

LYNDA SELMANI (*)

**An electro-elastic-visco-plastic contact problem
with adhesion and damage (**)**

1 - Introduction

The piezoelectric effect was discovered in 1980 by Jacques and Pierre Curie, it consists on the apparition of electric charges on the surfaces of some crystals after their deformation. The reverse effect was outlined in 1981, it consists on the generation of stress and strain in crystals under the action of electric field on the boundary. However, there are very few mathematical results concerning contact problems involving piezoelectric materials and therefore there is a need to extend the results on models for contact with deformable bodies which include coupling between mechanical and electrical properties. General models for elastic materials with piezoelectric effects can be found in [12][13][14][22][23] and more recently in [1][21]. The adhesive contact between deformable bodies, when a glue is added to prevent relative motion of the surfaces, has also received recently increased attention in the mathematical literature. Analysis of models for adhesive contact can be found in [3][4][6][7][16][17][18] and recently in the monograph [20]. The novelty in all these papers is the introduction of a surface internal variable, the bonding field, denoted in this paper by a , it describes the pointwise fractional density of adhesion of

(*) Department of Mathematics, University of Setif, 19000 Setif, Algeria, e-mail: maya91dz@yahoo.fr

(**) Received 1st April 2008 and in revised form 30th April 2008. AMS classification: 74M15, 74F99, 74H20, 74H25. Key words: Dynamic process, electro-elastic-visco-plastic materials, frictionless contact, normal compliance, adhesion, damage, existence and uniqueness, monotone operator, fixed point, weak solution.

active bonds on the contact surface, and sometimes referred to as the intensity of adhesion. Following [6][7], the bonding field satisfies the restriction $0 \leq a \leq 1$, when $a = 1$ at a point of the contact surface, the adhesion is complete and all the bonds are active, when $a = 0$ all the bonds are inactive, severed, and there is no adhesion, when $0 < a < 1$ the adhesion is partial and only a fraction a of the bonds is active. The aim of this paper is the study of a dynamic contact problem coupling an electro-elastic-visco-plastic material with damage and a frictionless adhesive contact with normal compliance. We derive a variational formulation and prove the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution.

The paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we present notation and some preliminaries. The model is described in section 3 where the variational formulation is given. In section 4, we present our main result stated in Theorem 4.1 and its proof which is based on arguments of evolution equations with monotone operators, parabolic inequalities, differential equations and fixed point.

2 - Notation and preliminaries

In this short section, we present the notation we shall use and some preliminary material. For more details, we refer the reader to [2][5][15]. We denote by S^d the space of second order symmetric tensors on \mathbb{R}^d ($d = 2, 3$), while (\cdot) and $|\cdot|$ represent the inner product and the Euclidean norm on S^d and \mathbb{R}^d , respectively. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded domain with a regular boundary Γ and let \mathbf{v} denote the unit outer normal on Γ . We shall use the notation

$$H = L^2(\Omega)^d = \{\mathbf{u} = (u_i) / u_i \in L^2(\Omega)\},$$

$$H^1(\Omega)^d = \{\mathbf{u} = (u_i) / u_i \in H^1(\Omega)\},$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_{ij}) / \sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ji} \in L^2(\Omega)\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathcal{H} / \text{Div } \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in H\},$$

where $\varepsilon : H^1(\Omega)^d \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and $\text{Div} : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow H$ are the deformation and divergence operators, respectively, defined by

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) = (\varepsilon_{ij}(\mathbf{u})), \quad \varepsilon_{ij}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2}(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}), \quad \text{Div } \boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_{i,j,j}).$$

Here and below, the indices i and j run between 1 to d , the summation convention over repeated indices is used and the index that follows a comma indicates a partial

derivative with respect to the corresponding component of the independent variable. The spaces H , $H^1(\Omega)^d$, \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_1 are real Hilbert spaces endowed with the canonical inner products given by

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_H = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} dx \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in H,$$

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{H^1(\Omega)^d} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \mathbf{u} : \nabla \mathbf{v} dx \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^d,$$

where

$$\nabla \mathbf{v} = (v_{i,j}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^d,$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau})_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \boldsymbol{\tau} dx \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau})_{\mathcal{H}_1} = (\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau})_{\mathcal{H}} + (\text{Div } \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \text{Div } \boldsymbol{\tau})_H \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{H}_1.$$

The associated norms on the spaces H , $H^1(\Omega)^d$, \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_1 are denoted by $|\cdot|_H$, $|\cdot|_{H^1(\Omega)^d}$, $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{H}_1}$ respectively. Let $H_{\Gamma} = H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)^d$ and let $\gamma : H^1(\Omega)^d \rightarrow H_{\Gamma}$ be the trace map. For every element $\mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^d$, we also use the notation \mathbf{v} to denote the trace $\gamma \mathbf{v}$ of \mathbf{v} on Γ and we denote by v_{ν} and \mathbf{v}_{τ} the normal and the tangential components of \mathbf{v} on the boundary Γ given by

$$(2.1) \quad v_{\nu} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \quad \mathbf{v}_{\tau} = \mathbf{v} - v_{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}.$$

Similarly, for a regular (say C^1) tensor field $\boldsymbol{\sigma} : \Omega \rightarrow S^d$ we define its normal and tangential components by

$$(2.2) \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\nu} = (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu},$$

and we recall that the following Green's formula holds:

$$(2.3) \quad (\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))_{\mathcal{H}} + (\text{Div } \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \mathbf{v})_H = \int_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \mathbf{v} da \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^d.$$

$$(2.4) \quad (\mathbf{D}, \nabla \phi)_H + (\text{div } \mathbf{D}, \phi)_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{D} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \phi da \quad \forall \phi \in H^1(\Omega).$$

Finally, for any real Hilbert space X , we use the classical notation for the spaces $L^p(0, T; X)$ and $W^{k,p}(0, T; X)$, where $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ and $k \geq 1$. We denote by $C(0, T; X)$ and $C^1(0, T; X)$ the space of continuous and continuously differentiable functions

from $[0, T]$ to X , respectively, with the norms

$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{C(0,T;X)} = \max_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_X,$$

$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{C^1(0,T;X)} = \max_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_X + \max_{t \in [0,T]} \|\dot{\mathbf{f}}(t)\|_X,$$

respectively. Moreover, we use the dot above to indicate the derivative with respect to the time variable and, for a real number r , we use r_+ to represent its positive part, that is $r_+ = \max\{0, r\}$. Moreover, if X_1 and X_2 are real Hilbert spaces then $X_1 \times X_2$ denotes the product Hilbert space endowed with the canonical inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{X_1 \times X_2}$.

3 - Mechanical and variational formulations

We describe the model for the process, we present its variational formulation. The physical setting is the following. An electro-elastic-visco-plastic body occupies a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d = 2, 3$) with outer Lipschitz surface Γ . The body is submitted to the action of body forces of density \mathbf{f}_0 and volume electric charges of density q_0 . It is also submitted to mechanical and electric constraint on the boundary. We consider a partition of Γ into three disjoint measurable parts Γ_1, Γ_2 and Γ_3 , on one hand, and on two measurable parts Γ_a and Γ_b , on the other hand, such that $meas(\Gamma_1) > 0$, $meas(\Gamma_a) > 0$ and $\Gamma_3 \subset \Gamma_b$. Let $T > 0$ and let $[0, T]$ be the time interval of interest. The body is clamped on $\Gamma_1 \times (0, T)$, so the displacement field vanishes there. A surface tractions of density \mathbf{f}_2 act on $\Gamma_2 \times (0, T)$ and a body force of density \mathbf{f}_0 acts in $\Omega \times (0, T)$. We also assume that the electrical potential vanishes on $\Gamma_a \times (0, T)$ and a surface electric charge of density q_2 is prescribed on $\Gamma_b \times (0, T)$. The body is in adhesive contact with an obstacle, or foundation, over the contact surface Γ_3 . Moreover, the process is dynamic, and thus the inertial terms are included in the equation of motion. We denote by \mathbf{u} the displacement field, by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ the stress tensor field and by $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u})$ the linearized strain tensor. We use an electro-elastic-visco-plastic constitutive law given by

$$(3.1) \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathcal{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\mathbf{u}}) + \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \beta) + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(s) - \mathcal{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\mathbf{u}}(s)), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}(s))) ds - \mathcal{E}^*E(\varphi),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{D} = \mathcal{E}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) + BE(\varphi),$$

where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{F} are nonlinear operators describing the purely viscous and the elastic properties of the material, respectively, we also consider that the elasticity function

\mathcal{F} depends on the internal state variable β describing the damage of the material caused by elastic deformations, \mathcal{G} is a nonlinear constitutive function describing the visco-plastic behaviour of the material. $E(\varphi) = -\nabla\varphi$ is the electric field, $\mathcal{E} = (e_{ijk})$ represents the third order piezoelectric tensor, \mathcal{E}^* is its transposite and B denotes the electric permittivity tensor. When $\mathcal{G} = 0$, the electro-elastic-visco-plastic (3.1)-(3.2) reduces to an electro-viscoelastic constitutive law given by (3.2) and

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = A\varepsilon(\dot{\mathbf{u}}) + \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}), \beta) - \mathcal{E}^*E(\varphi).$$

We use dots for derivatives with respect to the time variable t and the inclusion used for the evolution of the damage field is

$$\dot{\beta} - k \triangle \beta + \partial\varphi_K(\beta) \ni S(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}), \beta),$$

where K denotes the set of admissible damage functions defined by

$$K = \{\zeta \in H^1(\Omega) / 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega\},$$

k is a positive coefficient, $\partial\varphi_K$ denotes the subdifferential of the indicator function φ_K and S is a given constitutive function which describes the sources of the damage in the system. When $\beta = 1$ the material is undamaged, when $\beta = 0$ the material is completely damaged, and for $0 < \beta < 1$ there is partial damage. General models of mechanical damage, which were derived from thermodynamical considerations and the principle of virtual work, can be found in [8] and [9] and references therein. The models describe the evolution of the material damage which results from the excess tension or compression in the body as a result of applied forces and tractions. Mathematical analysis of one-dimensional damage models can be found in [10].

To simplify the notation, we do not indicate explicitly the dependence of various functions on the variables $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega \cup \Gamma$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Then, the classical formulation of the mechanical problem of electro-elastic-visco-plastic material, frictionless, adhesive contact may be stated as follows.

PROBLEM P. *Find a displacement field $\mathbf{u} : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, a stress field $\boldsymbol{\sigma} : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow S^d$, an electric potential field $\varphi : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, an electric displacement field $\mathbf{D} : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, a damage field $\beta : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a bonding field $a : \Gamma_3 \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\sigma} = A\varepsilon(\dot{\mathbf{u}}) + \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}), \beta) + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(s) - A\varepsilon(\dot{\mathbf{u}}(s)), \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(s))) ds \\ + \mathcal{E}^* \nabla \varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbf{D} = \mathcal{E}\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) - B\nabla\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(3.5) \quad \dot{\beta} - k \triangle \beta + \partial\varphi_K(\beta) \ni S(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}), \beta) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(3.6) \quad \rho\ddot{\mathbf{u}} = \text{Div } \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{f}_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(3.7) \quad \text{div } \mathbf{D} = q_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, T),$$

$$(3.9) \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f}_2 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 \times (0, T),$$

$$(3.10) \quad -\sigma_\nu = p_\nu(u_\nu) - \gamma_\nu a^2 R_\nu(u_\nu) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_3 \times (0, T),$$

$$(3.11) \quad -\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\tau = p_\tau(a)\mathbf{R}_\tau(\mathbf{u}_\tau) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_3 \times (0, T),$$

$$(3.12) \quad \dot{a} = -(\alpha(\gamma_\nu(R_\nu(u_\nu))^2 + \gamma_\tau |\mathbf{R}_\tau(\mathbf{u}_\tau)|^2) - \varepsilon_a)_+ \quad \text{on } \Gamma_3 \times (0, T),$$

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T),$$

$$(3.14) \quad \varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_a \times (0, T),$$

$$(3.15) \quad \mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{v} = q_2 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_b \times (0, T),$$

$$(3.16) \quad \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \dot{\mathbf{u}}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0, \beta(0) = \beta_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$(3.17) \quad a(0) = a_0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_3.$$

First, (3.3) and (3.4) represent the electro-elastic-visco-plastic constitutive law with damage, the evolution of the damage field is governed by the inclusion of parabolic type given by the relation (3.5), where S is the mechanical source of the damage growth, assumed to be rather general function of the strains and damage itself, $\partial\varphi_K$ is the subdifferential of the indicator function of the admissible damage functions set K . Equations (3.6) and (3.7) represent the equation of motion for the stress field and the equilibrium equation for the electric-displacement field while (3.8) and (3.9) are the displacement and traction boundary condition, respectively. Condition (3.10) represents the normal compliance condition with adhesion where γ_ν is a given adhesion coefficient and p_ν is a given positive function which will be described below. In this condition the interpenetrability between the body and the foundation is allowed, that is u_ν can be positive on Γ_3 . The contribution of the adhesive to the normal traction is represented by the term $\gamma_\nu a^2 R_\nu(u_\nu)$, the adhesive

traction is tensile and is proportional, with proportionality coefficient γ_v , to the square of the intensity of adhesion and to the normal displacement, but as long as it does not exceed the bond length L . The maximal tensile traction is $\gamma_v L$. R_v is the truncation operator defined by

$$R_v(s) = \begin{cases} L & \text{if } s < -L, \\ -s & \text{if } -L \leq s \leq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } s > 0. \end{cases}$$

Here $L > 0$ is the characteristic length of the bond, beyond which it does not offer any additional traction. The introduction of the operator R_v , together with the operator \mathbf{R}_τ defined below, is motivated by mathematical arguments but it is not restrictive for physical point of view, since no restriction on the size of the parameter L is made in what follows. Condition (3.11) represents the adhesive contact condition on the tangential plane, in which p_τ is a given function and \mathbf{R}_τ is the truncation operator given by

$$\mathbf{R}_\tau(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{v} & \text{if } |\mathbf{v}| \leq L, \\ L \frac{\mathbf{v}}{|\mathbf{v}|} & \text{if } |\mathbf{v}| > L. \end{cases}$$

This condition shows that the shear on the contact surface depends on the bonding field and on the tangential displacement, but as long as it does not exceed the bond length L . The frictional tangential traction is assumed to be much smaller than the adhesive one and, therefore, omitted.

Next, the equation (3.12) represents the ordinary differential equation which describes the evolution of the bonding field and it was already used in [3], see also [19][20] for more details. Here, besides γ_v , two new adhesion coefficients are involved, γ_τ and ε_a . Notice that in this model once debonding occurs bonding cannot be reestablished since, as it follows from (3.12), $\dot{a} \leq 0$. The relation (3.13) represents a homogeneous Neumann boundary condition where $\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \nu}$ represents the normal derivative of β . (3.14) and (3.15) represent the electric boundary conditions. (3.16) represents the initial displacement field, the initial velocity field and the initial damage field. Finally (3.17) represents the initial condition in which a_0 is the given initial bonding field. To obtain the variational formulation of the problem (3.3)-(3.17), we introduce for the bonding field the set

$$Z = \{ \theta \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_3)) / 0 \leq \theta(t) \leq 1 \forall t \in [0, T], \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma_3 \},$$

and for the displacement field we need the closed subspace of $H^1(\Omega)^d$ defined by

$$V = \{ \mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^d / \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \}.$$

Since $meas(\Gamma_1) > 0$, Korn's inequality holds and there exists a constant $C_k > 0$, that depends only on Ω and Γ_1 , such that

$$|\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})|_{\mathcal{H}} \geq C_k |\mathbf{v}|_{H^1(\Omega)^d} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in V.$$

A proof of Korn's inequality may be found in [15, p. 79]. On the space V we consider the inner product and the associated norm given by

$$(3.18) \quad (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_V = (\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}), \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad |\mathbf{v}|_V = |\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V.$$

It follows that $|\cdot|_{H^1(\Omega)^d}$ and $|\cdot|_V$ are equivalent norms on V and therefore $(V, |\cdot|_V)$ is a real Hilbert space. Moreover, by the Sobolev trace theorem and (3.18), there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$, depending only on Ω , Γ_1 and Γ_3 such that

$$(3.19) \quad |\mathbf{v}|_{L^2(\Gamma_3)^d} \leq C_0 |\mathbf{v}|_V \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in V.$$

We also introduce the spaces

$$W = \{\phi \in H^1(\Omega) / \phi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_a\},$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\mathbf{D} = (D_i) / D_i \in L^2(\Omega), \text{div } \mathbf{D} \in L^2(\Omega)\},$$

where $\text{div } \mathbf{D} = (D_{i,i})$. The spaces W and \mathcal{W} are real Hilbert spaces with the inner products given by

$$(\varphi, \phi)_W = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \phi dx,$$

$$(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E})_{\mathcal{W}} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E} dx + \int_{\Omega} \text{div } \mathbf{D} \cdot \text{div } \mathbf{E} dx.$$

The associated norms will be denoted by $|\cdot|_W$ and $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{W}}$, respectively. Notice also that, since $meas(\Gamma_a) > 0$, the following Friedrichs-Poincaré inequality holds:

$$(3.20) \quad |\nabla \phi|_H \geq C_F |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall \phi \in W,$$

where $C_F > 0$ is a constant which depends only on Ω and Γ_a . In the study of the mechanical problem (3.3)-(3.17), we assume that the viscosity function $\mathcal{A} : \Omega \times S^d \rightarrow S^d$ satisfies

$$(3.21) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a) \text{ There exists a constant } C_1^{\mathcal{A}}, C_2^{\mathcal{A}} > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \quad |\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)| \leq C_1^{\mathcal{A}} |\varepsilon| + C_2^{\mathcal{A}} \quad \forall \varepsilon \in S^d, \text{ a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \\ (b) \text{ There exists a constant } m_{\mathcal{A}} > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \quad (\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon_1) - \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon_2)) \cdot (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) \geq m_{\mathcal{A}} |\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2|^2 \quad \forall \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in S^d, \text{ a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \\ (c) \text{ The mapping } \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \text{ is Lebesgue measurable on } \Omega \text{ for any } \varepsilon \in S^d. \\ (d) \text{ The mapping } \varepsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \text{ is continuous on } S^d, \text{ a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

The elasticity Operator $\mathcal{F} : \Omega \times S^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^d$ satisfies

$$(3.22) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a) \text{ There exists a constant } L_{\mathcal{F}} > 0 \text{ such that} \\ | \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon_1, a_1) - \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon_2, a_2) | \leq L_{\mathcal{F}} (| \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 | + | a_1 - a_2 |) \\ \forall \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in S^d, \forall a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \\ (b) \text{ The mapping } \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon, a) \text{ is Lebesgue measurable on } \Omega \\ \text{for any } \varepsilon \in S^d \text{ and } a \in \mathbb{R}. \\ (c) \text{ The mapping } \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, 0) \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{H}. \end{array} \right.$$

The visco-plasticity operator $\mathcal{G} : \Omega \times S^d \times S^d \rightarrow S^d$ satisfies

$$(3.23) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a) \text{ There exists a constant } L_{\mathcal{G}} > 0 \text{ such that} \\ | \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}, \sigma_1, \varepsilon_1) - \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}, \sigma_2, \varepsilon_2) | \leq L_{\mathcal{G}} (| \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 | + | \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 |) \\ \forall \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in S^d, \text{ a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \\ (b) \text{ For any } \varepsilon, \sigma \in S^d, \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, \varepsilon) \text{ is Lebesgue measurable on } \Omega. \\ (c) \text{ The mapping } \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, 0) \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{H}. \end{array} \right.$$

The damage source function $S : \Omega \times S^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$(3.24) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a) \text{ There exists a constant } L_S > 0 \text{ such that} \\ | S(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon_1, a_1) - S(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon_2, a_2) | \leq L_S (| \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 | + | a_1 - a_2 |) \\ \forall \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in S^d, \forall a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \\ (b) \text{ For any } \varepsilon \in S^d \text{ and } a \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{x} \rightarrow S(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon, a) \text{ is Lebesgue measurable on } \Omega. \\ (c) \text{ The mapping } \mathbf{x} \rightarrow S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, 0) \text{ belongs to } L^2(\Omega). \end{array} \right.$$

The electric permittivity tensor $B = (b_{ij}) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies

$$(3.25) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a) B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{E}) = (b_{ij}(\mathbf{x})E_j) \forall \mathbf{E} = (E_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \\ (b) b_{ij} = b_{ji}, b_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d. \\ (c) \text{ There exists a constant } m_B > 0 \text{ such that} \\ B\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} \geq m_B | \mathbf{E} |^2 \quad \forall \mathbf{E} = (E_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

The piezoelectric tensor $\mathcal{E} : \Omega \times S^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies

$$(3.26) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a) \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\tau}) = (e_{ijk}(\mathbf{x})\tau_{jk}) \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_{ij}) \in S^d, \text{ a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \\ (b) e_{ijk} = e_{ikj} \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad 1 \leq i, j, k \leq d. \end{array} \right.$$

The normal compliance function $p_v : \Gamma_3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies

$$(3.27) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a) \text{ There exists a constant } L_v > 0 \text{ such that} \\ | p_v(\mathbf{x}, r_1) - p_v(\mathbf{x}, r_2) | \leq L_v | r_1 - r_2 | \quad \forall r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_3. \\ (b) \text{ The mapping } \mathbf{x} \rightarrow p_v(\mathbf{x}, r) \text{ is measurable on } \Gamma_3, \text{ for any } r \in \mathbb{R}. \\ (c) p_v(\mathbf{x}, r) = 0 \text{ for all } r \leq 0, \text{ a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_3. \end{array} \right.$$

The tangential contact function $p_\tau : \Gamma_3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{cases} (a) \text{ There exists a constant } L_\tau > 0 \text{ such that} \\ |p_\tau(\mathbf{x}, d_1) - p_\tau(\mathbf{x}, d_2)| \leq L_\tau |d_1 - d_2| \quad \forall d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_3. \\ (b) \text{ There exists } M_\tau > 0 \text{ such that } |p_\tau(\mathbf{x}, d)| \leq M_\tau \forall d \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_3. \\ (c) \text{ The mapping } \mathbf{x} \rightarrow p_\tau(\mathbf{x}, d) \text{ is measurable on } \Gamma_3, \text{ for any } d \in \mathbb{R}. \\ (d) \text{ The mapping } \mathbf{x} \rightarrow p_\tau(\mathbf{x}, 0) \in L^2(\Gamma_3). \end{cases}$$

We suppose that the mass density satisfies

$$(3.29) \quad \rho \in L^\infty(\Omega), \text{ there exists } \rho^* > 0 \text{ such that } \rho(\mathbf{x}) \geq \rho^* \text{ a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega.$$

We also suppose that the body forces and surface tractions have the regularity

$$(3.30) \quad \mathbf{f}_0 \in L^2(0, T; H), \quad \mathbf{f}_2 \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_2)^d),$$

$$(3.31) \quad q_0 \in C(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \quad q_2 \in C(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_b)),$$

$$(3.32) \quad q_2(t) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_3 \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Note that we need to impose assumption (3.32) for physical reasons, indeed the foundation is assumed to be insulator and therefore the electric charges (which are prescribed on $\Gamma_b \supset \Gamma_3$) have to vanish on the potential contact surface. The adhesion coefficients satisfy

$$(3.33) \quad \gamma_\nu, \gamma_\tau \in L^\infty(\Gamma_3), \varepsilon_a \in L^2(\Gamma_3), \gamma_\nu, \gamma_\tau, \varepsilon_a \geq 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma_3.$$

The initial displacement field satisfies

$$(3.34) \quad \mathbf{u}_0 \in V, \mathbf{v}_0 \in H,$$

the initial bonding field satisfies

$$(3.35) \quad a_0 \in L^2(\Gamma_3), 0 \leq a_0 \leq 1 \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma_3,$$

and the initial damage field satisfies

$$(3.36) \quad \beta_0 \in K.$$

We define the bilinear form $a : H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(3.37) \quad a(\xi, \varphi) = k \int_{\Omega} \nabla \xi \cdot \nabla \varphi dx.$$

We will use a modified inner product on $H = L^2(\Omega)^d$, given by

$$((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}))_H = (\rho \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_H \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in H,$$

that is, it weighted with ρ , and we let $\| \cdot \|_H$ be the associated norm, i.e.,

$$\| \mathbf{v} \|_H = (\rho \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})_H^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in H.$$

It follows from assumptions (3.29) that $\| \cdot \|_H$ and $|\cdot|_H$ are equivalent norms on H , and also the inclusion mapping of $(V, |\cdot|_V)$ into $(H, \| \cdot \|_H)$ is continuous and dense. We denote by V' the dual of V . Identifying H with its own dual, we can write the Gelfand triple

$$V \subset H \subset V'.$$

We use the notation $(\cdot, \cdot)_{V' \times V}$ to represent the duality pairing between V' and V , we have

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{V' \times V} = ((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}))_H \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in H, \forall \mathbf{v} \in V.$$

Finally, we denote by $|\cdot|_{V'}$ the norm on V' . Assumptions (3.30) allow us, for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$, to define $\mathbf{f}(t) \in V'$ by

$$(3.38) \quad (\mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{v})_{V' \times V} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}_0(t) \cdot \mathbf{v} dx + \int_{\Gamma_2} \mathbf{f}_2(t) \cdot \mathbf{v} da \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in V.$$

We denote by $q : [0, T] \rightarrow W$ the function defined by

$$(3.39) \quad (q(t), \phi)_W = \int_{\Omega} q_0(t) \cdot \phi dx - \int_{\Gamma_b} q_2(t) \cdot \phi da \quad \forall \phi \in W, t \in [0, T].$$

Next, we denote by $j : L^\infty(\Gamma_3) \times V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the adhesion functional defined by

$$(3.40) \quad j(a, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Gamma_3} p_\nu(u_\nu) v_\nu da + \int_{\Gamma_3} (-\gamma_\nu a^2 \mathbf{R}_\nu(u_\nu) v_\nu + p_\tau(a) \mathbf{R}_\tau(\mathbf{u}_\tau) \cdot \mathbf{v}_\tau) da.$$

Keeping in mind (3.27)-(3.28), we observe that the integrals in (3.40) are well defined and we note that conditions (3.30)-(3.31) imply

$$(3.41) \quad \mathbf{f} \in L^2(0, T; V'), \quad q \in C(0, T; W).$$

Using standard arguments we obtain the variational formulation of the mechanical problem (3.3)-(3.17).

PROBLEM PV. *Find a displacement field $\mathbf{u} : [0, T] \rightarrow V$, a stress field $\boldsymbol{\sigma} : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, an electric potential field $\varphi : [0, T] \rightarrow W$, a damage field $\beta : [0, T] \rightarrow H^1(\Omega)$ and a bonding field $a : [0, T] \rightarrow L^\infty(\Gamma_3)$ such that, for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$,*

$$(3.42) \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) = \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{\mathbf{u}}(t)) + \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(t)), \beta(t)) + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(s) - \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{\mathbf{u}}(s)), \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(s))) ds + \mathcal{E}^* \nabla \varphi(t),$$

$$(3.43) \quad (\ddot{\mathbf{u}}(t), \mathbf{v})_{V' \times V} + (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}))_{\mathcal{H}} + j(a(t), \mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{v})_{V' \times V} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in V,$$

$$\beta(t) \in K, \quad (\dot{\beta}(t), \zeta - \beta(t))_{L^2(\Omega)} + a(\beta(t), \zeta - \beta(t))$$

$$(3.44) \quad \geq (S(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}(t)), \beta(t)), \zeta - \beta(t))_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall \zeta \in K,$$

$$(3.45) \quad (B \nabla \phi(t), \nabla \phi)_{\mathcal{H}} - (\mathcal{E} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}(t)), \nabla \phi)_{\mathcal{H}} = (q(t), \phi)_W \quad \forall \phi \in W,$$

$$(3.46) \quad \dot{a}(t) = - (a(t)(\gamma_v(\mathbf{R}_v(u_v(t))))^2 + \gamma_\tau |\mathbf{R}_\tau(\mathbf{u}_\tau(t))|^2) - \varepsilon_a)_+,$$

$$(3.47) \quad \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \dot{\mathbf{u}}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0, \beta(0) = \beta_0, a(0) = a_0.$$

We notice that the variational problem PV is formulated in terms of displacement field, the stress field, an electric potential field, damage field and bonding field. The existence of the unique solution of problem PV is stated and proved in the next section. To this end, we consider the following remark which is used in different places of the paper.

Remark 3.1. *We note that, in the problem P and in the problem PV we do not need to impose explicitly the restriction $0 \leq a \leq 1$. Indeed, equation (3.46) guarantees that $a(\mathbf{x}, t) \leq a_0(\mathbf{x})$ and, therefore, assumption (3.35) shows that $a(\mathbf{x}, t) \leq 1$ for $t \geq 0$, a.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_3$. On the other hand, if $a(\mathbf{x}, t_0) = 0$ at time t_0 , then it follows from (3.46) that $\dot{a}(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$ and therefore, $a(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$, a.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_3$. We conclude that $0 \leq a(\mathbf{x}, t) \leq 1$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, a.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_3$.*

4 - An existence and uniqueness result

Now, we propose our existence and uniqueness result.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that (3.21)-(3.36) hold. Then there exists a unique solution $\{\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \varphi, \beta, a\}$ to problem PV. Moreover, the solution satisfies*

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{u} \in H^1(0, T; V) \cap C^1(0, T; H), \ddot{\mathbf{u}} \in L^2(0, T; V'),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi \in C(0, T; W),$$

$$(4.3) \quad \beta \in W^{1,2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)),$$

$$(4.4) \quad a \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_3)) \cap Z.$$

The functions $\mathbf{u}, \varphi, \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \mathbf{D}, \beta$ and a which satisfy (3.4) and (3.42)-(3.47) are called a weak solution of the contact problem P. We conclude that, under the assumptions

(3.21)-(3.36), the mechanical problem (3.3)-(3.17) has a unique weak solution satisfying (4.1)-(4.4). The regularity of the weak solution is given by (4.1)-(4.4) and, in term of stresses,

$$(4.5) \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in L^2(0, T; V'),$$

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbf{D} \in C(0, T; \mathcal{W}).$$

Indeed, it follows from (3.43) and (3.45) that $\rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}}(t) = \operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) + \mathbf{f}_0(t)$, $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{D} = q_0(t)$ a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ and therefore the regularity (4.1) and (4.2) of \mathbf{u} and φ , combined with (3.21)-(3.31) implies (4.5) and (4.6).

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is carried out in several steps that we prove in what follows, everywhere in this section we suppose that assumptions of Theorem 4.1 hold, and we consider that C is a generic positive constant which depends on $\Omega, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_3, p_v, p_\tau, \gamma_v, \gamma_\tau$ and L and may change from place to place. Let $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in L^2(0, T; V')$ be given, in the first step we consider the following variational problem.

PROBLEM PV $_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$. *Find a displacement field $\mathbf{u}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} : [0, T] \rightarrow V$ such that*

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(t), \mathbf{v})_{V' \times V} + (\mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(t)), \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))_{\mathcal{H}} + (\boldsymbol{\eta}(t), \mathbf{v})_{V' \times V} \\ & = (\mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{v})_{V' \times V} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in V, \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, T), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbf{u}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0.$$

To solve problem PV $_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$, we apply an abstract existence and uniqueness result which we recall now, for the convenience of the reader. Let V and H denote real Hilbert spaces such that V is dense in H and the inclusion map is continuous, H is identified with its dual and it is identified with a subspace of the dual V' of V , i.e., $V \subset H \subset V'$, and we say that the inclusions above define a Gelfand triple. The notation $|\cdot|_V, |\cdot|_{V'}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{V' \times V}$ represent the norms on V and on V' and the duality pairing between them, respectively. The following abstract result may be found in [20, p. 48].

Theorem 4.2. *Let V, H be as above, and let $A : V \rightarrow V'$ be a hemicontinuous and monotone operator which satisfies*

$$(4.9) \quad (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})_{V' \times V} \geq \omega |\mathbf{v}|_V^2 + \lambda \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in V,$$

$$(4.10) \quad |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}|_{V'} \leq C(|\mathbf{v}|_V + 1) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in V,$$

for some constants $\omega > 0, C > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, given $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H$ and $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(0, T; V')$,

there exists a unique function \mathbf{u} which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\in L^2(0, T; V') \cap C(0, T; H), \quad \dot{\mathbf{u}} \in L^2(0, T; V'), \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}}(t) + A\mathbf{u}(t) &= \mathbf{f}(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}(0) &= \mathbf{u}_0. \end{aligned}$$

We apply it to problem PV_η .

Lemma 4.3. *There exists a unique solution to problem PV_η and it has the regularity expressed in (4.1).*

Proof. We define the operator $A : V \rightarrow V'$ by

$$(4.11) \quad (A\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{V' \times V} = (A\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}), \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V.$$

Using (4.11), (3.21) and (3.18) it follows that

$$|A\mathbf{u} - A\mathbf{v}|_{V'} \leq |A\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) - A\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V,$$

and keeping in mind the Krasnoselski theorem (see for instance [11, p. 60]), we deduce that $A : V \rightarrow V'$ is a continuous operator. Now, by (4.11), (3.21) and (3.18) we find

$$(4.12) \quad (A\mathbf{u} - A\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v})_{V' \times V} \geq m_A |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}|_V^2 \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V,$$

i.e., that $A : V \rightarrow V'$ is a monotone operator. Choosing $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}_V$ in (4.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (A\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_{V' \times V} &\geq m_A |\mathbf{u}|_V^2 - |A\mathbf{0}_V|_{V'} |\mathbf{u}|_V \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} m_A |\mathbf{u}|_V^2 - \frac{1}{2m_A} |A\mathbf{0}_V|_{V'}^2, \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in V, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that A satisfies condition (4.9) with $\omega = \frac{m_A}{2}$ and $\lambda = \frac{-|A\mathbf{0}_V|_{V'}^2}{2m_A}$. Moreover, by (4.11) and (3.21) we find

$$|A\mathbf{u}|_{V'} \leq |A\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C_1^A |\mathbf{u}|_V + C_2^A \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in V.$$

This inequality and (3.18) imply that A satisfies condition (4.10). Finally, we recall that by (3.30) and (3.34) we have $\mathbf{f} - \boldsymbol{\eta} \in L^2(0, T; V')$ and $\mathbf{v}_0 \in H$. It follows now from Theorem 4.2 that there exists a unique function \mathbf{v}_η which satisfies

$$(4.13) \quad \mathbf{v}_\eta \in L^2(0, T; V) \cap C(0, T; H), \quad \dot{\mathbf{v}}_\eta \in L^2(0, T; V'),$$

$$(4.14) \quad \dot{\mathbf{v}}_\eta(t) + A\mathbf{v}_\eta(t) + \boldsymbol{\eta}(t) = \mathbf{f}(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T),$$

$$(4.15) \quad \mathbf{v}_\eta(0) = \mathbf{v}_0.$$

Let $\mathbf{u}_\eta : [0, T] \rightarrow V$ be the function defined by

$$(4.16) \quad \mathbf{u}_\eta(t) = \int_0^t \mathbf{v}_\eta(s) ds + \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

It follows from (4.11) and (4.13)-(4.16) that \mathbf{u}_η is a solution of the variational problem PV_η and it satisfies the regularity expressed in (4.1). This concludes the existence part of Lemma 4.3. The uniqueness of the solution follows from the uniqueness of the solution to problem (4.14)-(4.15), guaranteed by Theorem 4.2. \square

In the second step, let $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in L^2(0, T; V')$, we use the displacement field \mathbf{u}_η obtained in Lemma 4.3 and we consider the following variational problem.

PROBLEM QV_η . Find the electric potential field $\phi_\eta : [0, T] \rightarrow W$ such that

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & (B\nabla\phi_\eta(t), \nabla\phi)_H - (\mathcal{E}\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\eta(t)), \nabla\phi)_H \\ & = (q(t), \phi)_W \quad \forall \phi \in W, t \in (0, T), \end{aligned}$$

we have the following result.

Lemma 4.4. QV_η has a unique solution ϕ_η which satisfies the regularity (4.2).

Proof. It follows that from assumption (3.25) on the permittivity tensor that the bilinear form $b(\cdot, \cdot) : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(4.18) \quad b(\phi, \psi) = (B\nabla\phi, \nabla\psi)_H \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in W,$$

is continuous, symmetric and coercive on W . Thus, keeping in mind assumption (3.26) on the piezoelectric tensor \mathcal{E} , the regularity $\mathbf{u}_\eta \in C^1(0, T; W)$ and $q \in C(0, T; W)$ in (3.41), we obtain that the function $q_\eta : [0, T] \rightarrow W$ given by

$$(q_\eta(t), \phi)_W = (q(t), \phi)_W + (\mathcal{E}\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\eta(t)), \nabla\phi)_H \quad \forall \phi \in W, t \in (0, T),$$

satisfies $q_\eta \in C(0, T; W)$. We apply the Lax-Milgram theorem to deduce that there exists a unique element $\phi_\eta(t) \in W$ such that

$$(4.19) \quad b(\phi_\eta(t), \phi) = (q_\eta(t), \phi)_W \quad \forall \phi \in W.$$

We conclude that $\phi_\eta(t)$ is a solution of QV_η . Let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$, it follows from (4.17) that

$$| \phi_\eta(t_1) - \phi_\eta(t_2) |_W \leq C(| \mathbf{u}_\eta(t_1) - \mathbf{u}_\eta(t_2) |_V + | q(t_1) - q(t_2) |_W),$$

the previous inequality, the regularity of \mathbf{u}_η and q imply that $\phi_\eta \in C(0, T; W)$. \square

In the third step, we let $\theta \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ be given and consider the following variational problem for the damage field.

PROBLEM PV $_{\theta}$. Find a damage field $\beta_{\theta} : [0, T] \rightarrow H^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \beta_{\theta}(t) \in K, (\dot{\beta}_{\theta}(t), \xi - \beta_{\theta}(t))_{L^2(\Omega)} + \alpha(\beta_{\theta}(t), \xi - \beta_{\theta}(t)) \\ & \geq (\theta(t), \xi - \beta_{\theta}(t))_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall \xi \in K \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.21) \quad \beta_{\theta}(0) = \beta_0.$$

Lemma 4.5. Problem PV $_{\theta}$ has a unique solution β_{θ} such that

$$(4.22) \quad \beta_{\theta} \in H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)).$$

Proof. We use (3.36), (3.37) and a classical existence and uniqueness result on parabolic inequalities (see for instance [20, p. 47]). \square

In the fourth step, we use the displacement field \mathbf{u}_{η} obtained in Lemma 4.3 and consider the following initial-value problem.

PROBLEM PV $_a$. Find the adhesion field $a_{\eta} : [0, T] \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma_3)$ such that for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$

$$(4.23) \quad \dot{a}_{\eta}(t) = -\left(a_{\eta}(t)(\gamma_v(\mathbf{R}_v(u_{\eta v}(t)))^2 + \gamma_{\tau} |\mathbf{R}_{\tau}(u_{\eta \tau}(t))|^2) - \varepsilon_a\right)_+,$$

$$(4.24) \quad a_{\eta}(0) = a_0.$$

We have the following result.

Lemma 4.6. There exists a unique solution $a_{\eta} \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_3)) \cap Z$ to problem PV $_a$.

Proof. For the simplicity we suppress the dependence of various functions on Γ_3 , and note that the equalities and inequalities below are valid a.e. on Γ_3 . Consider the mapping $F_{\eta} : [0, T] \times L^2(\Gamma_3) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma_3)$ defined by

$$F_{\eta}(t, a) = -\left(a(\gamma_v(\mathbf{R}_v(u_{\eta v}(t)))^2 + \gamma_{\tau} |\mathbf{R}_{\tau}(u_{\eta \tau}(t))|^2) - \varepsilon_a\right)_+,$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $a \in L^2(\Gamma_3)$. It follows from the properties of the truncation operator R_v and \mathbf{R}_{τ} that F_{η} is Lipschitz continuous with respect to the second variable, uniformly in time. Moreover, for all $a \in L^2(\Gamma_3)$, the mapping $t \rightarrow F_{\eta}(t, a)$ belongs to $L^{\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_3))$. Thus using a version of Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem (see, e.g., [20, p. 48]) we deduce that there exists a unique function $a_{\eta} \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_3))$ solution

to the problem PV_a . Also, the arguments used in Remark 3.1 show that $0 \leq a_\eta(t) \leq 1$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, a.e. on Γ_3 . Therefore, from the definition of the set Z , we find that $a_\eta \in Z$, which concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

We use the displacement field \mathbf{u}_η obtained in Lemma 4.3, the electric potential field φ_η obtained in Lemma 4.4 and the damage field β_θ obtained in Lemma 4.5 to construct the following Cauchy problem for the stress field.

PROBLEM $PV_{\sigma\eta\theta}$. *Find a stress field $\sigma_{\eta\theta} : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_{\eta\theta}(t) &= \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\eta(t)), \beta_\theta(t)) + \mathcal{E}^* \nabla \varphi_\eta(t) \\ &+ \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(\sigma_{\eta\theta}(s), \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\eta(s))) ds, \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, T). \end{aligned}$$

In the study of problem $PV_{\sigma\eta\theta}$ we have the following result.

Lemma 4.7. *There exists a unique solution of problem $PV_{\sigma\eta\theta}$ and it satisfies $\sigma_{\eta\theta} \in W^{1,2}(0, T, \mathcal{H})$. Moreover, if σ_i , \mathbf{u}_i , φ_i and β_i represent the solutions of problem $PV_{\sigma\eta_i\theta_i}$, PV_{η_i} , QV_{η_i} and PV_{θ_i} respectively, for $(\eta_i, \theta_i) \in L^2(0, T; V') \times L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, $i = 1, 2$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} &| \sigma_1(t) - \sigma_2(t) |_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq C (| \mathbf{u}_1(t) - \mathbf{u}_2(t) |_V^2 \\ &+ \int_0^t | \mathbf{u}_1(s) - \mathbf{u}_2(s) |_V^2 ds + | \beta_1(t) - \beta_2(t) |_{L^2(\Omega)}^2), \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $A_{\eta\theta} : L^2(0, T, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^2(0, T, \mathcal{H})$ be the operator given by

$$(4.27) \quad A_{\eta\theta} \sigma(t) = \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\eta(t)), \beta_\theta(t)) + \mathcal{E}^* \nabla \varphi_\eta(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(\sigma(s), \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\eta(s))) ds,$$

for all $\sigma \in L^2(0, T, \mathcal{H})$ and $t \in [0, T]$. For $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in L^2(0, T, \mathcal{H})$ we use (4.27) and (3.23) to obtain

$$| A_{\eta\theta} \sigma_1(t) - A_{\eta\theta} \sigma_2(t) |_{\mathcal{H}} \leq L_G \int_0^t | \sigma_1(s) - \sigma_2(s) |_{\mathcal{H}} ds.$$

It follows from this inequality that for p large enough, a power of the operator $A_{\eta\theta}$ is a contraction on the Banach space $L^2(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ and, therefore, there exists a unique element $\sigma_{\eta\theta} \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ such that $A_{\eta\theta} \sigma_{\eta\theta} = \sigma_{\eta\theta}$. Moreover, $\sigma_{\eta\theta}$ is the unique solution

of problem $PV_{\sigma_{\eta\theta}}$ and, using (4.25), the regularity of \mathbf{u}_η , the regularity of φ_η , the regularity of β_θ and the properties of the operators \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{G} , it follows that $\sigma_{\eta\theta} \in W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathcal{H})$. Consider now $(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \theta_1), (\boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \theta_2) \in L^2(0, T; V') \times L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ and for $i = 1, 2$, denote $\mathbf{u}_{\eta_i} = \mathbf{u}_i, \dots, \varphi_{\eta_i} = \varphi_i, \sigma_{\eta_i\theta_i} = \sigma_i$ and $\beta_{\theta_i} = \beta_i$. We have

$$\sigma_i(t) = \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_i(t)), \beta_i(t)) + \mathcal{E}^* \nabla \varphi_i(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(\sigma_i(s), \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_i(s))) ds,$$

and, using the properties (3.22), (3.23) and (3.26) of \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{E} , we find

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\sigma_1(t) - \sigma_2(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq C (\|\mathbf{u}_1(t) - \mathbf{u}_2(t)\|_V^2 + \|\beta_1(t) - \beta_2(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & + \|\varphi_1(t) - \varphi_2(t)\|_W^2 + \int_0^t \|\sigma_1(s) - \sigma_2(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}_1(s) - \mathbf{u}_2(s)\|_V^2 ds). \end{aligned}$$

We use (4.17), (3.25) and (3.26) to find

$$(4.29) \quad \|\varphi_1(t) - \varphi_2(t)\|_W^2 \leq C \|\mathbf{u}_1(t) - \mathbf{u}_2(t)\|_V^2.$$

We substitute (4.29) in (4.28) and use Gronwall argument we deduce (4.26), which concludes the proof of Lemma 4.7. \square

Finally as a consequence of these results and using the properties of the operator \mathcal{G} , the operator \mathcal{F} , the operator \mathcal{E} , the functional j and the function S , for $t \in [0, T]$, we consider the operator $A : L^2(0, T; V' \times L^2(\Omega)) \rightarrow L^2(0, T; V' \times L^2(\Omega))$ which maps every element $(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \theta) \in L^2(0, T; V' \times L^2(\Omega))$ to the element $A(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \theta) \in L^2(0, T; V' \times L^2(\Omega))$ defined by

$$(4.30) \quad A(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \theta)(t) = (A^1(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \theta)(t), A^2(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \theta)(t)) \in V' \times L^2(\Omega),$$

defined by the equalities

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{aligned} & (A^1(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \theta)(t), \mathbf{v})_{V' \times V} = (\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\eta(t)), \beta_\theta(t)), \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))_{\mathcal{H}} + (\mathcal{E}^* \nabla \varphi_\eta(t), \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))_{\mathcal{H}} \\ & + \left(\int_0^t \mathcal{G}(\sigma_{\eta\theta}(s), \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\eta(s))) ds, \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} + j(a_\eta(t), \mathbf{u}_\eta(t), \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in V, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.32) \quad A^2(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \theta)(t) = S(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\eta(t)), \beta_\theta(t)).$$

Here, for every $(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \theta) \in L^2(0, T; V' \times L^2(\Omega))$ $\mathbf{u}_\eta, \varphi_\eta, \beta_\theta, a_\eta$ and $\sigma_{\eta\theta}$ represent the displacement field, the electric potential field, the damage field, the bonding field and the stress field obtained in Lemmas 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7 respectively. We have the following result.

Lemma 4.8. *The operator A has a unique fixed point $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^*, \theta^*) \in L^2(0, T; V' \times L^2(\Omega))$ such that $A(\boldsymbol{\eta}^*, \theta^*) = (\boldsymbol{\eta}^*, \theta^*)$.*

Proof. Let $(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \theta) \in L^2(0, T; V' \times L^2(\Omega))$. Let now $(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \theta_1), (\boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \theta_2) \in L^2(0, T; V' \times L^2(\Omega))$. We use the notation $\mathbf{u}_{\eta_i} = \mathbf{u}_i$, $\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{\eta_i} = \mathbf{v}_{\eta_i} = \mathbf{v}_i$, $\varphi_{\eta_i} = \varphi_i$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\eta_i, \theta_i} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$, $\beta_{\theta_i} = \beta_i$ and $a_{\eta_i} = a_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Using (3.22), (3.23), (3.26), (3.27), (3.28), (3.40), (4.31), the definition of R_v , \mathbf{R}_τ and the Remark 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |A^1(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \theta_1)(t) - A^1(\boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \theta_2)(t)|_{V'}^2 \\
& \leq | \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1(t)), \beta_1(t)) - \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_2(t)), \beta_2(t)) |_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
& \quad + | \mathcal{E}^* \nabla \varphi_1(t) - \mathcal{E}^* \nabla \varphi_2(t) |_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
& + \int_0^t | \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1(s), \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1(s))) - \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2(s), \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_2(s))) |_{\mathcal{H}}^2 ds \\
& \quad + C | p_v(u_{1\eta^v}(t)) - p_v(u_{2\eta^v}(t)) |_{L^2(\Gamma_3)}^2 \\
& \quad + C | a_1^2(t) R_v(u_{1\eta^v}(t)) - a_2^2(t) R_v(u_{1\eta^v}(t)) |_{L^2(\Gamma_3)}^2 \\
& \quad + C | p_\tau(a_1(t)) \mathbf{R}_\tau(\mathbf{u}_{1\eta^\tau}(t)) - p_\tau(a_2(t)) \mathbf{R}_\tau(\mathbf{u}_{1\eta^\tau}(t)) |_{L^2(\Gamma_3)}^2 \\
& \leq C (| \mathbf{u}_1(t) - \mathbf{u}_2(t) |_V^2 + | \beta_1(t) - \beta_2(t) |_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + | \varphi_1(t) - \varphi_2(t) |_W^2 \\
(4.33) \quad & + | a_1(t) - a_2(t) |_{L^2(\Gamma_3)}^2 + \int_0^t | \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1(s) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2(s) |_{\mathcal{H}}^2 ds).
\end{aligned}$$

We use (4.26) and (4.29) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |A^1(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \theta_1)(t) - A^1(\boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \theta_2)(t)|_{V'}^2 \\
& \leq C \left(| \mathbf{u}_1(t) - \mathbf{u}_2(t) |_V^2 + \int_0^t | \mathbf{u}_1(s) - \mathbf{u}_2(s) |_{\mathcal{H}}^2 ds + | \beta_1(t) - \beta_2(t) |_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\
(4.34) \quad & \left. + \int_0^t | \beta_1(s) - \beta_2(s) |_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds + | a_1(t) - a_2(t) |_{L^2(\Gamma_3)}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that above u_{η^v} and \mathbf{u}_{η^τ} denote the normal and the tangential component of the function \mathbf{u}_η respectively. By a similar argument, from (4.32) and (3.24) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |A^2(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \theta_1)(t) - A^2(\boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \theta_2)(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
(4.35) \quad & \leq C (| \mathbf{u}_1(t) - \mathbf{u}_2(t) |_V^2 + | \beta_1(t) - \beta_2(t) |_{L^2(\Omega)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |A(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \theta_1)(t) - A(\boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \theta_2)(t)|_{V' \times L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C(|\mathbf{u}_1(t) - \mathbf{u}_2(t)|_V^2 \\
& \quad + \int_0^t |\mathbf{u}_1(s) - \mathbf{u}_2(s)|_V^2 ds + |a_1(t) - a_2(t)|_{L^2(\Gamma_3)}^2 \\
(4.36) \quad & \quad + |\beta_1(t) - \beta_2(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t |\beta_1(s) - \beta_2(s)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from (4.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\dot{\mathbf{v}}_1 - \dot{\mathbf{v}}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2)_{V' \times V} + (\mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}_1) - \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}_2), \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2))_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& \quad + (\boldsymbol{\eta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2)_{V' \times V} = 0, \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, T).
\end{aligned}$$

We integrate this equality with respect to time, we use the initial conditions $\mathbf{v}_1(0) = \mathbf{v}_2(0) = \mathbf{v}_0$ and condition (3.21) to find

$$m_{\mathcal{A}} \int_0^t |\mathbf{v}_1(s) - \mathbf{v}_2(s)|_V^2 ds \leq - \int_0^t (\boldsymbol{\eta}_1(s) - \boldsymbol{\eta}_2(s), \mathbf{v}_1(s) - \mathbf{v}_2(s))_{V' \times V} ds,$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Then, using the inequality $2ab \leq \frac{a^2}{m_{\mathcal{A}}} + m_{\mathcal{A}}b^2$ we obtain

$$(4.37) \quad \int_0^t |\mathbf{v}_1(s) - \mathbf{v}_2(s)|_V^2 ds \leq C \int_0^t |\boldsymbol{\eta}_1(s) - \boldsymbol{\eta}_2(s)|_{V'}^2 ds.$$

On the other hand, from the Cauchy problem (4.23)-(4.24) we can write

$$a_i(t) = a_0 - \int_0^t (a_i(s)(\gamma_v(R_v(u_{iv}(s))))^2 + \gamma_\tau |\mathbf{R}_\tau(\mathbf{u}_{i\tau}(s))|^2) - \varepsilon_a)_+ ds,$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |a_1(t) - a_2(t)|_{L^2(\Gamma_3)} \\
& \leq C \int_0^t |a_1(s)(R_v(u_{1v}(s)))^2 - a_2(s)(R_v(u_{2v}(s)))^2|_{L^2(\Gamma_3)} ds \\
& \quad + C \int_0^t |a_1(s) |\mathbf{R}_\tau(\mathbf{u}_{1\tau}(s))|^2 - a_2(s) |\mathbf{R}_\tau(\mathbf{u}_{2\tau}(s))|^2|_{L^2(\Gamma_3)} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of R_ν and \mathbf{R}_τ and writing $a_1 = a_1 - a_2 + a_2$, we get

$$(4.38) \quad \begin{aligned} & |a_1(t) - a_2(t)|_{L^2(\Gamma_3)} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_0^t |a_1(s) - a_2(s)|_{L^2(\Gamma_3)} ds + \int_0^t |\mathbf{u}_1(s) - \mathbf{u}_2(s)|_{L^2(\Gamma_3)^d} ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we apply Gronwall's inequality to deduce

$$|a_1(t) - a_2(t)|_{L^2(\Gamma_3)} \leq C \int_0^t |\mathbf{u}_1(s) - \mathbf{u}_2(s)|_{L^2(\Gamma_3)^d} ds,$$

and from the relation (3.19) we obtain

$$(4.39) \quad |a_1(t) - a_2(t)|_{L^2(\Gamma_3)}^2 \leq C \int_0^t |\mathbf{u}_1(s) - \mathbf{u}_2(s)|_V^2 ds.$$

From (4.20) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\dot{\beta}_1 - \dot{\beta}_2, \beta_1 - \beta_2)_{L^2(\Omega)} + a(\beta_1 - \beta_2, \beta_1 - \beta_2) \\ & \leq (\theta_1 - \theta_2, \beta_1 - \beta_2)_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the previous inequality with respect to time, using the initial conditions $\beta_1(0) = \beta_2(0) = \beta_0$ and inequality $a(\beta_1 - \beta_2, \beta_1 - \beta_2) \geq 0$ to find

$$\frac{1}{2} |\beta_1(t) - \beta_2(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \int_0^t (\theta_1(s) - \theta_2(s), \beta_1(s) - \beta_2(s))_{L^2(\Omega)} ds,$$

which implies that

$$|\beta_1(t) - \beta_2(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \int_0^t |\theta_1(s) - \theta_2(s)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds + \int_0^t |\beta_1(s) - \beta_2(s)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds.$$

This inequality combined with Gronwall's inequality lead to

$$(4.40) \quad |\beta_1(t) - \beta_2(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \int_0^t |\theta_1(s) - \theta_2(s)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds.$$

We substitute (4.39) in (4.36) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \theta_1)(t) - A(\boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \theta_2)(t)|_{V' \times L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq C \left(|\mathbf{u}_1(t) - \mathbf{u}_2(t)|_V^2 + \int_0^t |\mathbf{u}_1(s) - \mathbf{u}_2(s)|_V^2 ds \right. \\ &+ |\beta_1(t) - \beta_2(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t |\beta_1(s) - \beta_2(s)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds \Big) \leq C \left(\int_0^t |\mathbf{v}_1(s) - \mathbf{v}_2(s)|_V^2 ds \right. \\ &\left. + |\beta_1(t) - \beta_2(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t |\beta_1(s) - \beta_2(s)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows now from the previous inequality, the estimates (4.37) and (4.40) that

$$\begin{aligned} |A(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \theta_1)(t) - A(\boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \theta_2)(t)|_{V' \times L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ \leq C \int_0^t |(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \theta_1)(s) - (\boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \theta_2)(s)|_{V' \times L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Reiterating this inequality m times leads to

$$\begin{aligned} |A^m(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \theta_1) - A^m(\boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \theta_2)|_{L^2(0, T; V' \times L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ \leq \frac{C^m T^m}{m!} |(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \theta_1) - (\boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \theta_2)|_{L^2(0, T; V' \times L^2(\Omega))}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for m sufficiently large, A^m is a contraction on the Banach space $L^2(0, T; V' \times L^2(\Omega))$, and so A has a unique fixed point. \square

Now, we have all the ingredients to prove Theorem 4.1.

PROOF EXISTENCE. Let $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^*, \theta^*) \in C(0, T; V \times L^2(\Omega))$ be the fixed point of A defined by (4.30)-(4.32) and let $\mathbf{u}, \varphi, \beta, a$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\eta\theta}$ be the solution of the problems $PV_\eta, QV_\eta, PV_\theta, PV_a$ and $PV_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\eta\theta}}$ for $\boldsymbol{\eta} = \boldsymbol{\eta}^*$ and $\theta = \theta^*$, i.e. $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{\eta^*}, \varphi = \varphi_{\eta^*}, \beta = \beta_{\theta^*}, a = a_{\eta^*}$ and let $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{\mathbf{u}}) + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\eta^*\theta^*}$. Equalities $A^1(\boldsymbol{\eta}^*, \theta^*) = \boldsymbol{\eta}^*$ and $A^2(\boldsymbol{\eta}^*, \theta^*) = \theta^*$ combined with (4.31)-(4.32) show that (3.42)-(3.46) are satisfied. Next (3.47) and the regularity (4.1)-(4.4) follow from Lemmas 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6, which concludes the existence part of the theorem.

Uniqueness. The uniqueness of the solution is a consequence of the uniqueness of the fixed point of the operator A defined by (4.30)-(4.32) and the unique solvability of the problems $PV_\eta, QV_\eta, PV_a, PV_\theta$ and $PV_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\eta\theta}}$. \square

References

- [1] R. C. BATRA and J. S. YANG, *Saint Venant's principle in linear piezoelectricity*, J. Elasticity **38** (1995), 209-218.
- [2] H. BREZIS, *Équations et inéquations non linéaires dans les espaces vectoriels en dualité*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **18** (1968), 115-175.
- [3] O. CHAU, J. R. FERNANDEZ, M. SHILLOR and M. SOFONEA, *Variational and numerical analysis of a quasistatic viscoelastic contact problem with adhesion*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **159** (2003), 431-465.
- [4] O. CHAU, M. SHILLOR and M. SOFONEA, *Dynamic frictionless contact with adhesion*, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics (ZAMP) **55** (2004), 32-47.
- [5] G. DUVAUT and J. L. LIONS, *Inequalities in mechanics and physics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1976.
- [6] M. FRÉMOND, *Équilibre des structures qui adhèrent à leur support*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **295** Série II (1982), 913-916.
- [7] M. FRÉMOND, *Adhérence des solides*, J. Mécanique Théorique et Appliquée **6** (3) (1987), 383-407.
- [8] M. FRÉMOND and B. NEDJAR, *Damage in concrete: the unilateral phenomenon*, Nuclear Engineering and Design **156** (1995), 323-335.
- [9] M. FRÉMOND and B. NEDJAR, *Damage, gradient of damage and principle of virtual power*, Internat. J. Solids Structures **33** (8) (1996), 1083-1103.
- [10] M. FRÉMOND, K.L. KUTTLER, B. NEDJAR and M. SHILLOR, *One-dimensional models of damage*, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. **8** (2) (1998), 541-570.
- [11] O. KAVIAN, *Introduction à la théorie des points critiques et applications aux problèmes elliptiques*, Springer-Verlag, Paris 1993.
- [12] R. D. MINDLIN, *Polarization gradient in elastic dielectrics*, Internat. J. Solids Structures **4** (1968), 637-642.
- [13] R. D. MINDLIN, *Continuum and lattice theories of influence of electromechanical coupling on capacitance of thin dielectric films*, Internat. J. Solids Structures **5** (1969), 1197-1208.
- [14] R. D. MINDLIN, *Elasticity, Piezoelectricity and Cristal lattice dynamics*, J. Elasticity **4** (1972), 217-280.
- [15] J. NEČAS and I. HLAVÁČEK, *Mathematical theory of elastic and elasto-plastic bodies: an introduction*, Elsevier, Amsterdam 1980.
- [16] M. RAOUS, L. CANGÉMI and M. COCU, *A consistent model coupling adhesion, friction, and unilateral contact*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. **177** (1999), 383-399.
- [17] J. ROJEK and J. J. TELEGA, *Contact problems with friction, adhesion and wear in orthopaedic biomechanics. I: General developments*, J. Theor. Appl. Mech., Wars. **39** (2001), 655-677.
- [18] J. ROJEK, J. J. TELEGA and S. STUPIKIEWICZ, *Contact problems with friction, adhesion and wear in orthopaedic biomechanics. II: Numerical implementation and application to implanted knee joints*, J. Theor. Appl. Mech., Wars. **39** (2001), 679-706.
- [19] M. SHILLOR, M. SOFONEA and J. J. TELEGA, *Models and Analysis of Quasistatic*

- Contact. Variational Methods*, Lect. Notes Phys. 655, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg 2004.
- [20] M. SOFONEA, W. HAN and M. SHILLOR, *Analysis and approximation of contact problems with adhesion or damage*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 276, Chapman&Hall / CRC, Boca Raton, FL 2006.
- [21] B. TENGIZ and G. TENGIZ, *Some dynamic problems of the theory of electro-elasticity*, Mem. Differ. Equ. Math. Phys. **10** (1997), 1-53.
- [22] R. A. TOUPIN, *The elastic dielectric*, J. Rational Mech. Anal. **5** (1956), 849-915.
- [23] R. A. TOUPIN, *A dynamical theory of elastic dielectrics*, Internat. J. Engrg. Sci. **1** (1963), 101-126.

Abstract

We consider a dynamic frictionless contact problem for an electro-elastic-visco-plastic body with damage. The contact is modelled with normal compliance. The adhesion of the contact surfaces is taken into account and modelled by a surface variable, the bonding field. We derive variational formulation for the model which is formulated as a system involving the displacement field, the electric potential field, the damage field and the adhesion field. We prove the existence of a unique weak solution to the problem. The proof is based on arguments of evolution equations with monotone operators, parabolic inequalities, differential equations and fixed point.

* * *