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \left[\int_{\tau}^{\pi} \int_{1/n}^{\delta} + \int_{\tau}^{\pi} \int_0^{1/\nu} \right] \frac{f(u, v)}{uv} \sin(\mu u) \sin(\nu v) du dv$$

$$I_2 = I_{2,1} + I_{2,2}, \quad \text{say.}$$

We have for $I_{2,1}$ (see SHARMA [3])

$$(3.12) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I_{2,1}^2 / (\mu\nu) &= O \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^m \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{f(u, v)}{v} dv \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{f(u, t)}{t} \log \frac{t+v}{|t-v|} dt \right] \right) \\ &= O \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^m \frac{1}{\mu} \cdot L \right), \end{aligned} \right.$$

where

$$L = \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{f(u, v)}{v} dv \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{f(u, t)}{t} \log \frac{t+v}{|t-v|} dt.$$

Arguing as in case of $I_{1,4}$ we easily see that

$$L = o \left[\log n \int_1^{n\delta} \frac{1}{\eta} \log \frac{1+\eta}{|\eta-1|} d\eta \right],$$

$$(3.13) \quad L = o(\log n).$$

Combining (3.12) and (3.13) we have

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I_{2,1}^2 / (\mu\nu) = o(\log m \log n).$$

Also

$$I_{2,2} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_0^{1/n} \frac{f(u, v)}{uv} \sin(\mu u) \sin(\nu v) du dv$$

or

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I_{2,2}^2 / (\mu\nu) &= O \left(\sum_1^m \sum_1^n \frac{\nu}{\mu} o \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \log n \right) \right), \\ &= o(\log m \log n). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.14) and (3.15) we find that

$$(3.16) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I_2^2 / (\mu\nu) = o(\log m \log n).$$

Proceeding as in case of I_2 we can write

$$(3.17) \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I_3^2 / (\mu\nu) = o(\log m \log n).$$

Applying MINKOWSKI's inequality for (3.1) and making use of (3.2), (3.10), (3.16) and (3.17), we prove that

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n I^2 / (\mu\nu) = o(\log m \log n).$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem A.

My sincere gratitude is due to Dr B. D. SINGH, professor and Head of School of Studies in mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain, for his kind help in the preparation of this report.

References.

- [1] HAI-TSIN HSÜ, *The strong summability of double Fourier series*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1945), 700-713.
- [2] P. L. SHARMA, *On the strong summability of double Fourier series*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **50** (1962), 275-284.
- [3] B. D. SINGH, *Summabilité logarithmique forte de la série de Fourier et de la série conjuguée*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **88** (1964), 65-111.
- [4] P. L. SHARMA, *On harmonic summability of double Fourier series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 979-986.

* * *

