AMIR H. YAMINI and SH. SAHEBI (*)

Rings satisfying the generalized polynomial identity $(x - x^n)([x, y]_k - [x, y]_k^m) = 0$ (**)

0 - Introduction

Throughout, R will represent an associative ring with center C and Jacobson radical J(R). If $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of elements of R and k is a positive integer we define $[x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1}]$ inductively as follows:

$$[x_1, x_2] = x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1$$
$$[x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}] = [[x_1, \dots, x_k], x_{k+1}].$$

If $x_1 = x$ and $x_2 = ... = x_{k+1} = y$, we write $[x_1, ..., x_{k+1}] = [x, y]_k$. Also for k = 0 we define $[x, y]_k = x$.

By a ring R with torsion-free commutators, we mean that m[x, y] = 0 implies [x, y] = 0 for all $m \ge 1, x, y \in R$.

A ring *R* is called left (resp. right) s-unital [8] if for each $x \in R$ we have $x \in Rx(resp. x \in xR)$. A ring *R* is called s-unital if for each x in $R, x \in xR \cap Rx$. If *R* is an s-unital ring , then for any finite subset *F* of *R*, there exists an element *e* in *R* such that ex = xe = x for all $x \in F$ (see [8]). Such an element *e* will be called a pseudo-identity of F.

In [3] Hirano and Yaqub studied the rings satisfying $(x - x^n)(y - y^n) = 0$. Later in [7], Komatsu and Tominaga extended Theorem 3 of [3] as follows: If R is a ring satisfying $(x - x^n)(y - y^n) = 0$ (n > 1) and if for each $x, y \in R$, either

^(*) Dept. of Math., Amirkabir Univ. of Technology, Tehran. Iran; Dept. of Math. Islamic Azad Univ, Tehran Center Tehran, Iran.

 $^{(^{\}ast\ast})$ Received August 7, 1998 and in revised form March 8, 1999. AMS classification 16 R 50.

 $(xy)^n - (yx)^n \in C$, or $x^n y^n - y^n x^n \in C$ or $(xy)^n - y^n x^n \in C$ then *R* is commutative. (Note that, here $(x - x^n)(y - y^n) = 0$, implies the Chacron's condition). On the other hand Giambruno, Goncalves and Mandel [5] have investigated the commutativity of rings satisfying $[x, y]_k^n = [x, y]_k$. Now our objective is to investigate the commutativity of rings satisfying any of the following conditions:

(P1) For each x, y in R there exist positive integers n = n(x, y) > 1, m = m(x, y) > 1 and $k = k(x, y) \ge 1$, such that $(x - x^n)([x, y]_k - [x, y]_k^m) = 0$.

(P2) For each x, y in R there exist positive integers n = n(x, y) > 1, m = m(x, y) > 1 and $k = k(x, y) \ge 1$ such that $(1 - x^n)([x, y]_k - [x, y]_k^m) = 0$ (here 1 is formal).

In section 1, we prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1. Let R be a division ring which satisfies (P1), then R is commutative.

Although this result can not be extended to primitive rings, we show that:

Theorem 2. If R is a ring which satisfies (P2), then the commutator ideal of R is nil.

In section 2, we generalize the result of Komatsu and Tominaga [6] by proving:

Theorem 3. Let R be an s-unital ring, and n > 1 a fixed positive integer. Suppose that for any $x, y \in R$ there exist $r = r(x, y) \ge 1$ and m = m(x, y) > 1such that, either $(xy)^r - (yx)^r \in C$, or $x^r y^r - y^r x^r \in C$, or $(xy)^r - y^r x^r \in C$; and

(I) $(x - x^n)([x, y] - [x, y]^m) = 0$,

(II) The commutator ideal of R is n!-torsion free, then R is commutative.

(Note that, here $(x - x^n)([x, y] - [x, y]^m) = 0$ does not imply the Chacron's condition).

12

1 - Commutativity results

In preparation for the proof of the main theorems we start with the following lemmas. Proof of Lemma 1 can be found in [5] and Lemma 2 is obvious.

Lemma 1. Let R be a division ring. If for each x and y in R there exist positive integers n = n(x, y) > 1 and $k = k(x, y) \ge 1$ such that $[x, y]_k = [x, y]_k^n$. Then R is commutative.

Lemma 2. Let $b \in R$ and $a \in J(R)$. If ba = b then b = 0.

With the above lemmas established, we are able to complete the proof of Theorem 1 and 2.

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 1 it is enough to show that if there exist x and y such that

(1.1)
$$[x, y] \neq [x, y]^m$$
 for all $m \ge 1$

then $[x, y]_{k+1} = [x, y]_{k+1}^m$ for some positive integer k and m > 1. In order to show this we replace x by [x, y] in (P1), thereby obtaining

(1.2)
$$([x, y] - [x, y]^n)([x, y]_{k+1} - [x, y]_{k+1}^m) = 0$$

for some n > 1, m > 1 and $k \ge 1$. Comparing (1.1) with (1.2) now yields $[x, y]_{k+1} = [x, y]_{k+1}^m$, as desired.

Remark 1. Theorem 1 can not be extended to primitive rings because a trivial computation (by computer) shows that the noncommutative ring of 2×2 matrix over GF(2) with m = n = 4 and k = 1, satisfies the condition (P1).

Proof of Theorem 2. We prove Theorem 2 by dividing its proof into several steps.

Step 1. Clearly Theorem 2 is true for any division ring (by Theorem 1).

Step 2. Theorem 2 is true for any left primitive ring R.

In this case either $R \approx D$ for some division ring *D*-in which case we would deduce that *R* is commutative by use of step 1-or for some k > 1 D_k is a homomorphic image of a subring of *R*. We wish to show that this latter possibility does not arise. If it did, D_k as a homomorphic image of a subring of *R* would inherit the property (**P2**). This is seen to be patently false by considering the elements $x = E_{21}$ and $y = E_{22}$, for these satisfy $(1 - x^n)([x, y]_k - [x, y]_k^n) = E_{21} \neq 0$, for all n > 1, m > 1 and $k \ge 1$. Thus , if *R* is primitive it must be commutative.

For, we have a subdirect product representation $R \to \prod R_i$, where the $R'_i s$

are left primitive rings. Each R_i satisfies (P2), and is therefore commutative. Hence R is also commutative.

Step 4. Theorem 2 is true for any ring R. Let $x, y \in R$, then by (P2) we have

$$(1 - x^{n})[x, y]_{k} = (1 - x^{n})[x, y]_{k}^{m}$$
$$= (1 - x^{n})[x, y]_{k}[x, y]_{k}^{m-1}$$

for some positive integers $k \ge 1$, m > 1, n > 1. But Step 3 shows that R/J(R) is commutative, hence $[x, y]_k^{m-1} \in J(R)$ and therefore by Lemma 2 $(1 - x^n)[x, y]_k = 0$. Replacing x by [x, y] in the recent equality, we get $(1 - [x, y]^n)[x, y]_{k+1} = 0$, for some $n > 1, k \ge 1$. Since $[x, y]^n \in J(R)$, we would deduce that $[x, y]_{k+1} = 0$ by Lemma 2. Therefore the commutator ideal is nil, by [4].

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of [8].

Corollary 1. If for each x, $y \in R$ there exist positive integers n = n(x, y) > 1, m = m(x, y) > 1, $k = k(x, y) \ge 1$ and a fixed integer $r \ge 1$ such that

- (i) $(1 x^n)([x, y]_k [x, y]_k^m) = 0$,
- (ii) If r[x, y] = 0 then [x, y] = 0,
- (iii) $[x^r, y^r] = 0.$

Then R is commutative.

2 - Extensions

14

In preparation for the proof of the Theorem 3, we start with the following lemmas. Proof of Lemma 3 can be found in [6], [1] and [2], Lemma 4 is obvious and the proof of Lemma 5 can be found in [8].

Lemma 3. Suppose that R is a semiprimitive ring which satisfies any of the following conditions:

(i) For all x, y in R there exists a positive integer $r = r(x, y) \ge 1$ such that $(xy)^r - (yx)^r \in C$.

(ii) For all x, y in R there exists a positive integer $r = r(x, y) \ge 1$ such that $x^r y^r - y^r x^r \in C$.

(iii) For all x, y in R there exists a positive integer $r = r(x, y) \ge 1$ such that $(xy)^r - y^r x^r \in C$.

Then R is commutative.

Lemma 4. If [x, y] commutes with x, then $[x^r, y] = rx^{r-1}[x, y]$ for all positive integer r.

Lemma 5. Let R be an s-unital ring and e a pseudo-identity of $\{x, z\} \subseteq R$. If $x^m z = (x + e)^m z$ for some positive integer m, then z = 0.

With the above lemmas established, we are able to complete the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $x, y \in R$, then by (I) there exist positive integers n > 1 and m = m(x, y) > 1 such that

$$(x - x^n)[x, y] = (x - x^n)[x, y]^m$$

= $(x - x^n)[x, y][x, y]^{m-1}$

1

But, by Lemma 3, $[x, y]^{m-1} \in J(R)$, therefore

(2.1)
$$(x - x^n)[x, y] = 0$$

by Lemma 2. Since *R* is an s-unital ring we can replace x by x + e in (2.1), where *e* is the pseudo-identity of $\{x, y\}$, thereby obtaining:

(2.2)
$$\left(x^{n} + {n \choose 1}x^{n-1} + {n \choose 2}x^{n-2} + \dots + {n \choose n-2}x^{2} + {n \choose n-1}x + e^{n} - x - e\right)[x,y] = 0.$$

Note that $(e^n - e)[x, y] = 0$. Comparing (2.1) and (2.2) now yields

$$\left(\binom{n}{1}x^{n-1} + \binom{n}{2}x^{n-2} + \dots \binom{n}{n-2}x^2 + \binom{n}{n-1}x\right)[x, y] = 0$$

and therefore

(2.3)
$$\binom{n}{1} x^{n-1} [x, y] = \left(-\binom{n}{2} x^{n-2} - \dots - \binom{n}{n-2} x^2 - \binom{n}{n-1} x \right) [x, y].$$

Again, if we replace x by x + e in (2.3), we get

(2.4)
$$\binom{n}{1} \left(x^{n-1} + \binom{n-1}{1} x^{n-2} + \dots + e^{n-1} \right) [x, y]$$
$$= \left(-\binom{n}{2} \left(x^{n-2} + \binom{n-2}{1} x^{n-3} + \dots + e^{n-2} \right) - \dots - \binom{n}{n-2} (x^2 + 2x + e^2) - \binom{n}{n-1} (x+e) \right) [x, y].$$

Comparing (2.3) and (2.4) now yields

(2.5)
$$\binom{n}{1}\binom{n-1}{1}x^{n-2}[x,y] = \\ \left(\left(-\binom{n}{1}\binom{n-1}{2} - \binom{n}{2}\binom{n-2}{1} \right) x^{n-3} - \dots - \left(\binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1} \right) \right) [x,y].$$

Continuing the above process, we reach that

(2.6)
$$\binom{n}{1}\binom{n-1}{1}...\binom{2}{1}x[x, y] = s[x, y]$$

where s is an integer.

Now replacing x by x + e in (2.6) yields n![x, y] = 0, and therefore [x, y] = 0, by (II).

Remark 2. If n is an even integer in Theorem 3, then (II) can be replaced by:

(II)' The commutator ideal of R is 2-torsion free.

Proof. Let $x, y \in R$, then by (2.1) there exist n > 1 such that $(x - x^n)[x, y] = 0$. Since *n* is even, replacing *x* by -x in (2.1), we deduce that

(2.7)
$$x[x, y] = -x^{n}[x, y].$$

Comparing (2.1) and (2.7) now yields 2x[x, y] = 0 and therefore x[x, y] = 0, by (II)'. Since *R* is an s-unital ring we can replace *x* by x + e, thereby obtaining x[x, y] + [x, y] = 0. Hence [x, y] = 0, as desired.

Corollary 2. Let R be an s-unital ring and n > 1, r > 1 fixed positive inte-

gers. Suppose that for any $x, y \in R$ there exist positive integer m = m(x, y) such

(I) $(x - x^n)([x, y] - [x, y]^m) = 0$

(II) $[x^r, y^r] = 0$

(III) The commutator ideal of R is $r(2^n - 2)$ -torsion free. Then R is commutative.

Proof. Let $x, y \in R$, then by (2.1), $(x - x^n)[x, y] = 0$. Hence

$$x[x, y] = x^{n}[x, y]$$
$$= x^{n-1}x[x, y].$$

Now if $x \in J(R)$, applying Lemma 2 we deduce that x[x, y] = 0 and therefore $(2^n - 2)[x, y] = 0$ by (2.4), and so [x, y] = 0 by (III). On the other hand in view of Lemma 3, (II) implies that $[x, y] \in J(R)$, hence x[x, y] = [x, y]x and therefore

(2.8)
$$x^{r-1}[x, y^r] = 0$$

by Lemma 4, (II) and (III). Now replacing x by x + e, where e is the pseudo-identity of $\{x, y\}$, we conclude that

$$(x+e)^{r-1}[x, y^r] = 0 = x^{r-1}[x, y^r]$$

and therefore $[x, y^r] = 0$ by Lemma 5. By repeating the above argument, we get [x, y] = 0.

Example 1. In Theorem 3 the ring R must be s-unital because the following noncommutative ring satisfies all of the other hypotheses.

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} | \text{ where } a, b, c \text{ are any real numbers} \right\}$$

for r = m = n = 3.

Example 2. The hypothesis (II) of Theorem 3 is essential as the following

that

example showes.

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & d \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} | |a, b, c, d \in GF(2) \right\}$$

with n = m = 2, r = 1.

References

- ABU-KHUZAM HAZAR, Commutativity results for rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 38 (1988), 191-195.
- [2] ABU-KHUZAM HAZAR and A. YAQUB, A commutativity theorem for division rings, Austral. Math. Soc. 21 (1980), 43-46.
- [3] Y. HIRANO and A. YAQUB, Rings satisfying the identity $(X X^n)(Y Y^n) = 0$, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 29 (1987), 185-189.
- [4] CHUANG CHEN-LIAN, On a conjecture by Herstein, J. Algebra 126 (1989), 119-138.
- [5] A. GIAMBRUNO, J. Z. GONCALVES and A. MANDEL, Rings with algebraic n-engel elements, Comm. Algebra (5) 22 (1994), 1685-1701.
- [6] I. N. HERSTEIN, On rings with a particular variable identity, Algebra 62 (1980), 346-357.
- [7] H. KOMATSU and H. TOMINAGA, Chacron's condition and commutativity theorems, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 31 (1989), 101-120.
- [8] AMIR H. YAMINI, Some commutativity results for rings with certain polynomial identities, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 26 (1984), 133-136.

Abstract

The paper deals with the study of sufficient conditions for commutativity of a ring, namely with the partial generalizations of the Maclegan-Wedderburn theorem according to Jacobsons idea.

* * *