## JACK K. HALE (\*) .

## Evaluations concerning products of exponential and periodic functions. (\*\*)

Introduction. In the present paper, we study the class  $C_{\omega}$  of functions  $f(x), -\infty < x < +\infty$ , namely, the class of the functions f(x) which are finite sums of products of the type  $e^{xx}\varphi(x)$ , where  $\alpha$  is any complex number and  $\varphi(x)$  is any single-valued, real or complex, periodic function of period  $T = 2\pi/\omega$ , integrable in the sense of Lebesgue in [0,T]. For this class of functions, a concept of mean value m[f] is introduced as a generalization of the ordinary concept of mean value (or average) for purely periodic functions. In extension of a well-known statement for periodic functions, here, it also occurs that a function  $f \in C_{\omega}$  has a primitive  $F \in C_{\omega}$  if and only if m[f] = 0 (§ 5). Functions  $f(x) \in C_{\omega}$  are considered in questions of asymptotic behavior of solutions of ordinary differential equations and the particular primitive F(x) of f(x),  $F(x) \in C_{\omega}$ , is commonly denoted by  $\int f(x) \, dx$  (see A. Liapounoff [4] (1), S. Lefschetz [3]; see also L. Cesari [1]). This integral  $\int f(x) \, dx$  can be given as an improper integral  $\int_{-\infty}^{x} f(x) \, dx$  when  $\mathcal{R}(\alpha) \neq 0$ ; see [3].

A first question is whether the particular primitive  $\int f(x) dx$  is the definite integral of f(x), say  $F(x) = \int_{\xi}^{x} f(t) dt$ , where  $\xi$  is some point, independent of x, between 0 and T. It is known that the answer is affirmative for purely periodic, real functions f(x). For general functions  $f(x) = e^{\alpha x} \varphi(x)$ , with  $\varphi(x)$ 

<sup>(\*)</sup> Address: Sandia Corporation, Albuquerque, New M xico, U.S.A..

<sup>(\*\*)</sup> Received March 23, 1954. The present research has been made possible by a grant from the National Science Foundation [NSF Research Project 0101 at Purdue University under the direction of Professor LAMBERTO CESARI].

<sup>(1)</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to Bibliography at the end of the paper.

real, the statement is not true, as we see by examples, but there is a very particular decomposition  $f = f_1 + f_2$  of f into two functions of the same type, obtained by means of Faltung integrals, such that  $\int f(x) dx = \int_{\xi_1}^{x} f_1(t) dt + \int_{\xi_2}^{x} f_2(t) dt$ , where  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$  are between 0 and T and independent of x (§ 6). A further theorem concerning the evaluations of this primitive states that

$$|\int e^{lpha x} arphi(x) \, \mathrm{d}x| < N(lpha, \ T) \int\limits_0^T |arphi(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x \,, \qquad \qquad 0 \le x \le T,$$

where  $N(\alpha, T)$  is a constant depending only upon the complex constants  $\alpha$ , T, provided  $m[e^{\alpha x}\varphi(x)] = 0$  (§ 8).

We will use all of these theorems in a paper concerning the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of systems of linear differential equations with periodic coefficients. This paper will appear at a later date in this same journal.

1. – The family  $C_{\omega}$  of functions. Let  $C=C_{\omega}$  be the family of all functions which are finite sums of functions of the form  $f(x)=e^{\alpha x}\varphi(x), -\infty < x < +\infty$ , where  $\alpha$  is any complex number and  $\varphi(x)$  is any complex-valued function of the real variable x, periodic of period  $T=2\pi/\omega$ , L-integrable in [0,T]. Thus, the functions  $f(x)=e^{\alpha x}$ ,  $\alpha$  complex, as well as all periodic functions of period T belong to C. Also, the functions f(x)=c, c a complex constant, and, in particular, the function f(x)=0 for all x belong to C. The latter will be called the zero function. We will say f(x) is equivalent to zero if f(x) differs from zero only on a set of Lebesgue measure zero. If a function f(x) belongs to C, we will write briefly  $f \in C$ .

For each function f(x) of the form  $f(x) = e^{ax}\varphi(x)$ , the decomposition  $e^{ax}\varphi(x)$  is not unique since we have also  $f(x) = e^{(\alpha + ik\omega)x} \psi(x)$ , where  $\psi(x) = e^{-ik\omega x}\varphi(x)$ ,  $(k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2,...)$ . Each function f(x) of the family C is of the type

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x) ,$$

and in virtue of the last remark, we can suppose  $\alpha_i \not\equiv \alpha_k \pmod{\omega i}$  for all  $j \neq k$ , (j, k = 1, 2, ..., n). Since each function  $\varphi_i(x)$  is periodic of period  $T = 2\pi/\omega$  and L-integrable in [0, T], we shall denote by

$$\varphi_j(x) \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} C_{jn} e^{in\omega x},$$
  $(j=1, 2, ..., n),$ 

the Fourier series of  $\varphi_i(x)$  and we shall denote the series

(1.1) 
$$f(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} C_{jl} e^{(il\omega + \alpha_j)x}$$

as the series associated with  $f(x) \in C_{\omega}$  provided that  $\alpha_j \not\equiv \alpha_k \pmod{\omega i}$  for all  $j \neq k, (j, k = 1, 2, ..., n)$ .

**2.** - Linear dependence. Definition. The functions  $f_1(x)$ ,  $f_2(x)$ , ...,  $f_n(x)$ ,  $a \le x \le b$ , are linearly dependent on [a, b] if there exist constants  $c_1, c_2, ..., c_n$ , not all zero, such that

$$c_1 f_1(x) + c_2 f_2(x) + ... + c_n f_n(x) = 0$$

almost everywhere (a. e.) in [a, b]. Otherwise, the functions are said to be linearly independent on [a, b].

Lemma (2.i). If the functions  $\varphi_n(x)$  (n=1, 2, ..., N) are periodic of period  $T=2\pi/\omega$ , if no  $\varphi_n(x)$  is equivalent to zero, and if  $\alpha_j \not\equiv \alpha_k \pmod{\omega i}$  for all  $j \neq k$  (j, k=1, 2, ..., N), then the functions  $e^{\alpha_1 x} \varphi_1(x), ..., e^{\alpha_N x} \varphi_N(x)$  are linearly independent on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ .

Proof. There is no constant  $c_1 \neq 0$  such that  $c_1 e^{\alpha_1 x} \varphi_1(x) = 0$  a. e.. Suppose that it has been shown that  $e^{\alpha_1 x} \varphi_1(x), ..., e^{\alpha_{n-1} x} \varphi_{n-1}(x)$  are linearly independent on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ . Also, suppose there exist constants  $c_1, c_2, ..., c_n$  such that

(2.1) 
$$c_1 e^{\alpha_1 x} \varphi_1(x) + \dots + c_n e^{\alpha_n x} \varphi_n(x) = 0$$

a. e. in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ . Consider in the following only the set of all points x for which (2.1) holds at x as well as at x+T, i.e., a.e.. Then we have

(2.2) 
$$c_1 e^{\alpha_1(x+T)} \varphi_1(x+T) + \dots + c_n e^{\alpha_n(x+T)} \varphi_n(x+T) = 0,$$

and, also,

(2.3) 
$$c_1 e^{\alpha_1(x+T)} e^{-\alpha_n T} \varphi_1(x) + \dots + c_n e^{\alpha_n(x+T)} e^{-\alpha_n T} \varphi_n(x) = 0,$$

since  $\varphi_i(x+T) = \varphi_i(x)$ , for i=1,2,... Therefore by subtracting the above

5 - Rivista di Matematica,

formulas (2.1) and (2.3) we get

$$c_1(1-e^{(\alpha_1-\alpha_n)T})\,e^{\alpha_1x}\varphi_1(x)\,+\,\dots\,+\,c_{n-1}(1-e^{(\alpha_{n-1}-\alpha_n)T})\,e^{\alpha_{n-1}x}\varphi_{n-1}(x)=0\;.$$

But, by assumption,  $e^{\alpha_1 x} \varphi_1(x)$ , ...,  $e^{\alpha_{n-1} x} \varphi_{n-1}(x)$  are linearly independent and, thus,

$$c_1(1-e^{(\alpha_1-\alpha_n)T})=\ldots=c_{n-1}(1-e^{(\alpha_{n-1}-\alpha_n)T})=0$$
.

Since  $\alpha_j \not\equiv \alpha_k \pmod{\omega i}$ ,  $j \neq k$ , (j, k = 1, 2, ...), we have  $e^{(\alpha_j - \alpha_k)^T} \not\equiv 1$  for all  $j \neq k$ , and, consequently,  $c_1 = c_2 = ... = c_{n-1} = 0$ . From (2.1), we then have  $c_n = 0$ . Thus,  $e^{\alpha_1 x} \varphi_1(x), ..., e^{\alpha_n x} \varphi_n(x)$  are linearly independent. Thereby, the induction is completed and the lemma has been proved.

Lemma (2.ii). If

$$\sum_{j=1}^n e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m e^{\beta_k x} \psi_k(x) \qquad \text{a.e. in } (-\infty, +\infty),$$

where  $\varphi_j(x)$ ,  $\psi_k(x)$  are periodic of period  $T = 2\pi/\omega$ , (j=1, 2, ..., n; k=1, 2, ..., m), if no one of the  $\varphi_j(x)$ ,  $\psi_k(x)$  is equivalent to zero, and if  $\alpha_j \not\equiv \alpha_k \pmod{\omega i}$ ,  $j \neq k$ ,  $\beta_j \not\equiv \beta_k \pmod{\omega i}$ ,  $j \neq k$ , (j, k=1, 2, ...), then

- a) m=n,
- b)  $e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x) = e^{\beta_j x} \psi_j(x)$  a.e. in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , (j=1, 2, ..., n), the functions

on the right being numbered conveniently, and

c) 
$$\alpha_j \equiv \beta_j \pmod{\omega i}$$
,  $(j = 1, 2, ..., n)$ .

Proof. Though the proof is quite elementary, we give it here for completeness. Let us order the numbers  $\alpha_j$ ,  $\beta_k$  in such a way that  $\alpha_1 \equiv \beta_1$ ,  $\alpha_2 \equiv \beta_2, ..., \alpha_k \equiv \beta_k$ , while the numbers  $\alpha_j$ ,  $h+1 \leq j \leq n$ , and  $\beta_k$ ,  $h+1 \leq k \leq m$  are two by two incongruent mod  $\omega i$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ , n. Then, if  $\psi'_i(x) = e^{(\beta_j - \alpha_j)x}\psi_j(x)$ , (j = 1, 2, ..., k), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{h} e^{\alpha_{j}x} \left[ \varphi_{j}(x) - \psi_{j}'(x) \right] + \sum_{i=h+1}^{n} e^{\alpha_{j}x} \varphi_{j}(x) - \sum_{k=h+1}^{m} e^{\beta_{k}x} \psi_{k}(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } (-\infty, +\infty).$$

By Lemma (2.i), this can only be possible if  $\varphi_i(x) - \psi'_i(x)$  is equivalent to zero for at least one j, say j = 1, and then we have

$$\sum_{j=2}^{h} e^{\alpha_{j}x} \left[ \varphi_{j}(x) - \psi'_{j}(x) \right] + \sum_{j=h+1}^{n} e^{\alpha_{j}x} \varphi_{j}(x) - \sum_{k=h+1}^{m} e^{\beta_{k}x} \psi_{k}(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } (-\infty, +\infty).$$

From here we deduce that  $\varphi_i(x) - \psi_i'(x)$  is equivalent to zero for at least one i. say j=2, and so on. By h repetitions of this argument, we obtain that all functions  $\varphi_{j}(x) - \psi'_{j}(x)$  (j = 1, 2, ..., h) are equivalent to zero and that

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} e^{\alpha_{j}x} \varphi_{j}(x) - \sum_{k=k+1}^{m} e^{\beta_{k}x} \psi_{k}(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } (-\infty, +\infty).$$

But, by Lemma (2.i), this is possible only if h = n and h = m. Thus,  $m=n, \ \alpha_i \equiv \beta_i \pmod{\omega i} \ \text{and} \ \varphi_i(x)=\psi_i'(x) \ \text{a.e. in } (-\infty, +\infty), \ (j=1, 2, ..., n),$ i.e.,  $e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x) = e^{\beta_j x} \psi_j(x)$  a.e. in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , (j=1,...,n).

3. – Theorem. For each  $f(x) \in C_{\omega}$ , the associated series is uniquely determined.

Proof. Suppose  $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{x_j x} \varphi_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{\beta_j x} \psi_j(x)$  a.e. in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , where we can suppose that the numbers  $\alpha_i$  are two by two incongruent mod  $\omega_i$  and the same holds for the numbers  $\beta_i$ . By the preceding Lemma (2.ii), we have m=n and  $\alpha_j\equiv\beta_j\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \omega i),\ e^{\alpha_jx}\varphi_j(x)=e^{\beta_jx}\psi_j(x)$  a. e. in  $(-\infty,\ +\infty),$  $(j=1,\,2,...,\,n)$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that if  $f(x)=e^{\alpha x}\varphi(x)=$  $=e^{\beta x}\psi(x)$  a.e. in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , where  $\varphi(x)$ ,  $\psi(x)$  are periodic of period T, then the series associated with f(x) is uniquely determined. Since  $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{\omega i}$ , we have  $\beta = \alpha - ik\omega$  for some integer k. Thus,  $f(x) = e^{\alpha x} \varphi(x) = e^{\beta x} \psi(x) = e^{\beta x} \psi(x)$  $=e^{\alpha x}e^{-ik\omega x}\psi(x)$  a.e. in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , and  $\psi(x)=e^{ik\omega x}\varphi(x)$  a.e. in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ . Therefore, the FOURIER series of  $\psi(x)$  is

$$\psi(x) = e^{ik\omega x} \varphi(x) \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{n-k} e^{in\omega x},$$

where  $\varphi(x) \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n e^{in\omega x}$ , and the series associated with f(x) according to the definition in section 1 is given by

$$f(x) = e^{\beta x} \psi(x) \approx \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{n-k} e^{(in\omega+i\beta)x} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n e^{(in\omega+ik\omega+\beta)x} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n e^{(in\omega+\alpha)x},$$

which is the series associated with  $e^{\alpha x}\varphi(x)$ . Therefore, the series associated with f(x) is uniquely determined.

Definition. For each function  $f(x) = e^{\alpha x} \varphi(x) \approx$ 

$$m[f] = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 0 & ext{if} & in\omega + lpha 
eq 0 & ext{for all } n, \ c_n & ext{if} & in\omega + lpha = 0 & ext{for some } n. \end{array} 
ight.$$

For each function  $f(x) \in C_{\infty}$ , i.e.,

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{jn} e^{(in\omega + \alpha_j)x},$$

with  $\alpha_j \not\equiv \alpha_k \pmod{\omega i}$  for all  $j \neq k \ (j, k = 1, 2, ..., m)$ , let

$$m[f] = \sum_{j=1}^{m} m \left[ e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x) \right].$$

This concept of mean value was studied by L. Cesari [1, p. 649] for functions f(x) of the form  $f(x) = e^{\alpha x} \varphi(x)$  with  $\alpha$  complex and  $\varphi(x)$  periodic of period T, L-integrable in [0, T], and having absolutely convergent Fourier series.

Remark. If  $f(x) = e^{\alpha x} \varphi(x)$ , and if  $in\omega + \alpha = 0$  for some n, then

$$m[f] = c_n = (1/T) \int_0^T \varphi(x) e^{-in\omega x} dx.$$

In particular, if  $\alpha = 0$ , then

$$m[f] = m[\varphi] = (1/T) \int_{0}^{T} \varphi(x) dx.$$

Lemma (4.i). If  $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x)$ ,  $\alpha_j \equiv \alpha_k \pmod{\omega i}$  for every j, k = 1, 2, ..., n,  $\varphi_j(x)$  periodic of period  $T = 2\pi/\omega$ , (j = 1, 2, ..., n), then

$$m[f] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} m\left[e^{\alpha_{j}x}\varphi_{j}(x)\right].$$

Proof. Suppose  $\alpha_j - \alpha_1 = k_j \omega i$ ,  $k_j$  an integer, j = 1, 2, ..., n,  $k_1 = 0$ . Then

$$f(x) = e^{\alpha_1 x} \sum_{i=1}^n e^{(\alpha_j - \alpha_i)x} \varphi_i(x)$$

and if  $\varphi_i(x) \sim \sum_{h=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{jh} e^{ih\omega x}$ , also

$$e^{(\alpha_j - \alpha_1)x} \varphi_j(x) = e^{k_j \omega ix} \varphi_j(x) \sim \sum_{h=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{j,h-k_j} e^{ih\omega x}.$$

Therefore, by definition,

$$f(x) \approx \sum_{h=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i,h-k_j} \right) e^{(ih\omega + \alpha_1)x}$$
.

If  $ih\omega + \alpha_1 \neq 0$  for all h, then  $ih\omega + \alpha_j \neq 0$  for all h and j = 1, 2, ..., n and m[f] = 0,  $m[e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x)] = 0$  (j = 1, 2, ..., n).

If  $i\hbar\omega+\alpha_1=0$  for some h, then for the same h we have  $(h-k_j)\omega i+\alpha_j=0$ , and

$$m[f] = \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j,h-k_j}, \qquad m\left[e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x)\right] = c_{j,h-k_j}.$$

In any case,

$$m[f] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m \left[ e^{\alpha_{j} x} \varphi_{i}(x) \right].$$

Lemma (4.ii). If  $f(x) = e^{\alpha x} \varphi(x)$ ,  $\varphi(x)$  periodic of period T and if c is any complex number, then m[cf] = c m[f].

Proof. It follows directly from the definition.

Theorem (4.i). If  $f_1(x)$ ,  $f_2(x)$ , ...,  $f_N(x) \in C_{\omega}$  and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , ...,  $c_N$  are complex constants, then  $F(x) = \sum_{h=1}^{N} c_h f_h(x) \in C_{\omega}$  and  $m[F] = \sum_{h=1}^{N} c_h m[f_h]$ .

Proof. Suppose  $f_h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_h} e^{\alpha_{jh}x} \varphi_{jh}(x)$ ,  $\alpha_{jh} \not\equiv \alpha_{kh} \pmod{\omega i}$  for all  $j \neq k$   $(j, k=1, 2, ..., m_h; h=1, 2, ..., N)$ , and  $\varphi_{jh}(x)$  periodic of period T. Then

$$F(x) = \sum_{h=1}^{N} c_h \sum_{j=1}^{m_h} e^{\alpha_{jh} x} \varphi_{jh}(x) = \sum_{h=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{m_h} e^{\alpha_{jh} x} c_h \varphi_{jh}(x) = \sum_{h=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{m_h} e^{\alpha_{jh} x} \varphi_{jh}^*(x) ,$$

where  $\varphi_{j_h}^*(x) = c_h \varphi_{j_h}(x)$  is periodic of period  $T = 2\pi/\omega$ . Thus, by definition,  $F(x) \in C_w$ . Let  $\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_M$  be all the numbers of the set  $\alpha_{j_h}$   $(j = 1, 2, ..., m_h; h = 1, 2, ..., N)$ , which are two by two incongruent, and let  $\alpha_{j_{\nu,k}l_{\nu,k}}$  be the numbers of the set  $\alpha_{j_h}$   $(j = 1, 2, ..., m_h; h = 1, 2, ..., N)$ , such that  $\alpha_{j_{\nu,k}l_{\nu,k}} \equiv \beta_{\nu}$   $(k = 1, ..., \lambda_{\nu})$ . Then F(x) can be written as

$$F(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{M} e^{\beta_{\nu} x} \psi_{\nu}(x) ,$$

where

$$\psi_{\nu}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda_{\nu}} e^{(\alpha_{j_{\nu,k}h_{\nu,k}} - \beta_{\nu})x} e_{h_{\nu,k}} \varphi_{j_{\nu,k}h_{\nu,k}}(x).$$

By definition,  $m[F] = \sum_{\nu=1}^{M} m[e^{\beta\nu} \psi_{\nu}(x)]$ , and by Lemmas (4.i) and (4.ii), we have

$$m\left[e^{\beta_{\nu}x}\psi_{\nu}(x)\right] = \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda_{\nu}} c_{h_{\nu,k}} m\left[e^{\alpha_{j_{\nu,k}h_{\nu,k}}x} \varphi_{j_{\nu,k}h_{\nu,k}}(x)\right].$$

As a consequence, upon rearranging terms, we get

$$m[F] = \sum_{h=1}^{N} c_h \sum_{j=1}^{m_h} m \left[ e^{\alpha_{jh} x} \varphi_{jh}(x) \right] = \sum_{h=1}^{N} c_h m[f_h].$$

5. – Primitives of functions of the class  $C_{\omega}$ . We shall need the following theorems from the theory of Fourier series.

Theorem (5.i). If the function f(x) is periodic of period  $2\pi$  and L-integrable in  $[0, 2\pi]$ , and the function g(x) is of bounded variation in the finite interval  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , then  $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(x) g(x) dx$  may be evaluated by substituting for f(x) its Fourier series, and applying term by term integration, and the series obtained is convergent.

Theorem (5.ii). Let f(x), g(x) be periodic functions of period  $2\pi$ , L-integrable in  $[0, 2\pi]$ , and let

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_m e^{imx}, \qquad g(x) \sim \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} d_m e^{imx},$$

be their Fourier series. Then

(a) 
$$h(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(x+t) g(t) dt$$

exists for almost all x and is L-integrable, and

(b) 
$$h(x) \sim \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_m d_{-m} e^{imx}.$$

For a proof of Theorem (5.i), see E. W. Hobson [2, Vol. II, p. 582], or L. Tonelli [5, p. 343]. For a proof of Theorem (5.ii), see A. Zygmund [6, p. 14]. These theorems are proved for real-valued functions of a real variable, but it is only a formal procedure to show that they also hold true for complex-valued functions of a real variable.

Lemma (5.i). If  $f(x)=e^{\alpha x}\varphi(x)$ ,  $\alpha$  complex,  $\varphi(x)\sim\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}c_n\,e^{in\omega x}$ , and if m[f]=0, then there is a primitive of  $e^{\alpha x}\varphi(x)$ , say  $\int e^{\alpha t}\varphi(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$ , which belongs to  $C_\omega$ , and there is one and only one primitive of  $e^{\alpha x}\varphi(x)$  such that  $m\left[\int e^{\alpha t}\varphi(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\right]=0$ . Moreover, this unique primitive of mean value zero is given by

$$\int e^{\alpha t} \varphi(t) dt = e^{\alpha x} \psi(x) = e^{\alpha x} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n (in\omega + \alpha)^{-1} e^{in\omega x},$$

where  $\psi(x)$  is periodic of period  $T=2\pi/\omega$ .

Proof. Every primitive of  $e^{xt}\varphi(t)$  is of the form

$$\Phi(x) = \int_0^x e^{\alpha t} \varphi(t) dt + C,$$

where C is an arbitrary constant. But, by Theorem (5.i), we may evaluate this integral by susbtituting for  $\varphi(t)$  its FOURIER series and integrating term by term to get

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ c_n (in\omega + \alpha)^{-1} e^{(in\omega + \alpha)t} \right]_0^x + C.$$

We know  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n (in\omega)^{-1} \cdot e^{in\omega x}$  converges uniformly [6, p. 27]. Also, since the sequence  $in\omega(in\omega+\alpha)^{-1}$   $(n=1,2,...;in\omega+\alpha\neq0)$ , is bounded and of bounded variation, we know that the series  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n (in\omega+\alpha)^{-1} \cdot e^{in\omega x}$  converges uniformly [6, p. 3].

Therefore, we may write

$$\varPhi(x) = \left[e^{\alpha t}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n (in\omega+\alpha)^{-1}e^{in\omega t}\right]_0^x + C = \left[e^{\alpha t}\psi(t)\right]_0^x + C = e^{\alpha x}\psi(x) - \psi(0) + C,$$

where  $\psi(x)$  is periodic of period  $T=2\pi/\omega$ . Moreover,  $m\left[e^{\alpha x}\psi(x)\right]=0$ , and if we choose  $C=\psi(0)$ , we have  $m[\Phi]=0$ , as was to be shown. That there is only one such primitive of mean value zero is easily shown. For, suppose there is another, say  $\Phi^*(x)$ ; then  $\Phi=\Phi^*+C$  and, thus, m[C]=0, or C=0.

Theorem (5.iii). Any function  $f(x) \in C_{\omega}$  has a primitive  $F(x) \in C_{\omega}$  if and only if m[f] = 0.

Proof. Let  $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x)$ , where each  $\alpha_j$  is a complex constant and each function  $\varphi_j(x) \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{j,n} e^{in\omega x}$ . Moreover, suppose  $in_j \omega + \alpha_j = 0$  for some  $n_j$  and every j = 1, 2, ..., n, that is  $m \left[ e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x) \right] = c_{j,n_j}$  (j = 1, 2, ..., n). Then, the functions

$$g_{{\it j}}(x) = e^{\alpha_{{\it j}}x} \big[ \varphi_{{\it j}}(x) - c_{{\it j},n_{\it i}} e^{in_{\it j}\omega x} \big] \qquad \qquad (j=1,\,2,...,\,n),$$

are such that  $m[g_i] = 0$  (j = 1, 2, ..., n). Moreover, we have

$$\int f(x) dx = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_j(x) dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n c_{j,n_j} \right) dx.$$

Therefore, from the preceding Lemma (5.i), we know that there is a primi-

tive of  $g_j(x)$  contained in  $C_{\omega}$  and there will be a primitive of  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j,n_j}$  contained in  $C_{\omega}$  if and only if  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j,n_j} = 0$ , i.e., m[f] = 0, by theorem (4.i). If for some j = 1, 2, ..., n, we have  $in\omega + \alpha_j \neq 0$  for all n, then Lemma (5.i) can be applied directly to the corresponding term  $e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x)$ .

6. – Integral form of the primitives in the class  $C_{\omega}$ . The following lemmas will show how these primitives may be obtained as definite integrals.

Lemma (6.i). If  $\varphi(x)$  is a real-valued function, periodic of period  $T=2\pi/\omega$ , L-integrable in [0, T], and if  $m[\varphi]=0$ , then there exists a number  $\xi$ ,  $0<\xi< T$ , such that the function

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{x} \varphi(t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

is periodic of period T, continuous in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  and  $m[\Phi] = 0$ .

Proof. Let  $\psi(x) = \int_0^x \varphi(t) dt$ ,  $C = -(1/T) \int_0^T dx \int_0^x \varphi(t) dt$ , and  $\Phi(x) = \psi(x) + C$ . Then

$$\Phi(x+T) = \psi(x+T) + C = \int_0^T \varphi(t) dt + \int_T^{T+x} \varphi(t) dt + C.$$

By the remark after the definition of mean value in section 4, we have  $m[\varphi] = (1/T) \int_0^T \varphi(t) dt$ , and, thus,  $m[\varphi] = 0$  implies  $\int_0^T \varphi(t) dt = 0$ . Moreover, if we let  $t = T + \tau$  in the second integral, then

$$\Phi(x+T) = \int_0^x \varphi(T+\tau) d\tau + C = \int_0^x \varphi(\tau) d\tau + C = \Phi(x),$$

or,  $\Phi(x)$  is periodic of period T. Moreover,  $\Phi(x)$  is continuous in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ . On the other hand,

$$\int\limits_0^T\! \varPhi(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = \int\limits_0^T\!\mathrm{d}x \int\limits_0^x \varphi(t)\,\mathrm{d}t + CT = \int\limits_0^T\!\mathrm{d}x \int\limits_0^x \varphi(t)\,\mathrm{d}t - \int\limits_0^T\!\mathrm{d}x \int\limits_0^x \varphi(t)\,\mathrm{d}t = 0\;,$$
 and, finally,  $m[\varPhi] = 0$ .

Since  $\Phi(x)$  is continuous in [0, T] and  $\int_0^T \Phi(x) dx = 0$ ,  $\Phi(x)$  has at least one zero  $\xi$  such that  $0 < \xi < T$ . We, therefore, have

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{x} \varphi(t) dt$$
,

since both the first and the second members are primitive functions of  $\varphi(t)$  and both are zero at  $x = \xi$ .

Remark 1. If  $\varphi(x) = \varphi_1(x) + i\varphi_2(x)$ , where  $\varphi_1(x)$ ,  $\varphi_2(x)$  are real-valued functions, periodic of period T, L-integrable in [0, T], and if  $m[\varphi] = 0$ , then there exist numbers  $\xi_1, \xi_2, 0 < \xi_1, \xi_2 < T$ , such that the function

$$\Phi(x) = \int \varphi(t) dt = \int_{\xi_1}^x \varphi_1(t) dt + i \int_{\xi_2}^x \varphi(t) dt$$

is periodic of period T, continuous in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , and  $m[\Phi] = 0$ .

Remark 2. That it is sometimes necessary to take  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$  is shown by the following simple example. Let

$$\Phi(x) = \int (\cos t + i \sin t) dt = \int_{\xi_1}^x \cos t dt + i \int_{\xi_2}^x \sin dt = \sin t \Big]_{\xi_1}^x - i \cos t \Big]_{\xi_2}^x.$$

If  $m[\Phi] = 0$ , we must choose  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$  such that  $\sin \xi_1 = 0$ ,  $\cos \xi_2 = 0$  and, thus,  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$ .

Suppose we are given a function  $f(x) = e^{xx}\varphi(x)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a complex number and  $\varphi(x)$  is complex-valued and periodic of period T, L-integrable in [0, T] and m[f] = 0. From the last remark, one might suspect that there exist numbers  $\xi_1, \xi_2, 0 < \xi_1, \xi_2 < T$ , such that the function

$$\Phi(x) = \int e^{\alpha t} \varphi(t) dt = \int_{\xi_1}^x \mathcal{R}(e^{\alpha t} \varphi(t)) dt + i \int_{\xi_2}^x \mathcal{I}(e^{\alpha t} \varphi(t)) dt$$

is continuous in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , and  $m[\Phi] = 0$ . The following example shows that the numbers  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$  cannot always be chosen such that  $0 < \xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2 < T$  if we decompose  $e^{\alpha t}\varphi(t)$  into its real and imaginary parts as above.

Example. Let  $f(x) = e^{i\beta x} \sin x$ ,  $\beta$  real,  $\beta = 1 + \varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon$  arbitrary > 0. Try to determine  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$  such that

$$\Phi(x) = \int e^{i\beta t} \sin t \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_{\xi_1}^x \cos \beta t \cdot \sin t \, \mathrm{d}t + i \int_{\xi_2}^x \sin \beta t \cdot \sin t \, \mathrm{d}t$$

is continuous in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  and  $m[\Phi] = 0$ . If we integrate this equation, we get

$$\varPhi(x) = \left[ \psi(x) - \psi(\xi_1) \right] + i \left[ \eta(x) - \eta(\xi_2) \right],$$

where

$$\psi(t) = -\frac{\cos{(1-\beta)t}}{2(1-\beta)} - \frac{\cos{(1+\beta)t}}{2(1+\beta)},$$

$$\eta(t) = \frac{\sin{(1-\beta)t}}{2(1-\beta)} - \frac{\sin{(1+\beta)t}}{2(1+\beta)}.$$

If we want  $m[\Phi] = 0$ , we must choose  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$  such that  $\psi(\xi_1) = 0$ ,  $\eta(\xi_2) = 0$ . Since  $\beta = 1 + \varepsilon$ ,  $\psi(\xi_1) = 0$  implies  $\cos(2 + \varepsilon)\xi_1 = [1 + (2/\varepsilon)]\cos\varepsilon\xi_1$ . But, for  $\varepsilon$  very small, a value of  $\xi_1$  which satisfies this equation would have to be much greater than  $2\pi$ . In fact, for  $\varepsilon = .05$ ,  $\xi_1 > 9\pi$ .

Therefore, if we want to be sure that the points are to be always contained in (0, T), we must decompose  $e^{\alpha x} \varphi(x)$  in some other way.

Lemma (6.ii). Let  $f(t) = e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t}\varphi(t)$ , where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are real numbers,  $\varphi(t)$  is a real function, periodic of period  $T = 2\pi/\omega$ , L-integrable in [0, T],  $m[\varphi] = 0$ , and  $\alpha + i\beta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\omega i}$ . Then, the function  $\varphi(t)$  can be decomposed into

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_1(t) + i \varphi_2(t) ,$$

where  $\varphi_1(t)$ ,  $\varphi_2(t)$  are L-integrable functions (not necessarily real), periodic of period T, and such that

$$(6.2) \quad \int e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \int\limits_{\xi_1}^x e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \, \varphi_1(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \, + \, i \int\limits_{\xi_2}^x e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi_2(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = e^{(\alpha+i\beta)x} \big[ \psi(x) + i \, \eta(x) \big],$$

 $0 < \xi_1, \, \xi_2 < T$ , and  $\psi(x)$ ,  $\eta(x)$  are continuous, real-valued functions in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , periodic of period T, and  $m[\psi] = 0$ ,  $m[\eta] = 0$ .

Proof. Let

(6.3) 
$$\varphi_2(t) = i\beta \int_0^T \varphi(t+\tau) g(\tau) d\tau, \qquad \varphi_1(t) = \varphi(t) - i \varphi_2(t),$$

where g(t),  $-\infty < t < +\infty$ , is the periodic function of period T defined for all  $0 \le t < T$  by

$$\begin{cases} g(t) = H(\alpha, \beta) e^{(\alpha - i\beta)t}, \\ H(\alpha, \beta) = i(e^{x(\alpha + i\beta)} - 1) / |e^{x(\alpha + i\beta)} - 1|^2, \end{cases}$$

and defined in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  by the periodicity of period T. Since  $\alpha + i\beta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\omega i}$ , we have  $|e^{T(\alpha + i\beta)} - 1| \neq 0$ , and, thus, the function g(t) is of bounded variation in [0, T]. As a first consequence, we have also  $\alpha - i\beta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\omega i}$ . From Theorem (5.ii) and formula (6.3) above, we have

 $\varphi_1(t)$ ,  $\varphi_2(t)$  are summable in [0, T]. In the next few lines the symbol  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  shall mean that the term with n=0 is zero. Let

$$\varphi(t) \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n e^{in\omega t}, \qquad g(t) \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} d_n e^{in\omega t}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} d_n &= (1/T) \int\limits_0^T g(t) \, e^{-in\omega t} \, \mathrm{d}t = \left[ H(\alpha,\beta)/T \right] \int\limits_0^T e^{(\alpha-i\beta)t} e^{-in\omega t} \, \mathrm{d}t = \\ &= \left[ H(\alpha,\beta)/T \right] \cdot \left[ (e^{T(\alpha-i\beta)} - 1)/(\alpha - i\beta - in\omega) \right], \end{split}$$

and, thus, from (6.3) and Theorem (5.ii), we have

(6.5) 
$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{2}(t) \sim i\beta T \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{n} d_{-n} e^{in\omega t} = i\beta \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{H(\alpha, \beta) [e^{T(\alpha-i\beta)} - 1]}{\alpha - i\beta + in\omega} c_{n} e^{in\omega t} = \\ = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{-\beta c_{n}}{\alpha - i\beta + in\omega} e^{in\omega t}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

(6.6) 
$$\varphi_{1}(t) = \varphi(t) - i\varphi_{2}(t) \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{n} \left( 1 + \frac{i\beta}{\alpha - i\beta + in\omega} \right) e^{in\omega t} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\alpha + in\omega}{\alpha - i\beta + in\omega} c_{n} e^{in\omega t}.$$

Moreover, since  $\varphi_1(t)$  is summable and  $e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t}$  is of bounded variation in [0, T], we have, using Theorem (5.i) and formula (6.6), that

(6.7) 
$$\int_{\xi_1}^x e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi_1(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \frac{\alpha+in\omega}{(\alpha+i\beta+in\omega)(\alpha-i\beta+in\omega)} \, c_n \, e^{(\alpha+i\beta+in\omega)t} \right]_{\xi_1}^x,$$

where  $\xi_1$  is a constant to be determined later. Consider the series

(6.8) 
$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(\alpha + in\omega)c_n}{(\alpha - i\beta + in\omega)(\alpha + i\beta + in\omega)} e^{in\omega t} =$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(\alpha + in\omega)(\alpha - in\omega + i\beta)(\alpha - i\beta - in\omega)}{\left[\alpha^2 + (n\omega - \beta)^2\right] \cdot \left[\alpha^2 + (n\omega + \beta)^2\right]} c_n e^{in\omega t} =$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[Q_1(n) + iQ_2(n)\right] \frac{c_n}{in\omega} e^{in\omega t},$$

where  $Q_1(n)$ ,  $Q_2(n)$  are real bounded functions of n, positive and monotone for all  $n > n_0$ , sufficiently large. We know that the series  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n (in\omega)^{-1} e^{in\omega t}$  converges uniformly [,6 p. 27]. Therefore, from the character of  $Q_1(n)$ ,  $Q_2(n)$ , the series (6.8) converges uniformly [6, p. 3]. Consequently, the series (6.7) can be written in a simpler form

(6.9) 
$$\int_{\xi_1}^x e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi_1(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \left[ e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \psi(t) \right]_{\xi_1}^x,$$

where

(6.10) 
$$\psi(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\alpha + in\omega}{(\alpha + i\beta + in\omega)(\alpha - i\beta + in\omega)} c_n e^{in\omega t}.$$

The series (6.10) is the FOURIER series of  $\psi(t)$  since the sum of the squares of the coefficients is convergent [6, p. 74]. The function  $\psi(t)$  is periodic of period  $T = 2\pi/\omega$ , and since  $c_0 = 0$ , also,  $m[\psi] = 0$ . Moreover, since  $e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t}\psi(t)$  is a primitive function of  $e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t}\varphi_1(t)$ , we have  $\psi(t)$  is continuous in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ . Also,  $\psi(t)$  is a real function; for,

$$\overline{\psi}(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\alpha - in\omega}{(\alpha - i\beta - in\omega)(\alpha + i\beta - in\omega)} c_{-n} e^{-in\omega t} =$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\alpha + in\omega}{(\alpha - i\beta + in\omega)(\alpha + i\beta + in\omega)} c_n e^{in\omega t} = \psi(t).$$

Therefore, since  $\psi(t)$  is a continuous, real-valued function in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  and  $m[\psi] = 0$ , there exists a number  $\xi_1$ ,  $0 < \xi_1 < T$ , such that  $\psi(\xi_1) = 0$ . In (6.9), if we choose  $\xi_1$  such that  $\psi(\xi_1) = 0$ , then

(6.11) 
$$\int_{\xi_1}^x e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi_1(t) dt = e^{(\alpha+i\beta)x} \psi(x).$$

In a similar manner, from Theorem (5.ii) and formula (6.5), we get

(6.12) 
$$\int_{\xi_2}^x e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi_2(t) dt = \left[ e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \eta(t) \right]_{\xi_2}^x,$$

where

(6.13) 
$$\eta(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{-\beta c_n}{(\alpha + i\beta + in\omega)(\alpha - i\beta + in\omega)} e^{in\omega t}.$$

Moreover,  $\eta(t)$  is a continuous, real-valued function in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , periodic of period T and  $m[\eta] = 0$ . Thus, there exists a number  $\xi_2$ ,  $0 < \xi_2 < T$  such that  $\eta(\xi_2) = 0$ , and if, in (6.12),  $\xi_2$  is chosen such that  $\eta(\xi_2) = 0$ , we have

(6.14) 
$$\int_{\xi_2}^x e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi_2(t) dt = e^{(\alpha+i\beta)x} \eta(x).$$

Combining (6.11) and (6.14), we have

$$\int e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi(t) dt = e^{(\alpha+i\beta)x} [\psi(x) + i \eta(x)],$$

as was to be shown. Moreover, combining (6.10) and (6.13), we have

$$\int e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi(t) dt = e^{(\alpha+i\beta)x} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{c_n e^{in\omega x}}{\alpha+in\omega+i\beta}.$$

This last result would also have followed from Theorem (5.iii).

Theorem (6.i). If  $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x) \in C_{\omega}$ ,  $m[\varphi_j] = 0$  (j = 1, 2, ..., n), and if m[f] = 0, then there is a finite decomposition  $f = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_i$  in  $C_{\omega}$  such that

$$\int f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\xi_i}^x f_i(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \;,$$

with  $0 < \xi_i < T$  (i = 1, 2, ..., m).

Proof. If, as in Theorem (5.iii), we suppose  $in_j\omega + \alpha_j = 0$  for some  $n_j$  (j = 1, 2, ..., n), and we let  $g_j(x) = e^{\alpha_j x} [\varphi_j(x) - c_{j,n_j} e^{in_j\omega x}]$ , where  $c_{j,n_j} = m[e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x)]$ , then  $m[g_j] = 0$ , (j = 1, 2, ..., n). Therefore, we have

$$\int f(x) \, dx = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int g_j(x) \, dx + \int \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j,n_j}\right) \, dx = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int g_j(x) \, dx$$

since m[f] = 0. Moreover, by assumption,  $m[\varphi_j] = 0$ , and, thus,  $m[\varphi_j(x) - e_{j,n_j} e^{in_j\omega x}] = 0$  (j = 1, 2, ..., n). Finally, since  $m[g_j] = 0$ , we may apply the preceding Lemma (6.ii) to obtain each of the primitives  $\int g_j(x) dx$ . If for some j = 1, 2, ..., n, we have  $in\omega + \alpha_j \neq 0$  for all n, then Lemma (6.ii) can be applied directly to the corresponding term  $e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x)$ . Thus, the theorem is proved.

7. – Further remarks. If, in Lemma (6.ii),  $\varphi(t)$  were a complex-valued function, the above procedure could be carried out for both real and the imaginary parts of  $\varphi(t)$ .

It is also interesting to observe that in Lemma (6.ii), since  $f(t) = e^{(\alpha + i\beta)t} \varphi(t)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \int f(t) \, \mathrm{d}t &= \int\limits_{\xi_1}^x e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \, \varphi_1(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \, + \, i \int\limits_{\xi_2}^x e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi_2(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \\ &= \int\limits_{\xi_1}^x e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \, + \, \beta H(\alpha,\beta) \int\limits_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \int\limits_{0}^T e^{(\alpha-i\beta)\tau} \varphi(t+\tau) \, \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}\tau \, , \\ \text{or,} \end{split}$$

(7.1) 
$$\int f(t) dt = \int_{\xi_1}^x f(t) dt + \beta H(\alpha, \beta) \int_0^x e^{-2i\beta\tau} \left\{ \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} f(t+\tau) dt \right\} d\tau.$$

Example 1. Let us return to the preceding example where  $f(x) = e^{i\beta x}\sin x$ ,  $\beta = 1 + \varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Using the notation of Lemma (6.ii), we have  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\beta = \beta$ ,  $\varphi(t) = \sin t$ ,  $\omega = 1$ . Calculating  $\varphi_1(t)$ ,  $\varphi_2(t)$  from (6.3) and (6.4), we get

$$arphi_2(t) = rac{eta}{2} \Big(rac{e^{i\,t}}{1-eta} + rac{e^{-i\,t}}{1+eta}\Big)\,, \qquad arphi_1(t) = \sin\,t - iarphi_2(t)\,,$$

and  $\psi(t) = -(\cos t)(1-\beta^2)^{-1}$ ,  $\eta(t) = \beta(1-\beta^2)^{-1}\sin t$ . Therefore, we may take  $\xi_1 = \pi/2$ ,  $\xi_2 = \pi$ , and we will have

$$\int e^{i\beta t} \sin t \, \mathrm{d}t = \int\limits_{\xi_1}^x e^{i\beta t} \varphi_1(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \, + \, i \int\limits_{\xi_2}^x e^{i\beta t} \varphi_2(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = e^{i\beta x} \left[ - \, \frac{\cos x}{1-\beta^2} + i \, \frac{\beta}{1-\beta^2} \sin x \right] \, .$$

Example 2. Suppose that  $f(x) = e^{-x} \cos x + e^{i\beta x} \sin x$ , where  $\beta$  is a real number. Then, for the function  $e^{-x} \cos x$ , we have using (6.3), (6.4), that  $\varphi_1(t) = \cos t$ ,  $\varphi_2(t) = 0$ , and  $\psi(t) = (1/2)(-\cos t + \sin t)$ ,  $\eta(t) = 0$ . Moreover,  $\psi(\pi/4) = 0$ , and from the preceding example, we see that in this case  $\int f(x) dx$  consists of a sum of three definite integrals.

8. – Evaluations for the primitives in the class  $C_{\omega}$ . Let  $f(x) = e^{(\alpha + i\beta)x}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta$  real. In order to obtain a primitive  $\int e^{(\alpha + i\beta)t} dt$  of mean value zero, we will perform the integrations as follows:

(8.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \alpha > 0, & \int e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} dt = \frac{e^{(\alpha+i\beta)x}}{\alpha+i\beta}, \\ \alpha < 0, & \int e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} dt = \int_{+\infty}^{x} e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} dt = \frac{e^{(\alpha+i\beta)x}}{\alpha+i\beta}, \\ \alpha = 0, & \int e^{i\beta t} dt = \int_{\pi/\beta}^{x} \cos \beta t dt + i \int_{\pi/(2\beta)}^{x} \sin \beta t dt = \frac{e^{i\beta x}}{i\beta}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma (8.i). If  $f(x) = e^{(x+i\beta)x}\varphi(x)$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  real, and if  $\varphi(t)$  is a real-valued L-integrable function in [0, T], periodic of period  $T=2\pi/\omega$ , and if m[f]=0, then the primitive  $\int e^{(x+i\beta)t}\varphi(t) dt$  may be chosen so that

$$\left| \int e^{(\alpha + i\beta)t} \varphi(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \le 2^{-1} M N(\alpha, \beta, T)$$

for all  $0 \le x \le T$ , where  $M = \int_0^T |\varphi(t)| dt$  and  $N(\alpha, \beta, T)$  is a constant depending only on  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , T.

Proof. Case 1. In case  $m[\varphi] = 0$ , we may apply Lemma (6.ii) and formula (7.1), to get

(8.2) 
$$\begin{cases} \int e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi(t) dt = \int_{\xi_1}^x e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi(t) dt + \\ + \beta H(\alpha, \beta) \int_0^x e^{-2i\beta\tau} \left[ \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} e^{(\alpha+i\beta)(t+\tau)} \varphi(t+\tau) dt \right] d\tau, \end{cases}$$

where  $0 < \xi_1, \xi_2 < T$  and  $H(\alpha, \beta) = i(e^{T(\alpha + i\beta)} - 1)|e^{T(\alpha + i\beta)} - 1|^{-2}$ .

If we let t = u - v,  $\tau = v$ , then the last integral in (8.2) becomes

(8.3) 
$$I = \beta H(\alpha, \beta) \int_{0}^{T} e^{-2i\beta v} \left[ \int_{\xi_{1}+v}^{\xi_{2}+v} e^{(\alpha+i\beta)u} \varphi(u) du \right] dv,$$

and the interval  $(\xi_1 + v, \xi_2 + v)$  is contained in the interval [0, 2T]. Therefore,  $|I| \leq 2MT |\beta| e^{2|\alpha|T|} / |e^{T(\alpha+i\beta)} - 1|$ , and from (8.2), we see that

$$\left|\int e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t}\varphi(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\right| \leq Me^{|\alpha|T} + \frac{2MT|\beta|e^{2|\alpha|T}}{|e^{T(\alpha+i\beta)}-1|} = MR(\alpha,\beta,T)/2,$$

where  $R(\alpha, \beta, T) = 2\{e^{|\alpha|T} + 2T |\beta| e^{2|\alpha|T} - |e^{T(\alpha+i\beta)} - 1|\}.$ 

Case 2.  $m[\varphi] = c_0 \neq 0$ . We may then write  $\varphi(x) = \overline{\varphi}(x) + c_0$  where  $m[\overline{\varphi}] = 0$ , and, then,

$$\int e^{(\alpha+\,i\,\beta)t} \varphi(t)\,\mathrm{d}t = \int e^{(\alpha\,+\,i\,\beta)\,t}\,\overline{\varphi}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t \,+\, c_0 \int e^{(\alpha\,+\,i\,\beta)t}\,\mathrm{d}t\;.$$

We have  $|c_0| = (1/T) \left| \int_0^T \varphi(t) dt \right| \le (M/T)$ , and, thus,

$$\int\limits_{0}^{T}\left|\,\overline{\varphi}(t)\,\right|\mathrm{d}t=\int\limits_{0}^{T}\left|\varphi-c_{0}\right|\mathrm{d}t\leq M+\left|\,c_{0}\right|T\leq 2\,M\;.$$

Also, from (8.1), we see that

$$|\int e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} dt| \le e^{\alpha x}/|\alpha+i\beta| \le e^{|\alpha|x}/|\alpha+i\beta|$$

for all  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and, thus,

$$\begin{split} \left| \int e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \right| & \leq \left| \int e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \, \overline{\varphi}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \right| + \left| c_0 \right| \cdot \left| \int e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \right| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{2 M R(\alpha, \, \beta, \, T)}{2} + \frac{M e^{|\alpha|T}}{T \, |\alpha + i\beta|} = \frac{M N(\alpha, \, \beta, \, T)}{2} \, , \end{split}$$

where  $N(\alpha, \beta, T) = 2R(\alpha, \beta, T) + 2e^{|\alpha|T}/(T|\alpha+i\beta|)$ . As a consequence, we have in all cases that

$$\left| \int e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \le 2^{-1} M N(\alpha, \beta, T) .$$

Remark 1. Suppose  $\varphi(t)=\varphi_1(t)+i\varphi_2(t)$  where  $\varphi_1(t),\,\varphi_2(t)$  are real. Then, since

$$|\varphi_1(t)| \leq |\varphi(t)|, \qquad |\varphi_2(t)| \leq |\varphi(t)|,$$

we\_have

$$\big| \int e^{(\alpha+\,i\beta)t} \varphi(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \big| \leqq \big| \int e^{(\alpha+\,i\beta)t} \varphi_1(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \big| + \big| \int e^{(\alpha+\,i\beta)t} \varphi_2(t) \, \, \mathrm{d}t \big| \leq MN(\alpha,\,\beta,\,T) \; .$$

Remark 2. If  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are considered as parameters and allowed to assume only a finite set of values and  $\alpha + i\beta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\omega i}$ , then there exist constants K, L, independent of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , such that  $|H(\alpha,\beta)| = 1/|e^{\tau(\alpha+i\beta)} - 1| \leq K$ ,  $1/|\alpha + i\beta| \leq L$ . Therefore, we have  $|\int e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} \varphi(t) dt| \leq MN$ , independent of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ .

Theorem (8.i). For every function  $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x) \in C_{\omega}$  with m[f] = 0, there are constants  $N(\alpha_j, T)$  depending only on  $\alpha_j$ , T such that

$$\left|\int f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x\right| \le \sum_{j=1}^n N(\alpha_j, T) \int_0^T \left|\varphi_j(x)\right| \mathrm{d}x$$

for all  $0 \le x \le T$ .

Proof. Again, as in Theorem (5.iii) and Theorem (6.i), we let  $g_j(x) = e^{\alpha_j x} [\varphi_j(x) - c_{j,n_j} e^{in_j \omega x}]$ , (j = 1, ..., n), and apply the preceding Lemma (8.i) to each of the functions  $g_j(x)$ , (j = 1, 2, ..., n), or to each of the functions  $e^{\alpha_j x} \varphi_j(x)$  if  $in\omega + \alpha_j \neq 0$  for all n.

## Bibliography.

- 1. L. Cesari, Sulla stabilità delle soluzioni dei sistemi di equazioni differenziali lineari a coefficienti periodici, Atti Accad. Italia, Mem. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. (6) 11, 633-692 (1940).
- 2. E. W. Hobson, The theory of functions of a real variable and the theory of Fourier's series, Vol. I and Vol. II, Harren Press, Washington 1950.
- 3. S. Lefschetz, Lectures on differential equations, Ann. Math. Studies, 14, Princeton University Press, 1948.
- A. Liapounoff, Problème générale de la stabilité du mouvement, Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Toulouse (2) 9, 203-475 (1907); Ann. Math. Studies, 17, Princeton University Press, 1949 (Reprint).
- 5. L. Tonelli, Serie trigonometriche, N. Zanichelli, Bologna 1928.
- 6. A. Zygmund, Trigonometrical series, Monografie Matematyczne, Warszawa 1935.