## SATYA NARAIN SRIVASTAVA (\*)

# On the order and type of integral functions. (\*\*)

In this paper we have investigated certain relationship between two or more integral functions. The results obtained involve the coefficients in the Taylor expansion of integral functions, their orders and types. The results are given in three sections.

#### Section I.

1. Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  be an integral function of order  $\varrho$   $(0 \le \varrho \le \infty)$  and lower order  $\lambda$   $(0 \le \lambda \le \infty)$ . It is known [1, p. 9] that f(z) is an integral function of finite order  $\varrho$   $(0 \le \varrho < \infty)$ , if and only if,

(1.1) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup \frac{n\log n}{\log\{1/|c_n|\}} = \varrho.$$

It is also known [2, p. 1046] that if f(z) is an integral function of lower order  $\lambda$  (0  $\leq \lambda \leq \infty$ ), then

(1.2) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \frac{n \log n}{\log \left\{ \frac{1}{|c_n|} \right\}} \leqslant \lambda.$$

Further, if f(z) is an integral function of order  $\varrho$  and lower order  $\lambda$  ( $0 \le \lambda \le \infty$ ) and  $|e_n/e_{n+1}|$  is a non-decreasing function of n, for  $n > n_0$ , then [2, p. 1047]

(1.3) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\frac{\log n}{\log|e_n/e_{n+1}|} = \lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\frac{n\log n}{\log\{1/|e_n|\}} = \lambda,$$

<sup>(\*)</sup> Indirizzo: Dept. of Math. and Astro., Lucknow University, Lucknow (India).

<sup>(\*\*)</sup> Ricevuto il 26 gennaio 1961.

and

(1.4) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup \frac{\log n}{\log |c_n/c_{n+1}|} = \varrho.$$

1.1 Theorem 1. – If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of finite orders  $\varrho_1$ ,  $\varrho_2$  respectively, then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $\log \{1/|c_n|\} \sim |\sqrt{\log \{1/|a_n|\}} \log \{1/|b_n|\}|$ , is an integral function, such that

$$\sqrt{\rho_1 \, \rho_2} \geqslant \rho$$
,

where  $\varrho$  is the order of f(z).

Proof. – Using (1.1) for  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$ , we have, for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\frac{\log\{1/\!\mid a_n\mid\}}{n\log n} > \left(\frac{1}{\varrho_1} - \varepsilon\right),\,$$

for  $n > n_1$ , and

$$\frac{\log\{|1/||b_n||\}}{n\log n} > \left(\frac{1}{\varrho_2} - \varepsilon\right),$$

for  $n > n_2$ . Hence, for sufficiently large n,

$$\frac{\log\{|1/||a_n||\}|\log\{|1/||b_n||\}}{(n\log n)^2} > \left(\frac{1}{\varrho_1} - \varepsilon\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varrho_2} - \varepsilon\right).$$

Thus, if  $\log\{1/|c_n|\} \sim |\sqrt{\log\{1/|a_n|\}\log\{1/|b_n|\}}|$ , we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\frac{\log\{|1/||c_n||\}}{n\log n}\geqslant\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho_1\,\varrho_2}}.$$

Therefore,  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{n\log n}{\log\left\{1/|c_n|\right\}}$  is positive and finite. Hence from (1.1) f(z) is an integral function and

$$\sqrt{\varrho_1 \varrho_2} \geqslant \varrho$$
,

where  $\varrho$  is the order of f(z).

COROLLARY. – If  $f_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(k)} z^n$ , (k=1, 2, ..., m), be m integral functions of finite orders  $\varrho_1, \varrho_2, ..., \varrho_m$  respectively, then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $\log\{1/|c_n|\} \sim |\lceil \log\{1/|a_n^{(1)}|\} \log\{1/|a_n^{(2)}|\} ... \log\{1/|a_n^{(m)}|\}\rceil^{1/m}|$ , is an integral function, such that

$$\{ \varrho_1 \varrho_2 \dots \varrho_m \}^{1/m} \geqslant \varrho ,$$

where f(z) is of order  $\rho$ .

1.2 Theorem 2. – If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of regular growth and of finite orders  $\varrho_1$ ,  $\varrho_2$  respectively, then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $\log\{1/|c_n|\} \sim |\sqrt{\log\{1/|a_n|\}\log\{1/|b_n|\}}|$ , is an integral function of regular growth and order  $\varrho$ , such that

$$\sqrt{\varrho_1 \, \varrho_2} = \varrho \, .$$

Proof. - Using (1.1), we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log\{1/|a_n|\}}{n\log n}=\frac{1}{\varrho_1},$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log\{1/|b_n|\}}{n\log n}=\frac{1}{\varrho_2}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{\varrho} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log\left\{\left|\frac{1}{|c_n|}\right|\right\}}{n \log n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\left|\sqrt{\log\left\{\left|\frac{1}{|a_n|}\right|\right\} \log\left\{\left|\frac{1}{|b_n|}\right|\right\}}\right|}{n \log n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho_1 \varrho_2}}$$

since

$$\log \left\{ \left. 1/|\left| \left| c_n \right| \right. \right\} \sim |\sqrt{\log \left\{ \left| 1/|\left| \left| a_n \right| \right. \right\} \log \left\{ \left| \left| 1/|\left| \left| b_n \right| \right. \right| \right. \right\}}| \; .$$

COROLLARY. – If  $f_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(k)} z^n$ , (k=1, 2, ..., m), be m integral functions of regular growth and of finite orders  $\varrho_1, \varrho_2, ..., \varrho_m$  respectively, then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where

$$\log\{1/|c_n|\} \sim |[\log\{1/|a_n^{(1)}|\}\log\{1/|a_n^{(2)}|\}...\log\{1/|a_n^{(m)}|\}]^{1/m}|,$$

is an integral function of regular growth and order  $\varrho$ , such that

$$\left\{ \varrho_1 \varrho_2 \dots \varrho_m \right\}^{1/m} = \varrho .$$

1.3 Theorem 3. – If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of lower orders  $\lambda_1(0 \leqslant \lambda_1 \leqslant \infty)$ ,  $\lambda_2(0 \leqslant \lambda_2 \leqslant \infty)$  respectively and  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$ ,  $|b_n/b_{n+1}|$  be non-decreasing functions for  $n > n_0$ , then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $\log \{1/|a_n|\} \sim |\sqrt{\log\{1/|a_n|\}} \log\{1/|b_n|\}|$ , is an integral function, such that

$$\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \leqslant \lambda$$
,

where  $\lambda$  is the lower order of f(z).

Proof. - Since  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are integral functions, therefore,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf|a_n|^{-1/n}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf|b_n|^{-1/n}=\infty.$$

Also, we have, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n > n_1$ 

$$1/|a_n| > (R-\varepsilon)^n$$

and

$$1/|b_n| > (R - \varepsilon)^n$$
, for  $n > n_2$ .

Therefore, for sufficiently large n,

$$\log \left\{ \left. 1/\left| \right. a_{n} \right. \right| \right\} \log \left\{ \left. 1/\left| \right. b_{n} \right. \right| \right\} > \left\{ \left. n \log \left( R - \varepsilon \right) \right. \right\}^{2}$$

Thus, if  $\log\{1/|c_n|\} \sim |\sqrt{\log\{1/|a_n|\}\log\{1/|b_n|\}}|$ , we have  $\log\{1/|c_n|\} > n\log(R-\varepsilon)$ .

Therefore  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf |c_n|^{-1/n} \ge \infty$  and hence f(z) is an integral function. Using (1.3) for  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$ , we have, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\frac{\log \left\{ \left. 1/\left| \left. a_{n} \right| \right. \right\} }{n \log n} < \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} + \varepsilon \right)$$

for  $n > n_1$ , and

$$\frac{\log\left\{\left.1/\right|b_{n}\left|\right.\right\}}{n\log n} < \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}} + \varepsilon\right)$$

for  $n > n_2$ . Therefore, for sufficiently large n,

$$\frac{\log\{1/|a_n|\}\log\{1/|b_n|\}}{(n\log n)^2} < \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \varepsilon\right)\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \varepsilon\right)$$

Hence, using (1.2), we get

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \leqslant \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \frac{\log \{ |1/||c_n|| \}}{n \log n} \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1 |\lambda_2|}},$$

since  $\log\{1/|c_n|\} \sim |\sqrt{\log\{1/|a_n|\}\log\{1/|b_n|\}}|$ .

COROLLARY. – If  $f_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(k)} z^n$ , (k = 1, 2, ..., m), be m integral functions of lower orders  $\lambda_k(0 \leqslant \lambda_k \leqslant \infty)$ , (k = 1, 2, ..., m) respectively and each of the functions  $|a_n^{(k)}/a_{n+1}^{(k)}|$ , (k = 1, 2, ..., m), be non-decreasing for  $n > n_0$ , then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where

$$\log \left\{ \left. 1/\left| \right. c_{n} \right. \right| \right\} \sim \left| \left. \left[ \log \left\{ \left. 1/\left| \right. a_{n}^{\scriptscriptstyle (1)} \right. \right| \right. \right\} \log \left\{ \left. 1/\left| \right. a_{n}^{\scriptscriptstyle (2)} \right. \right| \right\} \ldots \log \left\{ \left. 1/\left| \right. a_{n}^{\scriptscriptstyle (m)} \right. \right| \right. \right\} \right]^{1/m} \left| \right. ,$$

is an integral function, such that

$$\left\{ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \ldots \lambda_m \right\}^{1/m} \leqslant \lambda$$
,

where  $\lambda$  is the lower order of f(z).

1.4 Theorem 4. – If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of orders  $\varrho_1$ ,  $\varrho_2$  and lower orders  $\lambda_1$  ( $0 \le \lambda_1 \le \infty$ ),  $\lambda_2$  ( $0 \le \lambda_2 \le \infty$ ) respectively, and  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$ ,  $|b_n/b_{n+1}|$  be non-decreasing functions for  $n > n_0$ , then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n z^n$ , where  $\log |e_n/e_{n+1}| \sim |\sqrt{\log |a_n/a_{n+1}| \log |b_n/b_{n+1}|}|$ , is an integral function of order  $\varrho$  and lower order  $\lambda$ , such that

$$(1.5) \sqrt{\varrho_1 \varrho_2} \geqslant \varrho ,$$

and

$$(1.6) \sqrt{\lambda_1 \, \lambda_2} \leqslant \lambda \, .$$

Proof. – Since  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$  is a non-decreasing function, using the relations (1.3) and (1.4) for the function  $f_1(z)$ , we have, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\frac{\log |a_n/a_{n+1}|}{\log n} < \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \varepsilon\right),$$

for  $n > n_1$  and

$$\frac{\log |a_n/a_{n+1}|}{\log n} > \left(\frac{1}{\varrho_1} - \varepsilon\right),$$

for  $n > n_2$ .

Similarly, for the function  $f_2(z)$ , we have, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$(1.9) \qquad \frac{\log |b_n/b_{n+1}|}{\log n} < \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \varepsilon\right),$$

for  $n > n_3$  and

$$\frac{\log \left| b_n / b_{n+1} \right|}{\log n} > \left( \frac{1}{\rho_n} - \varepsilon \right),$$

for  $n > n_4$ .

From (1.7) and (1.9), we have

$$\lim \sup \frac{\log |c_n/c_{n+1}|}{\log n} \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}},$$

since

$$\log |c_n/c_{n+1}| \sim |\sqrt{\log |a_n/a_{n+1}| \log |b_n/b_{n+1}|}|.$$

Hence,

$$\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \leqslant \lambda$$
.

Similarly, from (1.8) and (1.10), we get

$$\sqrt{\varrho_1 \varrho_2} \geqslant \varrho$$
.

COROLLARY 1. – The results of Theorem 4 can be extended to m integral functions.

Corollary 2. – If  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are of regular growth, then so is f(z) and

$$\sqrt{\varrho_1\,\varrho_2}=\varrho$$
.

This follows from (1.5) and (1.6) since, for functions of regular growth,  $\lambda_1 = \varrho_1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \varrho_2$ , and so

$$\varrho \leqslant \sqrt{\varrho_1 \, \varrho_2} = \sqrt{\lambda_1 \, \lambda_2} \leqslant \lambda.$$

But  $\lambda \leqslant \varrho$ , therefore,  $\lambda = \varrho$ .

COROLLARY 3. – If  $f_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(k)} z^n$ , (k=1, 2, ..., m), be m integral functions of regular growth and of orders  $\varrho_1, \varrho_2, ..., \varrho_m$  respectively, then so is the function f(z) and

$$\varrho_1 \varrho_2 \dots \varrho_m = \varrho^m$$
.

#### Section II.

2. Let f(z) be an integral function of order  $\varrho$ . Let T and t be the type and lower type respectively of f(z), defined as

(2.1) 
$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\sup\frac{\log M(r)}{r^\varrho}=T,\ (0\leqslant T\leqslant\infty),$$

and

(2.2) 
$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\inf\frac{\log\ M\ (r)}{r^\varrho}=t,\ (0\leqslant t\leqslant T\leqslant\infty)\ .$$

2.1 Theorem 1. – If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of the same order  $\varrho$  (0 <  $\varrho$  <  $\infty$ ), types  $T_1$  (0 <  $T_1$  <  $\infty$ ) and  $T_2$  (0 <  $T_2$  <  $\infty$ ) and maximum moduli  $M_1(r)$ ,  $M_2(r)$  respectively for |z| = r, then the integral function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $\log M(r) \sim \log\{M_1(r), M_2(r)\}$ , M(r) being the maximum modulus of f(z), is of order  $\varrho$  and type T, such that

$$(2.3) T \leqslant T_1 + T_2.$$

Proof. – Since  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are integral functions of the same order  $\varrho$ , we have [1, p. 8]

$$\log M_1(r) = 0 (r^{\varrho + \varepsilon})$$

and

$$\log M_2(r) = 0 (r^{\varrho + \varepsilon}),$$

for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and large r.

Thus, if  $\log M\left(r\right) \sim \log\left\{M_{1}\left(r\right)M_{2}\left(r\right)\right\}$ , we get  $\log M\left(r\right) = 0$   $(r^{\varrho+\epsilon})$ , for large r.

Hence, the integral function f(z) is of order  $\varrho$ .

Using (2.1) for  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$ , we have, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\frac{\log M_{1}(r)}{r^{\varrho}} < (T_{1} + \varepsilon/2),$$

for  $r > r_1$ , and

$$\frac{\log M_2(r)}{r^\varrho} < (T_2 + \varepsilon/2),$$

for  $r > r_{\rm s}$  . Hence for sufficiently large  $r_{\rm s}$ 

$$\frac{\log \left\{ \right. M_{1} \left. (r) \right. M_{2} \left. (r) \right. \right\}}{r^{\varrho}} < (T_{1} \, + \, T_{2} \, + \, \varepsilon).$$

Thus, if  $\log M(r) \sim \log \{ M_1(r) M_2(r) \}$ , we have

$$T=\lim_{r o\infty}\suprac{\log\,M\,\left(r
ight)}{r^{arrho}}\leqslant T_{1}\,+\,T_{2}\,.$$

COROLLARY. – If  $f_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(k)} z^n$ ,  $(k=1,2,\ldots,m)$ , be m integral functions of the same order  $\varrho$  ( $0 < \varrho < \infty$ ), types  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_m$  ( $0 < T_k < \infty$ ),  $(k=1,2,\ldots,m)$ , and maximum moduli  $M_1(r), M_2(r),\ldots, M_m(r)$  respectively for |z|=r, then the integral function  $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $\log M(r) \sim \log\{M_1(r),M_2(r)\ldots M_m(r)\}$ , M(r) being the maximum modulus of f(z), is of order  $\varrho$  and type T, such that

$$T \leqslant T_1 + T_2 + \ldots + T_m.$$

2.2 Theorem 2. – If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of the same order  $\varrho$  (0 <  $\varrho$  <  $\infty$ ), lower types  $t_1$  (0 <  $t_1$  <  $\infty$ ) and  $t_2$  (0 <  $t_2$  <  $\infty$ ) and maximum moduli  $M_1(r)$ ,  $M_2(r)$  respectively for |z| = r, then the integral function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $\log M(r) \sim \log\{M_1(r) M_2(r)\}$ , M(r) being the maximum modulus of f(z), is of order  $\varrho$  and lower type t, such that

$$(2.4) t \geqslant t_1 + t_2.$$

If we follow the method of proof of Theore n 1 and use (2.2) instead of (2.1), the result follows.

COROLLARY 1. – The result of Theorem 2 can be extended to m integral functions.

COROLLARY 2. – If  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are of perfectly regular growth, then so is the integral function f(z) and

$$T=T_1+T_2$$
.

This follows from (2.3) and (2.4), since, for functions of perfectly regular growth,  $t_1 = T_1$ ,  $t_2 = T_2$ , and so

$$T \leqslant T_1 + T_2 = t_1 + t_2 \leqslant t$$

But  $t \leq T$ , therefore, t = T.

Corollary 3. – If  $f_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(k)} z^n$ ,  $(k=1,\,2,\,\ldots,\,m)$ , be m integral functions of perfectly regular growth, same order  $\varrho$   $(0<\varrho<\infty)$ , types  $T_1,\,T_2,\,\ldots,\,T_m$   $(0< T_k<\infty)$ , for  $k=1,\,2,\,\ldots,\,m$  and maximum moduli  $M_1(r),\,M_2(r),\,\ldots,\,M_m(r)$  respectively for |z|=r, so is the integral function f(z) and

$$T = T_1 + T_2 + ... + T_m$$
.

#### Section III.

3. It is known [1, p. 11] that the necessary and sufficient condition for an integral function f(z) of order  $\varrho$  (0 <  $\varrho$  <  $\infty$ ), be of type T (0 < T <  $\infty$ ) is

(3.1) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{e\varrho} \ n \mid c_n \mid^{\varrho/n} \right\} = T.$$

Further, if  $|c_n/c_{n+1}|$  is a non-decreasing function for all large n, then [3, p. 45]

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\left\{\frac{1}{e\varrho}\,n\,|\,c_n\,|^{\varrho/n}\,\right\}=t\,,$$

where t denotes lower type of f(z).

3.1 Theorem 1. – If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of the same order  $\varrho$  (0 <  $\varrho$  <  $\infty$ ), lower types  $t_1$  (0 <  $t_1$  <  $\infty$ ),  $t_2$  (0 <  $t_2$  <  $\infty$ ) respectively and  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$ ,  $|b_n/b_{n+1}|$  be non-decreasing functions for  $n > n_0$ , then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $|c_n| \sim |\sqrt{|a_n| |b_n|}$ , is an integral function of order  $\varrho$  and lower type t, such that

$$t \geqslant \sqrt{t_1 t_2}$$
.

Proof. – Using (3.2) for functions  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$ , we have, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n > n_1$ ,

$$\frac{n}{e\varrho} \mid a_n \mid^{\varrho/n} > (t_1 - \varepsilon)$$

and

$$\frac{n}{e\rho} \mid b_n \mid^{\varrho/n} > (t_2 - \varepsilon)$$

for  $n > n_2$ . Hence, for sufficiently large n,

$$\left(\frac{n}{e\rho}\right)^{2} \left| a_{n} \right|^{\varrho/n} \left| b_{n} \right|^{\varrho/n} > (t_{1} - \varepsilon) (t_{2} - \varepsilon),$$

or

$$\frac{n}{e_0} \left\{ \left| \sqrt{\left| a_n \right| \left| b_n \right|} \right| \right\}^{\varrho/n} > \sqrt{(t_1 - \varepsilon) (t_2 - \varepsilon)}.$$

Thus, if  $|c_n| \sim |\sqrt{|a_n||b_n|}|$ , we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\left\{\frac{n}{e\varrho}\mid c_n\mid^{\varrho/n}\right\}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\left[\frac{n}{e\varrho}\left\{\mid\sqrt{\mid a_n\mid\mid b_n\mid\mid}\mid\right\}^{\varrho/n}\right]\geqslant\sqrt{t_1\,t_2}\;,$$

on using lemma 1. [4, p. 53].

Since  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are integral functions, therefore,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf|a_n|^{-1/n}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf|b_n|^{-1/n}=\infty.$$

Also, we have, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n > n_1$ ,

$$|a_n| < 1/(R - \varepsilon)^n$$

and

$$|b_n| < 1/(R-\varepsilon)^n$$
,

for  $n > n_2$ .

Therefore, for sufficiently large n,

$$|a_n||b_n|<1/(R-\varepsilon)^{2n}.$$

Thus, if  $|c_n| \sim |\sqrt{|a_n| |b_n|}|$ , we have

$$|c_n| < 1/(R-\varepsilon)^n$$

Therefore  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} |c_n|^{-1/n} \geqslant \infty$ , hence f(z) is an integral function and

$$t \geqslant \sqrt{t_1 t_2}$$

where f(z) is of lower type t.

Srivastava [4, p. 54] has proved the following result for type T of f(z)

$$T \leqslant \sqrt{T_1 T_2}$$

where  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are of types  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  respectively.

Corollary. – The result of the above Theorem can be extended to m integral functions.

3.2 Theorem 2. – If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of finite orders  $\varrho_1$ ,  $\varrho_2$  and types  $T_1(0 < T_1 < \infty)$ ,  $T_2(0 < T_2 < \infty)$  respectively, then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $|c_n| \sim |a_n| |b_n|$ , is an integral function of order  $\varrho$  and type T, such that

$$(3.3) \qquad \left(\frac{T}{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} \leqslant \left(\frac{T_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{\sigma_1} \left(\frac{T_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{\sigma_2}$$

provided

$$\sigma = \varrho^{-1}, \ \sigma_1 = \varrho_1^{-1}, \ \sigma_2 = \varrho_2^{-1} \ \ and \ \ \sigma = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$$
.

Proof. - Since  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are integral functions, therefore,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf |a_n|^{-1/n}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf |b_n|^{-1/n}=\infty.$$

Also  $|c_n| \sim |a_n| |b_n|$ , therefore,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\mid c_n\mid^{-1/n}\geqslant \lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\mid a_n\mid^{-1/n}\times \lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\mid b_n\mid^{-1/n}=\infty$$

and hence f(z) is an integral function.

Further, we have

$$n^{1/\varrho} \mid c_n \mid^{1/n} \sim n^{1/\varrho} \mid a_n \mid^{1/n} \mid b_n \mid^{1/n} <$$
 $< (1 + \varepsilon) n^{a_1 + a_2} \mid a_n \mid^{1/n} \mid b_n \mid^{1/n}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad n > n_0.$ 

Taking limits of both the sides, we get

$$\left(\frac{T}{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} \leqslant \left(\frac{T_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{\sigma_1} \left(\frac{T_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{\sigma_2},$$

since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup n^{1/\varrho}\mid c_n\mid^{1/n}=\left(\frac{eT}{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma},$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup\,n^{\sigma_1}\mid\,a_n\mid^{1/n}\,=\left(\frac{e\,T_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{\sigma_1},\,\,\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup\,n^{\sigma_2}\mid\,b_n\mid^{1/n}\,\,=\,\left(\frac{e\,T_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{\sigma_2}.$$

Note. – Wilson [5, p. 422] has proved this result by taking  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$ .

Corollary. – The result of the above Theorem can be extended to m intergal functions.

3.3 Theorem 3. – If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of finite orders  $\varrho_1$ ,  $\varrho_2$ , lower types  $t_1(0 < t_1 < \infty)$ ,  $t_2(0 < t_2 < \infty)$  respectively and  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$ ,  $|b_n/b_{n+1}|$  be non-decreasing functions for  $n > n_0$ , then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where  $|c_n| \sim |a_n| |b_n|$ , is an integral function of order  $\varrho$  and lower type t, such that

$$\left(\frac{t}{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} \geqslant \left(\frac{t_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{\sigma_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{\sigma_2},$$

provided  $\sigma=\varrho^{\scriptscriptstyle{-1}},~\sigma_1=\varrho_1^{\scriptscriptstyle{-1}}$  and  $\sigma_2=\varrho_2^{\scriptscriptstyle{-1}}$  .

Proof. – It can be proved as in Theorem 2 that f(z) is an integral function. From the asymptotic behaviour of the coefficients, we have

$$n^{1/\varrho} \mid c_n \mid^{1/n} \sim n^{1/\varrho} \mid a_n \mid^{1/n} \mid b_n \mid^{1/n}$$

$$> (1 - \varepsilon) n^{1/\varrho} \mid a_n \mid^{1/n} \mid b_n \mid^{1/n}, \qquad \varepsilon > 0, \quad n > n_0,$$

$$> (1 - \varepsilon) \left\{ n^{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \mid a_n \mid^{1/n} \mid b_n \mid^{1/n} \right\},$$

since  $\sigma \geqslant \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$  [6, p. 25].

Taking limits of both the sides, we get

$$\left(\frac{t}{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} \geqslant \left(\frac{t_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{\sigma_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{\sigma_2},$$

9. - Rivista di matematica.

since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf n^{1/\varrho}\mid c_n\mid^{1/n}=\left(\frac{et}{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma},$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf n^{\sigma_1}\mid a_n\mid^{1/n}=\left(\frac{et_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{\sigma_1},\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\inf n^{\sigma_1}\mid b_n\mid^{1/n}=\left(\frac{et_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{\sigma_2}.$$

COROLLARY 1. – The result of Theorem 3 can be extended to m integral functions.

COROLLARY 2. – If  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are of perfectly regular growth, then so is f(z) and

$$\left(\frac{T}{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} = \left(\frac{T_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{\sigma_1} \left(\frac{T_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{\sigma_2}.$$

This follows from (3.3) and (3.4), since, for functions of perfectly regular growth,  $t_1 = T_1$ ,  $t_2 = T_2$ , and so

$$\left(\frac{T}{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} \leqslant \left(\frac{T_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{\sigma_1} \left(\frac{T_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{\sigma_2} = \left(\frac{t_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{\sigma_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{\sigma_2} \leqslant \left(\frac{t}{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma_2}$$

But  $t \leq T$ , therefore, t = T.

COROLLARY 3. – If  $f_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(k)} z^n$ , (k=1, 2, ..., m), be m integral functions of perfectly regular growth, of finite orders  $\varrho_k$  and types  $T_k(0 < T_k < \infty)$  for k=1, 2, ..., m respectively, then so is the function f(z) and

$$\left(\frac{T}{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} = \left(\frac{T_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{\sigma_1} \left(\frac{T_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{\sigma_2} \cdots \left(\frac{T_m}{\sigma_m}\right)^{\sigma_m}.$$

3.4 Theorem 4. – If  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be integral functions of finite orders  $\varrho_1$ ,  $\varrho_2$ , types  $T_1(0 < T_1 < \infty)$ ,  $T_2(0 < T_2 < \infty)$ , lower types  $t_1(0 < t_1 < \infty)$ ,  $t_2(0 < t_2 < \infty)$  respectively and  $|a_n/a_{n+1}|$ ,  $|b_n|b_{n+1}|$  be non-decreasing functions for  $n > n_0$ , then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , where

 $|c_n| \sim |a_n| |b_n|$ , is an integral function of order  $\varrho$ , type T and lower type t, such that

$$\left( \frac{T}{\sigma} \right)^{\sigma} \geqslant \frac{ \left( \frac{T_1}{\sigma_1} \right)^{\sigma_1} \left( \frac{t_2}{\sigma_2} \right)^{\tau_2} }{ \left( \frac{t_1}{\sigma_1} \right)^{\sigma_1} \left( \frac{T_2}{\sigma_2} \right)^{\sigma_2} } \geqslant \left( \frac{t}{\sigma} \right)^{\sigma} \ ,$$

provided  $\sigma = \varrho^{-1}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \varrho_1^{-1}$ ,  $\sigma_2 = \varrho_2^{-1}$  and  $\sigma = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ .

Proof. - It is well known that for two non-negative functions f(x) and g(x)

 $(3.5) \quad \limsup \left\{ f\left(x\right) g\left(x\right) \right\} \geqslant \lim \sup f\left(x\right) \times \lim \inf g\left(x\right) \\ \lim \inf \left\{ f\left(x\right) g\left(x\right) \right\}.$ 

Here we have,

$$n^{1/\varrho} \mid c_n \mid 1/n \sim n^{1/\varrho} \mid a_n \mid 1/n \mid b_n \mid 1/n.$$

Using (3.5), we get

$$\left( \frac{T}{\sigma} \right)^{\sigma} \geqslant \frac{ \left( \frac{T_1}{\sigma_1} \right)^{\sigma_1} \left( \frac{t_2}{\sigma_2} \right)^{\sigma_2} }{ \left( \frac{t_1}{\sigma_1} \right)^{\sigma_1} \left( \frac{T_2}{\sigma_2} \right)^{\sigma_3}} \geqslant \left( \frac{t}{\sigma} \right)^{\sigma} \; ,$$

since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\mathbf{n}} n^{1/\varrho} \mid e_n \mid^{1/n} = \frac{\left(\frac{eT}{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma}}{\left(\frac{et}{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma}},$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\inf} n^{\sigma_1} \mid a_n \mid {}^{1/n} = \frac{\left(\frac{eT_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{\sigma_1}}{\left(\frac{et_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{\sigma_1}}, \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\inf} n^{\sigma_2} \mid b_n \mid {}^{1/n} = \frac{\left(\frac{eT_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{\sigma_2}}{\left(\frac{et_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{\sigma_2}}.$$

I take this opportunity to express my thanks to Dr. S. K. Bose for his suggestions and guidance in the preparation of this paper.

### References

- [1] R. P. Boas, Entire functions (1954).
- [2] S. M. Shan, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52, (1946) pp. 1046-52.
- [3] S. M. Shah, J. London Math. Soc. 26, (1951), pp. 45-46.
- [4] R. S. L. SRIVASTAVA, Ph. D. Thesis, Lucknow University, India (1959).
- [5] R. Wilson, J. London Math. Soc. 32, (1957), pp. 421-29.
- [6] R. S. L. SRIVASTAVA, Ganita 10, (1959), pp. 23-30.