

B. L. SHARMA (\*)

## A Theorem in Operational Calculus and Infinite Integrals. (\*\*)

### 1. - Introduction.

A function  $\Phi(p)$  is operationally related to  $h(t)$  when they satisfy the integral equation

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi(p) = p \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} h(t) dt,$$

provided that the integral is convergent and  $\operatorname{Re} p > 0$ ; as usual, we shall denote (1.1) by the symbol

$$(1.2) \quad \Phi(p) \doteq h(t).$$

The object of this paper is to prove a theorem in operational calculus and use it to evaluate some infinite double integrals involving LEGENDRE and MEIJER's  $G$ -function. Most of the results are believed to be new.

We require the following formulae in the investigation:

$$(1.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{\varrho, -\varrho} \sum_{\mu, -\mu} \frac{\Gamma(-\varrho) \Gamma(-\mu) \Gamma(\lambda + \mu + \varrho + \delta)}{p^{\mu + \delta + \lambda + \varrho - 1} 2^{\mu + \varrho + \delta + 2} \Gamma(\delta + 1)} \alpha^\varrho \beta^\mu \gamma^\delta \cdot \\ \cdot F_c \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu + \varrho + \delta), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu + \varrho + \delta + 1); \varrho + 1, \mu + 1, \delta + 1; \frac{\alpha^2}{p^2}, \frac{\beta^2}{p^2}, \frac{\gamma^2}{p^2} \right] \\ \doteq x^{\lambda - 1} K_\varrho(\alpha x) K_\mu(\beta x) I_\delta(\gamma x), \end{array} \right.$$

---

(\*) Indirizzo: Department of Mathematics, Regional Centre, Simla-3, India.

(\*\*) Ricevuto: 18-X-1967.

valid for  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda + \delta \pm \mu \pm \varrho) > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(p + \alpha + \beta) > |\operatorname{Re} \gamma|$ .

$$(1.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{\varrho, -\varrho} \sum_{\mu, -\mu} \sum_{\delta, -\delta} \frac{\Gamma(-\varrho) \Gamma(-\mu) \Gamma(-\delta) \Gamma(\lambda + \mu + \varrho + \delta)}{2^{\varrho + \mu + \delta + 3} p^{\lambda + \mu + \varrho + \delta - 1}} \alpha^\varrho \beta^\mu \gamma^\delta \cdot \\ \cdot F_c \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu + \varrho + \delta), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu + \varrho + \delta + 1); \varrho + 1, \mu + 1, \delta + 1; \frac{\alpha^2}{p^2}, \frac{\beta^2}{p^2}, \frac{\gamma^2}{p^2} \right] \\ \doteqdot x^{\lambda-1} K_\varrho(\alpha x) K_\mu(\beta x) K_\delta(\gamma x), \end{array} \right.$$

valid for  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda \pm \delta \pm \varrho \pm \mu) > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(p + \alpha + \beta + \gamma) > 0$ . (1.3) and (1.4) has been derived from the formula due to SHARMA ([2] p. 86).

$$(1.5) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{\varrho, -\varrho} \frac{\Gamma(-\varrho) \Gamma(\lambda + \mu + \varrho + \delta) \alpha^\varrho \beta^\mu \gamma^\delta}{\Gamma(\mu + 1) \Gamma(\delta + 1) p^{\mu + \delta + \lambda + \varrho - 1} 2^{\varrho + \mu + \delta + 1}} \cdot \\ \cdot F_c \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu + \varrho + \delta), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu + \varrho + \delta + 1); \varrho + 1, \mu + 1, \delta + 1; \frac{\alpha^2}{p^2}, \frac{\beta^2}{p^2}, \frac{\gamma^2}{p^2} \right] \\ \doteqdot x^{\lambda-1} K_\varrho(\alpha x) I_\mu(\beta x) I_\delta(\gamma x), \end{array} \right.$$

valid for  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda + \mu + \delta \pm \varrho) > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(p + \alpha) > |\operatorname{Re} \beta| + |\operatorname{Re} \gamma|$ , on applying the well-known formula

$$(1.6) \quad K_v(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_1 - v} \Gamma(-v) \Gamma(v + 1) I_v(x).$$

Taking  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$  in (1.3), we obtain the following formula

$$(1.7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{\varrho, -\varrho} \sum_{\mu, -\mu} \frac{\Gamma(-\varrho) \Gamma(-\mu) \Gamma(\lambda + \mu + \varrho)}{2^{\mu + \varrho + 2} p^{\mu + \varrho + \lambda - 1}} \alpha^\varrho \beta^\mu \cdot \\ \cdot F_4 \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu + \varrho), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu + \varrho + 1); \varrho + 1, \mu + 1; \frac{\alpha^2}{p^2}, \frac{\beta^2}{p^2} \right] \\ \doteqdot x^{\lambda-1} K_\varrho(\alpha x) K_\mu(\beta x), \end{array} \right.$$

valid for  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda \pm \mu \pm \varrho) > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(p + \alpha + \beta) > 0$ .

**2. – Theorem (a). If**

$$\Phi(p) \doteqdot h(t), \quad \Psi(p) \doteqdot K_\mu(ct) K_\varrho(bt) h(t),$$

then

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cosh \mu \theta \cosh \varphi \cdot (a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta)^{-1} \cdot \\ \cdot \Phi(a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta) d\theta d\varphi = \frac{1}{a} \Psi(a),$$

provided the integrals are absolutely convergent and  $\operatorname{Re} b > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re} c > 0$ .

**Proof.** By definition, we have

$$\Phi(p) = p \int_0^\infty e^{-px} h(x) dx,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cosh \mu \theta \cosh \varphi \cdot (a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta)^{-1} \Phi(a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta) d\theta d\varphi = \\ & = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cosh \mu \theta \cosh \varphi \cdot \left[ \int_0^\infty \exp \{-(a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta)x\} h(x) dx \right] d\theta d\varphi = \\ & = \int_0^\infty e^{-ax} h(x) \left[ \int_0^\infty \cosh \mu \theta \cdot e^{-cx \cosh \theta} d\theta \int_0^\infty \cosh \varphi \cdot e^{-bx \cosh \varphi} d\varphi \right] dx = \\ & = \int_0^\infty e^{-ax} K_\mu(cx) K_\varphi(bx) h(x) dx = \frac{1}{a} \Psi(a), \end{aligned}$$

on changing the order of integration and evaluating the inner integral by means of the formula (ERDÉLYI [1], p. 183)

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^\infty \cosh v \theta \cdot \exp(-a \cosh \theta) d\theta = K_v(a),$$

where  $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$ . The change of the order of integration can be justified by the application of DE LA VALLÉE-POUSSIN'S theorem (cf. [3]) when the integrals involved are absolutely convergent.

### 3. – Theorem (b). If

$$\Phi(p) \doteqdot h(t), \quad \Psi(p) \doteqdot t^{-1} h(t),$$

then

$$(3.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cosh \frac{1}{2} \theta \cosh \frac{1}{2} \varphi \cdot (a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta)^{-1} \cdot \\ \cdot \Phi(a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta) d\theta d\varphi = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{bc} (a+b+c)} \Psi(a+b+c), \end{array} \right.$$

provided the integrals are absolutely convergent and  $\operatorname{Re} b > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re} c > 0$ .

**Proof.** (3.1) can be proved in the similar manner as (2.1) by using the formula

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^\infty \cosh^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \exp(-a \cosh \theta) d\theta = \left( \frac{\pi}{2a} \right)^{1/2} e^{-a},$$

where  $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$ , instead of (2.2). (3.2) has been deduced from (2.2) by using the well-known formula

$$(3.3) \quad K_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}(x) = \left( \frac{\pi}{2x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-x}.$$

4. – We now proceed to evaluate a few infinite double integrals by applying the theorems (2.1) and (3.1).

**Example I.** If we take in Theorem (a)  $h(t) = t^{\lambda-1}$ , then (ERDÉLYI [1], p. 137, eqn. (1))

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi(p) = p^{1-\lambda} \Gamma(\lambda), \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} p > 0,$$

and [cf. (1.7)]

$$(4.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Psi(p) = \sum_{\varrho, -\varrho} \sum_{\mu, -\mu} \frac{\Gamma(-\varrho) \Gamma(-\mu) \Gamma(\lambda + \mu + \varrho)}{2^{\mu+\varrho+2} p^{\lambda+\mu+\varrho-1}} b^\varrho c^\mu \cdot \\ \quad \cdot F_4 \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu + \varrho), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu + \varrho + 1); \varrho + 1, \mu + 1; \frac{b^2}{p^2}, \frac{c^2}{p^2} \right], \\ \quad \operatorname{Re}(\lambda \pm \mu \pm \varrho) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(p + b + c) > 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Using (4.1) and (4.2) in (2.1), we have

$$(4.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cosh \mu \theta \cosh \varrho \varphi \cdot (a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta)^{-1} d\theta d\varphi = \\ = \sum_{\varrho, -\varrho} \sum_{\mu, -\mu} \frac{\Gamma(-\varrho) \Gamma(-\mu) \Gamma(\lambda + \mu + \varrho) b^\varrho c^\mu}{2^{\mu+\varrho+2} a^{\lambda+\mu+\varrho} \Gamma(\lambda)} \cdot \\ \quad \cdot F_4 \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu + \varrho), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu + \varrho + 1); \varrho + 1, \mu + 1; \frac{b^2}{a^2}, \frac{c^2}{a^2} \right], \end{array} \right.$$

valid for  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda \pm \mu \pm \varrho) > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} b > \operatorname{Re} a$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} c > \operatorname{Re} a$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$ . In

particular if we take  $\lambda = 1/2$  in (4.3), we obtain the following interesting integral:

$$(4.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cosh \mu \theta \cosh \varrho \varphi \cdot (a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta)^{-1/2} d\theta d\varphi = \\ = \frac{d^{1/2} \cos \varrho \pi \cos \mu \pi \Gamma(1 - \varrho - \mu)}{\sqrt{\pi} \cos(\mu + \varrho)\pi \cos(\mu - \varrho)\pi} Q_{\varrho + 1/2}^{-\mu}(\cosh \alpha) Q_{\mu - 1/2}^{-\varrho}(\cosh \beta), \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\sinh \alpha = c d$ ,  $\sinh \beta = b d$ ,  $\cosh \alpha \cosh \beta = a d$ ,  $|\operatorname{Im} \alpha| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $|\operatorname{Im} \beta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{2} \pm \varrho \pm \mu) > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} b > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} c > 0$ .

**Example 2.** If we take in Theorem (a)  $h(t) = t^{\alpha - 1/2} I_\beta(t)$ , then (MACROBERT [4], p. 342)

$$(4.5) \quad \Phi(p) = \sqrt{2/\pi} p(p^2 - 1)^{-1/2\alpha} Q_{\beta - 1/2}^\alpha(p) \quad (1), \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha + \beta) > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \operatorname{Re} p > 1,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Psi(p) = \sum_{\varrho = -\varrho} \sum_{\mu = -\mu} \frac{\Gamma(-\varrho) \Gamma(-\mu) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \varrho + \mu + \frac{1}{2})}{p^{\alpha + \beta + \varrho + \mu - \frac{1}{2}} 2\varrho + \mu + \beta + 2 \Gamma(\beta + 1)} b^\varrho c^\mu. \\ \cdot F_c \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \varrho + \mu + \frac{1}{2}), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \varrho + \mu + \frac{3}{2}); \varrho + 1, \mu + 1, \beta + 1; \frac{b^2}{p^2}, \frac{c^2}{p^2}, \frac{1}{p^2} \right], \\ \operatorname{Re}(\alpha + \beta \pm \varrho \pm \mu + \frac{1}{2}) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(p + b + c) > 1. \end{array} \right.$$

Using (4.5) and (4.6) in (2.1), we get

$$(4.7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cosh \mu \theta \cosh \varrho \varphi \cdot [(a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta)^2 - 1]^{-1/2\alpha} \cdot \\ \cdot Q_{\beta - 1/2}^\alpha(a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta) d\theta d\varphi = \\ = \sum_{\varrho = -\varrho} \sum_{\mu = -\mu} \frac{\Gamma(-\varrho) \Gamma(-\mu) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \varrho + \mu + \frac{1}{2}) \sqrt{\pi}}{a^{\alpha + \beta + \varrho + \mu + \frac{1}{2}} 2\varrho + \mu + \beta + 5/2 \Gamma(\beta + 1)} b^\varrho c^\mu. \\ \cdot F_c \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \varrho + \mu + \frac{1}{2}), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \varrho + \mu + \frac{3}{2}); \varrho + 1, \mu + 1, \beta + 1; \frac{b^2}{a^2}, \frac{c^2}{a^2}, \frac{1}{a^2} \right], \end{array} \right.$$

(1) We have used MACROBERT's definition of  $Q_n^m(x)$  and [cf. (1.3)].

valid for  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha + \beta + \varrho + \mu + \frac{1}{2}) > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} a > \operatorname{Re} b$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} a > \operatorname{Re} c$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} a > 1$ .

**Example 3.** Taking, in Theorem (a),  $h(t) = t^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} K_\beta(t)$ , then (cf. ERDÉLYI [1], p. 198)

$$(4.8) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi(p) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\alpha - \beta + \frac{1}{2}) p(p^2 - 1)^{-(\alpha + \beta)} P_{\beta - 1/2}^{-\alpha}(p) \\ \operatorname{Re}(\alpha \pm \beta + \frac{1}{2}) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(p + 1) > 0, \end{array} \right.$$

and [cf. (1.4)]

$$(4.9) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Psi(p) = \sum_{\mu, -\mu} \sum_{\varrho, -\varrho} \sum_{\beta, -\beta} \frac{\Gamma(-\mu) \Gamma(-\varrho) \Gamma(-\beta) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \mu + \varrho + \frac{1}{2}) b^\varrho c^\mu}{2\varrho + \mu + \beta + 3} \\ \cdot F_c \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \mu + \varrho + \frac{1}{2}), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \mu + \varrho + \frac{3}{2}); \varrho + 1, \mu + 1, \beta + 1; \frac{b^2}{p^2}, \frac{c^2}{p^2}, \frac{1}{p^2} \right], \\ \operatorname{Re}(\alpha \pm \beta \pm \mu \pm \varrho + \frac{1}{2}) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(p + b + c + 1) > 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Using (4.8) and (4.9) in (2.1), we have

$$(4.10) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cosh \mu \theta \cosh \varrho \varphi \cdot [(a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta)^2 - 1]^{-(\alpha + \beta)} \\ \cdot P_{\beta - 1/2}^{-\alpha}(a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta) d\theta d\varphi = \\ = \sum_{\mu, -\mu} \sum_{\varrho, -\varrho} \sum_{\beta, -\beta} \frac{\Gamma(-\mu) \Gamma(-\varrho) \Gamma(-\beta) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \mu + \varrho + \frac{1}{2}) b^\varrho c^\mu}{\sqrt{\pi} 2\varrho + \mu + \beta + 5/2} \\ \cdot F_c \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \mu + \varrho + \frac{1}{2}), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \mu + \varrho + \frac{3}{2}); \varrho + 1, \mu + 1, \beta + 1; \frac{b^2}{a^2}, \frac{c^2}{a^2}, \frac{1}{a^2} \right], \end{array} \right.$$

valid for  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha \pm \beta \pm \mu \pm \varrho + \frac{1}{2}) > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} a > \operatorname{Re} b$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} a > \operatorname{Re} c$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} a > 1$ .

**Example 4.** Now we take in Theorem (b)  $h(t) = t^{-\lambda} G_{\gamma, \delta+1}^{\alpha, \beta} \left[ t \mid \begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_\gamma \\ b_1, \dots, b_\delta, \lambda \end{matrix} \right]$ , then (cf. ERDÉLYI [1], p. 222)

$$(4.11) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi(p) = p^\lambda G_{\gamma, \delta}^{\alpha, \beta} \left[ \frac{1}{p} \mid \begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_\gamma \\ b_1, \dots, b_\delta \end{matrix} \right] \\ \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 1 + \operatorname{Re} b_j, \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha) \\ \gamma + \delta < 2(\alpha + \beta), \quad |\arg p| < (\alpha + \beta - \frac{1}{2}\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\delta)\pi. \end{array} \right.$$

Using the values of  $\Phi(p)$  and  $\Psi(p)$  (which can be obtained in the same way) in (3.1), we get

$$(4.12) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cosh \mu \theta \cosh \varphi \cdot (a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta)^{\lambda-1} \\ \cdot G_{\gamma, \delta}^{\alpha, \beta} \left[ \frac{1}{a + b \cosh \varphi + c \cosh \theta} \left| \begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_\gamma \\ b_1, \dots, b_\delta \end{array} \right. \right] d\theta d\varphi = \\ = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{bc}(a+b+c)^{-\lambda}} G_{\gamma+1, \delta+1}^{\alpha, \beta+1} \left[ (a+b+c)^{-1} \left| \begin{array}{c} \lambda+1, a_1, \dots, a_\gamma \\ b_1, \dots, b_\delta, \lambda \end{array} \right. \right], \end{array} \right.$$

valid by analytic continuation for  $\operatorname{Re} b > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} c > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \delta$ ,  $0 \leq \beta \leq \gamma$ ,  $\gamma + \delta < 2(\alpha + \beta)$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda + b_j) < 0$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$ ).

Since the  $G$ -function is a generalization of a great many of the special functions occurring in applied mathematics, (4.12) can yield results involving BESSEL and WHITTAKER functions.

### References.

- [1] A. ERDÉLYI, *Tables of Integral Transforms*, Vol. 1, MacGraw-Hill, New York 1954.
- [2] B. L. SHARMA, *Integrals involving Legendre function*, Collect. Math. **17** (1965), 85-93.
- [3] T. J. F. A. BROMWICH, *An Introduction to the Infinite Series*, London 1959.
- [4] T. M. MACROBERT, *Spherical Harmonics*, Methuen, London 1947.

\* \* \*

