## PAWAN KUMAR KAMTHAN (\*)

## On the Order and Type of Entire Functions. (\*\*)

## 1. - Introduction.

Let  $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n$  be an entire function of order  $\varrho$   $(0 \le \varrho \le \infty)$  and lower order  $\lambda$   $(0 \le \lambda \le \infty)$ , then

(1.1) 
$$\frac{\overline{\operatorname{Lt}}}{n \to \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log |A_n|^{-1}} = \begin{cases} \varrho \\ \lambda \end{cases};$$

the lower limit being only true if (see Shah [3], p. 1047)  $|A_n/A_{n+1}|$  is a non-decreasing function of n for  $n>n_0$ . Further, if T, t be respectively the type and lower type of F(z), then

(1.2) 
$$\frac{\overline{\operatorname{Lt}}}{n \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{n}{\varrho \, e} \, | \, A_n \, |^{\varrho / n} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} T \\ t \end{array} \right. ;$$

the lower limit being only true if  $|A_n/A_{n+1}|$  is a non-decreasing function of n for  $n > n_0$  (see Shah [4], p. 45). For the existence of type T see Boas ([1], p. 11). We shall throughout suppose that T and t are positive and finite real numbers. A number of relations between two or more entire functions, connecting their orders, lower orders, types and lower types have been recently obtained by a few authors. We, however, wish to state one of their theorems: in fact, S. N. SRIVASTAVA ([7], p. 274) has recently proved:

Theorem A. If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be entire functions of the same order  $\varrho$  (0 <  $\varrho$  <  $\infty$ ), lower types  $t_1$  (0 <  $t_1$  <  $\infty$ ),  $t_2$  (0 <  $t_2$  <  $\infty$ )

<sup>(\*)</sup> Indirizzo: Department of Mathematics, McMaster University, Hamilton. Canadà.

<sup>(\*\*)</sup> Ricevuto: 2-VIII-1962.

respectively and  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$ ,  $|b_n/b_{n+1}|$  be non-decreasing functions for  $n > n_0$ , then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $|c_n| \sim |\sqrt{|a_n| |b_n|}|$ , is an entire function of order  $\varrho$  and lower type t such that

$$t \geqslant \sqrt{t_1 t_2}$$
.

It is natural to think of as to what will happen of t, that is, what connection t will have with  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  if  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are of orders  $\varrho_1$  and  $\varrho_2$  respectively. The answer is contained in Theorem 1 below, where we assume a different condition, though strong in some sense, connecting the asymtotic behaviour of  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$  and  $c_n$ , than Theorem A. Besides, we have obtained several other theorems which furnish the connections between  $\varrho_1$ ,  $\varrho_2$  and  $\varrho$ ;  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_2$ , etc. etc.

2. – Theorem 1. If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be entire functions of orders  $\varrho_1$  (0 <  $\varrho_1$  <  $\infty$ ) and  $\varrho_2$  (0 <  $\varrho_2$  <  $\infty$ ); lower types  $t_1$  (0 <  $t_1$  <  $\infty$ ),  $t_2$  (0 <  $t_2$  <  $\infty$ ) respectively, and if  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$ ,  $|b_n/b_{n+1}|$  be non-decreasing functions of n for  $n > n_0$ , then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  where (i)  $\log |c_n|^{-1} \sim |(\log |a_n|^{-1})^{\alpha} (\log |b_n|^{-1})^{\beta}|$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , (ii)  $|c_n/c_{n+1}|$  is a non-decreasing function of n for  $n > n_0$ , is also an entire function of order  $\varrho$  and lower type t such that

$$\varrho \leqslant \varrho_1^x \, \varrho_2^\beta \,,$$

$$(2.2) t \geqslant t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta}.$$

**Proof.** First, we prove that f(z) is an entire function. Since  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are entire functions, hence

(2.3) 
$$\underline{\operatorname{Lt}}_{n\to\infty} |a_n|^{-1/n} = \underline{\operatorname{Lt}}_{n\to\infty} |b_n|^{-1/n} = \infty ,$$

therefore, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (\log \mid a_n \mid^{-1})^{\alpha} > (n \log (R - \varepsilon))^{\alpha}, & n > n_1 \\ \\ (\log \mid b_n \mid^{-1})^{\beta} > (n \log (R - \varepsilon))^{\beta}, & n > n_2 \end{array} \right.$$

Hence using condition (i) of the Theorem, we find that for n sufficiently large

$$\log |c_n|^{-1} > n \log(R - \varepsilon),$$

which means that f(z) is an entire function. Again  $f_1(z)$  is of order  $\varrho$ , hence from (1.1) we have

$$\frac{\log |a_n|^{-1}}{n \log n} > \frac{1}{\varrho_1 + \varepsilon}, \qquad n > n_1, \ \varepsilon > 0.$$

Or, we have

$$(\log |a_n|^{-1})^{\alpha} > \left\{ \frac{n \log n}{\varrho_1 + \varepsilon} \right\}^{\alpha}, \qquad n > n_1, \ \varepsilon > 0.$$

Similarly for  $f_2(z)$  we have

$$(\log |b_n|^{-1})^{\beta} > \left\{ \frac{n \log n}{\varrho_2 + \varepsilon} \right\}^{\beta}, \qquad n > n_2, \ \varepsilon > 0.$$

Therefore, for  $n > \max(n_1, n_2)$ ,

$$(\log \mid a_n \mid^{-1})^x (\log \mid b_n \mid^{-1})^{\beta} > \frac{n \log n}{(\varrho_1 + \varepsilon)^x (\varrho_2 + \varepsilon)^{\beta}},$$

since  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ . But

$$\log |c_n|^{-1} \sim (\log |a_n|^{-1})^{\alpha} (\log |b_n|^{-1})^{\beta}$$
.

Therefore, for n sufficiently large,

$$rac{\log \mid c_n \mid^{-1}}{n \log n} > rac{1}{(arrho_1 + arepsilon)^{lpha} (arrho_2 + arepsilon)^{eta}} \, ,$$

which means that

$$\frac{1}{\varrho} = \underbrace{\operatorname{Lt}}_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log |c_n|^{-1}}{n \log n} \geqslant \frac{1}{\varrho_1^{\alpha} \varrho_2^{\beta}},$$

and this proves (2.1). We turn to the proof of (2.2). Using (1.2) for  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$ , we have

$$(2.5) \qquad \frac{n}{e \, \varrho_1} \, \big| \, a_n \, \big|^{\varrho_1 / n} \, > t_1 - \varepsilon_1, \qquad n > n_1 \, ,$$

$$(2.6) \qquad \frac{n}{e \, \rho_2} \left| b_n \right|^{\varrho_2/n} > t_2 - \varepsilon_2, \qquad n > n_2 \, .$$

From (2.5) and (2.6) we have

$$(\log \mid a_n \mid^{-1})^{\alpha} < \left[ \frac{n}{\varrho_1} \log \frac{n}{e\varrho_1(t_1 - \varepsilon_1)} \right]^{\alpha}, \quad n > n_1,$$

$$(\log \mid b_n \mid^{-1})^{\beta} < \left[ \frac{n}{\varrho_2} \log \frac{n}{e \varrho_2 (t_2 - \varepsilon_2)} \right]^{\beta}, \quad n > n_2,$$

and so for n sufficiently large, we have from these two preceding inequalities after having multiplied them together

$$(\log \mid a_n \mid^{-1})^{\alpha} \ (\log \mid b_n \mid^{-1})^{\beta} < \frac{n}{\varrho_1^{\alpha} \ \varrho^{\beta}} \bigg( \log \frac{n}{A} \bigg)^{\alpha} \bigg( \log \frac{n}{B} \bigg)^{\beta},$$

where

$$A = e \ \varrho_1 \ (t_1 - \varepsilon_1), \qquad B = e \ \varrho_2 \ (t - \varepsilon_2) \ .$$

Thus if

$$\log |c_n|^{-1} \sim (\log |a_n|^{-1})^{\alpha} (\log |b_n|^{-1})^{\beta},$$

we have, for sufficiently large n,

(2.7) 
$$\log |c_n|^{-1} < \frac{n}{\varrho_1^{\alpha} \varrho_2^{\beta}} \left(\log \frac{n}{A}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\log \frac{n}{B}\right)^{\beta}.$$

But from (2.1)  $\varrho_1^{-\alpha} \varrho_2^{-\beta} \leqslant \varrho^{-1}$ , and so for large n,

$$\begin{split} \log \mid c_n \mid^{-1} &< \frac{n}{\varrho} \left( \log n - \log A \right)^{\alpha} (\log n - \log B)^{\beta} \\ &= \frac{n}{\varrho} \left( 1 - \frac{\log A}{\log n} \right)^{\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{\log B}{\log n} \right)^{\beta} \log n \\ &< \frac{n}{\varrho} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\alpha \log A}{\log n} + O \left( (\log n)^{-2} \right) \right\} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\beta \log B}{\log n} + O \left( (\log n)^{-2} \right) \right\} \log n \\ &= \frac{n}{\varrho} \left[ 1 - \frac{\log \left( A^{\alpha} B^{\beta} \right)}{\log n} + O \left( (\log n)^{-2} \right) \right] \log n \;. \end{split}$$

Therefore for large n

$$1/|c_n|^{\varrho/n} < n^{\left\{1 - \frac{\log(A^{\alpha} B^{\beta})}{\log n} + O(\log n)^{-2}\right\}}$$

 $\mathbf{or}$ 

$$\frac{\varrho e \, n \, |c_n|^{\varrho/n}}{\varrho \, e} > n^{\left\{\frac{\log (A^{\alpha} B^{\beta})}{\log n} + O((\log n)^{-2})\right\}},$$

and since

$$\operatorname{Lt}_{n\to\infty}^{n} \left\{ \frac{\log (A^{\alpha} B^{\beta})}{\log n} + O(\log n)^{-2} \right\} = A^{\prime \alpha} B^{\prime \beta},$$

where

$$A' = e \varrho_1 t_1, \quad B' = e \varrho_2 t_2,$$

we find that

$$\varrho \ e \ t \geqslant e^{\alpha+\beta} \ t_1^{\alpha} \ t_2^{\beta} \ \varrho_1^{\alpha} \ \varrho_2^{\beta}$$

and using (2.1) again, we have finally

$$t \geqslant t_{\mathbf{1}}^{\alpha} \ t_{\mathbf{2}}^{\beta}$$
 ,

which proves (2.2).

We also prove:

Theorem 1'. If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be two entire functions having the same order  $\varrho$  (0 <  $\varrho$  <  $\infty$ ), lower types  $t_1$  (0 <  $t_1$  <  $\infty$ ),  $t_2$  (0 <  $t_2$  <  $\infty$ ), respectively and if  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$ ,  $|b_n/b_{n+1}|$  be non-decreasing functions of n for  $n > n_0$ , then  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where (i)  $|c_n| \sim ||a_n|^{\alpha} |b_n|^{\beta}|$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , and (ii)  $|c_n/c_{n+1}|$  is a non-decreasing function of n for  $n > n_0$ , is also an entire function of order  $\varrho$  and lower type t, such that

$$t \geqslant t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta}$$
.

Further, if  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are types of  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  respectively, then the type T of f(z) is given by

$$T \geqslant T_1^x T_2^{\beta}$$
.

Proof. Since  $f_1(z)$  is of lower type  $t_1$ , hence

$$\frac{n}{\varrho \, e} \, \mid a_n \, \rvert^{\varrho / n} > t_1 - \varepsilon, \qquad \qquad n > n_1, \quad \varepsilon > 0 \ .$$

Or, we have

(2.1') 
$$\left(\frac{n}{\varrho e}\right)^{\alpha} (\mid a_n\mid^{\alpha})^{\varrho/n} > (t_1 - \varepsilon)^{\alpha}, \qquad n > n_1, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Similarly for  $f_2(z)$ ,

$$\left(\frac{n}{\varrho\,e}\right)^{\beta} \left(\mid b_{\mathbf{n}}\mid^{\beta}\right)^{\varrho/n} > (t_{\mathbf{s}}-\varepsilon)^{\beta}, \qquad n>n_{\mathbf{s}}, \quad \varepsilon>0 \ .$$

Since  $|c_n| \sim |a_n|^{\alpha} |b_n|^{\beta}|$ , we find from multiplying (2.1') and (2.2') that for large n

$$\frac{n}{\varrho \, e} \mid e_n \mid^{\varrho/n} > (t_1 - \varepsilon)^{\alpha} \, (t_2 - \varepsilon)^{\beta} \, .$$

Hence

$$t \geqslant t_1^x t_2^{\beta}$$
.

In the same manner the other part of the theorem follows by considering the types formulae for  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  respectively. The proof of f(z) being an entire fuction and of order  $\rho$  is now not difficult and so is omitted.

Corollary. If  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are of perfectly regular growths, so is f(z) and

$$T=T_1^{\alpha}T_2^{\beta}$$
.

Theorem 2. If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be two entire functions of orders  $\varrho_1$  (0  $< \varrho_1 < \infty$ ),  $\varrho_2$  (0  $< \varrho_2 < \infty$ ) and types  $T_1$  (0  $< T_1 < \infty$ ),  $T_2$  (0  $< T_2 < \infty$ ) respectively, then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n z^n$ , where  $(\log |e_n|^{-1}) \sim (\log |a_n|^{-1})^{\alpha} (\log |b_n|^{-1})^{\beta}$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , is also an entire function of order  $\varrho$  and type T such that

$$T \leqslant T_1^{\alpha} T_2^{\beta},$$

provided  $\varrho = \varrho_1^{\alpha} \varrho_2^{\beta}$ .

Proof. Since  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are respectively the types of  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$ , we have for every arbitrarily chosen  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ , that

$$\frac{n}{e \, 
ho_1} \mid a_n \mid^{\varrho_1/n} < T_1 + \varepsilon_1, \qquad n > n_1,$$

$$rac{n}{e\;
ho_s}\;|\;b_n|^{arrho_s/n}\!< T_2\,+\,arepsilon_2, \qquad n>n_2\,.$$

Proceeding exactly as in Theorem 1 in obtaining the inequality (2.7), we find that, for sufficiently large n,

$$\log |c_n|^{-1} > \frac{n}{\varrho_1^{\alpha} \varrho_2^{\beta}} \left(\log \frac{n}{C}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\log \frac{n}{D}\right)^{\beta},$$

where.

$$C=e\;arrho_1\,(T_1\,+\,arepsilon_1), \qquad \quad D=e\;arrho_2\,(T_2\,+\,arepsilon_2)\;.$$

A similar procedure, as done after the inequality (2.7) in Theorem 1, leads to the required result.

Corollary (of Theorems 1 and 2). We see that if  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  be each of perfectly regular growth and  $\varrho = \varrho_1^\alpha \, \varrho_2^\beta$ , then f(z) is also of perfectly regular growth and

$$T = t = t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} = T_1^{\alpha} T_2^{\beta}.$$

For, from Theorem 1

$$t \gg t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta}$$
,

and from Theorem 2

$$T \leqslant T_1^{\alpha} T_2^{\beta}$$
,

therefore

$$t \geqslant T$$
.

But  $t \leq T$  always, and so

$$t=T$$
.

3. – Theorem 3. If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ ,  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be two entire functions of orders  $\varrho_1$  (0 <  $\varrho_1$  <  $\infty$ ),  $\varrho_2$  (0 <  $\varrho_2$  <  $\infty$ ); lower types  $t_1$  (0 <  $t_1$  <  $\infty$ ),  $t_2$  (0 <  $t_2$  <  $\infty$ ) respectively and  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$ ,  $|b_n/b_{n+1}|$  be non-decreasing functions of  $t_1$  for  $t_2$  <  $t_3$  <  $t_4$  <  $t_4$  <  $t_5$  <  $t_6$  <  $t_7$  <  $t_8$  <

$$(\varrho t)^{1/\varrho} \geqslant (\varrho_1 t_1)^{1/\varrho_1} (\varrho_2 t_2)^{1/\varrho_2}.$$

Further, if  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are of regular growths and have orders  $\varrho_1$ ,  $\varrho_2$  and types  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  respectively  $(0 < \varrho_1 < \infty, \ 0 < \varrho_2 < \infty; \ 0 < T_1 < \infty, \ 0 < T_2 < \infty)$ , then f(z) is also of regular growth and has order  $\varrho$  and type T such that

$$(\varrho T)^{1/\varrho} \leqslant (\varrho_1 T_1)^{1/\varrho_1} (\varrho_2 T_2)^{1/\varrho_2}.$$

Proof. For the first part and under the hypothesis of the theorem, we have ([6], p. 75)

$$\frac{1}{\varrho} \geqslant \frac{1}{\varrho_1} + \frac{1}{\varrho_2}.$$

Now

$$\mathop{\rm Lt}_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log \left|\left. c_n/c_{n+1}\right.\right|}{\log \left\{ \left. \left|\left. a_n/a_{n+1}\right.\right|\right. \left|\left. b_n/b_{n+1}\right.\right|\right.\right\}}=1\;.$$

Hence, for  $n \geqslant n_0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$(3.4) \qquad \log \left| \left| c_n/c_{n+1} \right| < (1+\varepsilon) \left\{ \log \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| + \log \left| \frac{b_n}{b_{n+1}} \right| \right\}.$$

Therefore, giving the values  $n_0$ ,  $n_0 + 1$ , ..., n - 1 to n, we have then, from (3.4),

$$\begin{split} & \log \left| \frac{c_{n_0}}{c_{n_0+1}} \right| < (1 + \varepsilon) \left\{ \log \left| \frac{a_{n_0}}{a_{n_0+1}} \right| + \log \left| \frac{b_{n_0}}{b_{n_0+1}} \right| \right\}, \\ & \log \left| \frac{c_{n_0+1}}{c_{n_0+2}} \right| < (1 + \varepsilon) \left\{ \log \left| \frac{a_{n_0+1}}{a_{n_0+2}} \right| + \log \left| \frac{b_{n_0+1}}{b_{n_0+2}} \right| \right\}, \text{ etc., etc.,} \\ & \log \left| \frac{c_{n-1}}{c_n} \right| < (1 + \varepsilon) \left\{ \log \left| \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} \right| + \log \left| \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} \right| \right\}. \end{split}$$

Adding these inequalities, we find that

$$(3.5) \qquad \log \left| \frac{c_{n_0}}{c_n} \right| < (1 + \varepsilon) \left\{ \log \left| \frac{a_{n_0}}{a_n} \right| + \log \left| \frac{b_{n_0}}{b_n} \right| \right\}.$$

Similarly

(3.6) 
$$\log \left| \frac{c_{n_0}}{c_n} \right| > (1 - \varepsilon) \left\{ \log \left| \frac{a_{n_0}}{a_n} \right| + \log \left| \frac{b_{n_0}}{b_n} \right| \right\}.$$

From (3.5) and (3.6), we find that

(3.7) 
$$\log |c_n| \sim \log \{|a_n| |b_n|\}.$$

Thus we conclude that condition (i) of the theorem is now reduced to (3.7), of course, with the result (3.3). (Result (3.3) can also, under the condition (3.7), be obtained from Theorem 2 of [5], p. 25). In other words, the first part of the theorem now reduces to a result obtained by the author (see Theorem 3 [2]), and therefore (3.2) is proved.

For the second part of the theorem we have ([6], p. 76)

$$\frac{1}{\varrho} = \frac{1}{\varrho_1} + \frac{1}{\varrho_2}$$

and this together with (3.7) roduces to a result obtained by the author (see Theorem 2 [2]), and thus (3.2) is proved.

4. – In this article we shall prove theorems involving certain relationships between the orders and lower orders of two or more entire functions. We begin by proving

Thorem 4. If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be two entire functions of orders  $\varrho_1$  and  $\varrho_2$ , then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $2 (\log |c_n|^{-1})^{-1} \sim (\log |a_n|^{-1})^{-1} + (\log |b_n|^{-1})^{-1}$ , is also an entire function of order  $\varrho$  such that

$$(4.1) 2\rho \leqslant \rho_1 + \rho_2.$$

Further, if  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be the lower orders of  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  respectively, satisfying all the conditions as imposed upon them above, together with  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$ , and  $|b_n/b_{n+1}|$  forming non-decreasing functions of n for  $n > n_0$ , then the function f(z) is also of lower order  $\lambda$  such that

$$(4.2) 2\lambda \geqslant \lambda_1 + \lambda_2.$$

Corollary. If  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are of regular growths, then f(z) is also of regular growth and

$$(4.3) 2\varrho = \varrho_1 + \varrho_2.$$

The result (4.3) can also be obtained even if  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are not of regular growths. But in that case we will have to make some other suppositions as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 5. If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be two entire functions of orders  $\varrho_1$  (0 <  $\varrho_1$  <  $\varrho_2$  ),  $\varrho_2$  (0 <  $\varrho_2$  <  $\varrho_3$ ); types  $f_1$  (0 <  $f_1$  <  $\varrho_3$ ),  $f_2$  (0 <  $f_2$  <  $\varrho_4$ ) and lower types  $f_1$  (0 <  $f_1$  <  $\varrho_4$ ),  $f_2$  (0 <  $f_2$  <  $g_4$ ) and if  $|f_1| = a_n |f_2| = a_n |f_1|$ ,  $|f_2| = a_n |f_2| = a_n |f_1| = a_n |f_2| = a_n |f_2| = a_n |f_1| = a_n |f_2| = a_n |f_1| = a_n |f_2| = a_n |f_2| = a_n |f_1| = a_n |f_2| = a_n |f_2| = a_n |f_2| = a_n |f_1| = a_n |f_2| = a_n |f_$ 

$$2 \varrho = \varrho_1 + \varrho_2.$$

Proof of Theorem 4. First we show that f(z) is an entire function. As  $f_1(z)$  is an entire function, hence

$$(\log \mid a_n \mid^{-1})^{-1} < (\log (R - \varepsilon)^n)^{-1}, \qquad n > n_1, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Similarly for  $f_2(z)$ , we have

$$(\log |b_n|^{-1})^{-1} < (\log (R-\varepsilon)^n)^{-1}, \qquad n > n_2, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Since

$$(4.4) 2 (\log |a_n|^{-1})^{-1} \sim (\log |a_n|^{-1})^{-1} + (\log |b_n|^{-1})^{-1},$$

hence, for sufficiently large n,

$$2 (\log |c_n|^{-1})^{-1} < 2 (\log (R - \varepsilon)^n)^{-1}$$

and so f(z) is an entire function.

Again,  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are of orders  $\varrho_1$  and  $\varrho_2$  respectively, therefore

$$\frac{n \log n}{\log |b_n|^{-1}} < (\varrho_2 + \varepsilon), \qquad n > n_2, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Using (4.4), we find from (4.5) and (4.6) by adding them, that for large n

$$\frac{2n\log n}{\log|e_n|^{-1}} < \varrho_1 + \varrho_2 + 2\varepsilon,$$

and (4.1) follows. Further, since  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$  is non-decreasing, we find on using (1.1) in case of  $f_1(z)$ ,

$$\underbrace{\operatorname{Lt}_{n\to\infty}}_{n\to\infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log |a_n|^{-1}} = \lambda_1.$$

So that

$$\frac{n \log n}{\log |a_n|^{-1}} > \lambda_1 - \varepsilon, \qquad n > n_1, \quad \varepsilon > 0 \ .$$

Similarly for  $f_2(z)$ , we have

$$\frac{n \log n}{\log \mid b_n \mid^{-1}} > \lambda_2 - \varepsilon, \qquad n > n_2, \quad \varepsilon > 0 \ .$$

Proceeding as above for getting the result (4.1), we easily see that (4.2) is proved.

Proof of Theorem 5. Since  $f_1(z)$  is of type  $T_1$  (0  $< T_1 < \infty$ ), hence using (1.2), we see that

$$\frac{n \log n}{\log |a_n|^{-1}} < \frac{n}{\log |a_n|^{-1}} \log \left( e \varrho_1 \left( T_1 + \varepsilon \right) \right) + \varrho_1, \quad n > n_1, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

$$\sim \varrho_1 + o(1).$$

Similarly for large n, we have for  $f_2(z)$  the inequality

$$rac{n \log n}{\log \mid b_n \mid^{-1}} < \varrho_2 + o(1)$$
 .

Hence from (4.4),

$$\frac{2n \log n}{\log |c_n|^{-1}} < \varrho_1 + \varrho_2 + o(1)$$

for large n. Therefore

$$(4.7) 2 \varrho \leqslant \varrho_1 + \varrho_2.$$

Again,  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$  is non-decreasing and  $f_1(z)$  is of lower type  $t_1$  (0  $< t_1 < \infty$ ), therefore on using (1.2) we have

$$\frac{n \, \log n}{\log \mid a_n \mid^{-1}} > \frac{n}{\log \mid a_n \mid^{-1}} \log \left( (t_1 - \varepsilon) \, e \, \varrho_1 \right) \, + \, \varrho_1, \qquad \quad n > n_1, \quad \varepsilon > 0 \ .$$

Similarly for  $f_2(z)$  we have

$$\frac{n\log n}{\log \mid b_n\mid^{-1}} > \frac{n}{\log \mid b_n\mid^{-1}}\log \left( (t_2-\varepsilon) \ e \ \varrho_2 \right) \ + \ \varrho_2, \qquad \qquad n>n_2, \quad \varepsilon>0 \ .$$

Hence

$$(4.8) 2 \varrho \geqslant 2 \lambda = \underbrace{\operatorname{Lt}}_{n \to \infty} \frac{2n \log n}{\log |c_n|^{-1}} \geqslant \varrho_1 + \varrho_2 ,$$

where  $\lambda$  is the lower order of f(z). From (4.7) and (4.8) we get

$$\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$$

Finally, I wish to convey my best thanks to Dr. S. C. MITRA for his helpful guidance.

## Bibliografia.

- [1] R. P. Boas jr., Entire functions, Academie Press, New York 1954.
- [2] P. K. Kamthan, On the order, type and the zeros of an entire function, Raj. Acad. Sci. 9 (1962), 7-16.
- [3] S. M. Shah, On the lower order of integral functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1946), 1046-1052.
- [4] S. M. Shah, On the coefficients of an entire series of finite order, J. London Math. Soc. 26 (1951), 45-46.
- [5] R. S. L. Srivastava, On the order of integral functions, Ganita 10 (1959), 23-30.
- [6] R. S. L. SRIVASTAVA, A note on the order of integral functions, Math. Student 28 (1960), 75-78.
- [7] S. N. SRIVASTAVA, On the order and type of integral functions, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (2) 2 (1961), 265-280.

\* \* \*

