

L. A-M. HANNA (*)

**A note on the matrix representations of the Lie algebras L_r^s
for quantized Hamiltonians where $rs = 0$ (**)**

1 - Introduction

The Lie-algebraic decomposition formulas of Baker, Campbell, Hausdorff and Zassenhaus [9] and their matrix representations, were used by Steinberg in his method to solve certain types of linear partial differential equations [8]. The required matrix representations must be faithful and of low-dimension. Recently, the method has been used to derive solutions of the Schrödinger's wave equations for the Hamiltonian model of coupled quantized harmonic oscillators of the form [7], $H = K_0 + \lambda(K_+ + K_-)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ (the set of nonzero real numbers) is the coupling parameter, and the Lie algebras L_r^s generated by the three operators K_0 , K_\pm ; $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $[K_+, K_-] = sK_0$, $[K_0, K_\pm] = \pm rK_\pm$.

All considered matrix representations should satisfy the physical requirements namely, $K_- = K_+^\dagger$ (\dagger is used for Hermitian conjugation), K_0 is real and diagonal and $(K_+ + K_-)$ is real.

For $r = 1$, $s = 2$ the model corresponds to two-level optical atom model, while for $r = 1$, $s = -2$ it corresponds to light amplifier model. When $rs \neq 0$, it was proved in [5], that L_r^s has faithful matrix representations only if $rs > 0$. When $rs = 0$, we show that L_0^0 has three faithful matrix representations of dimension 3, as the least dimension. But L_0^s , $s \neq 0$ and L_r^0 , $r \neq 0$ have none.

Unless otherwise stated, $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero matrix of appropriate size, I_k is the identity matrix of order k , $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, while $X = [x_{ij}]$, $Y = [y_{ij}]$ and

(*) Kuwait University, Faculty of Science, Dept. of Math. and Comp. Sci., P. O. Box 5969, Safat 13060, Kuwait.

(**) Received February 16, 1998 and in revised form September 22, 1998. AMS classification 17 B 81.

$Z = [\delta_{ij} z_{ij}]$ are $n \times n$ representation matrices for K_+, K_- and K_0 respectively. Thus, $z_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, $y_{ij} = x_{ij}^\dagger = \bar{x}_{ji}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta; $i, j \in N$. Obviously for a faithful representation

$$(1) \quad \lambda Z + \mu X + \nu Y = \mathbf{0}$$

is only satisfied by $\lambda = \mu = \nu = 0$.

The defining relations of L_r^s are: $[K_+, K_-] = sK_0$, $[K_0, K_-] = -rK_-$, or

$$(2) \quad [K_+, K_-] = sK_0, \quad [K_0, K_+] = rK_+.$$

Hence from (2)

$$(3) \quad [X, Y] = sZ, [Z, X] = rX$$

and for $i, j \in N$, we have

$$(4) \quad x_{ij}(z_{ii} - z_{jj} - r) = 0,$$

$$(5) \quad s\delta_{ij} z_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^n (x_{il} x_{lj}^\dagger - x_{il}^\dagger x_{lj}),$$

$$(6) \quad sz_{ii} = \sum_{l=1}^n (|x_{il}|^2 - |x_{li}|^2).$$

Lemma 1. *For any $p, q \in N$, let $\sigma = (pq)$ be a permutation on N that is applied to the rows as well as to the columns of X, Y and Z , then the resulting matrices X', Y' and Z' , respectively are also representation matrices for K_+, K_- and K_0 , respectively.*

Proof. Let P be the elementary matrix, obtained by applying σ to the rows of I_n . Since $P = P^{-1} = P^T$, $X' = P^{-1}XP$, $Y' = P^{-1}YP$ and $Z' = P^{-1}ZP$. Also, the physical properties are satisfied by X', Y' and Z' . ■

Remark 1. Using Lemma 1, the matrix Z can be rearranged as $Z = \text{diag}(\alpha_1 I_{m_1}, \alpha_2 I_{m_2}, \dots, \alpha_t I_{m_t})$, with different α_i 's, $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$; $t \in N$. If Z is singular, we take $\alpha_t = 0$.

2 - Faithful matrix representations for L_0^s , $s \neq 0$

Lemma 2. *The matrices X, Y and Z consist of diagonal blocks of equal corresponding sizes.*

Proof. If z_{ii} and z_{jj} are from different diagonal blocks, then from (4) as $r = 0$ we have $x_{ij} = x_{ji} = 0$. ■

Theorem 3. L_0^s , $s \neq 0$, has no faithful matrix representations.

Proof. From (1), $Z \neq \mathbf{0}$. So, let $\alpha_1 I_{m_1} = \alpha I_m \neq \mathbf{0}$. From Lemma 2 the first diagonal block of X has order m . Using (6), we have

$$\alpha m s = \sum_{i=1}^m s z_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n (|x_{il}|^2 - |x_{li}|^2) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^m (|x_{il}|^2 - |x_{li}|^2) = 0,$$

but $\alpha m s \neq 0$. ■

3 - Faithful matrix representations for L_r^0 , $r \neq 0$

Lemma 4. X and Y are real matrices whose diagonal elements are all zeros.

Proof. The following results are immediate from (4) when $r \neq 0$.

$$(7) \quad \text{If } x_{ij} \neq 0, \text{ then } z_{ii} - z_{jj} = r.$$

$$(8) \quad \text{If } x_{ij} \neq 0, \text{ then } x_{ji} = 0.$$

$$(9) \quad x_{ii} = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since $X + Y$ is real, then for $i, j \in N$, $x_{ij} + \bar{x}_{ji}$ is real, but from (8) x_{ij} and x_{ji} cannot both be nonzero. Then x_{ij} must be real. ■

We note from (6) and Lemma 4, as $s = 0$, that

$$(10) \quad \sum_{l=1}^n x_{il}^2 = \sum_{l=1}^n x_{li}^2.$$

Lemma 5. If X has m zero rows (or columns), where $0 \leq m < n$, then L_r^0 has a representation of dimension $(n - m)$.

Proof. If the i -th row of X is a zero row, then from (10) the i -th column of X must be a zero column, and vice versa. Use Lemma 1 so that, $X = \text{diag}(X', \mathbf{0})$, $Y = \text{diag}(Y', \mathbf{0})$ and $Z = \text{diag}(Z', Z'')$, where, $X' = [x'_{ij}]$, $Y' = X'^{\dagger}$ and $Z' = [\delta_{ij} z'_{ij}]$ are $(n - m) \times (n - m)$ matrices, and X' has no zero rows or columns. For $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, (n - m)$; $x'_{ij} = x_{ij}$ and from (3) and (10) we have $rx_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^n (\delta_{il} z_{il} x_{lj} - x_{il} \delta_{lj} z_{lj}) = \sum_{l=1}^{n-m} (\delta_{il} z'_{il} x'_{lj} - x'_{il} \delta_{lj} z'_{lj}) = rx'_{ij}$ and $\sum_{l=1}^{n-m} x_{il}^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{n-m} x_{li}^2$.

Hence, $[Z', X'] = rX'$ and $[X', Y'] = \mathbf{0}$. Therefore, X' , Y' and Z' are representation matrices for K_+ , K_- and K_0 , respectively. ■

Remark 2. We use Lemma 5 to eliminate all zero rows and zero columns of X . Thus, if $X \neq \mathbf{0}$ then it can be considered that X has no zero row or zero column.

Theorem 6. *The nontrivial matrix representations of L_r^0 are not faithful and of the form $X = Y = \mathbf{0}$ and Z is a nonzero real diagonal matrix.*

Proof. *Case 1:* If $n = 1$, then $X = Y = x_{11} = 0$, from Lemma 4.

Case 2: If $n = 2$, then from (10) $x_{12}^2 = x_{21}^2$ and from (8) either x_{12} or x_{21} is zero. Thus $X = Y = \mathbf{0}$.

Case 3: For $n \geq 3$, suppose that $X \neq \mathbf{0}$ and has k nonzero elements. Then from (8-9) $n \leq k \leq (n^2 - n)/2$. From Remark 2, for each $i \in N$ choose an $x_{ij} \neq 0$. Then from (7), we have $z_{ii} - z_{jj} = r$ or equivalently, $c_{ii} - c_{jj} = 1$, where $c_{ll} = z_{ll}/r$; $l = i, j$. After this, the proof follows the same lines as that of Theorem 3.1 of [4], where it was proved that the system of equations $c_{ii} - c_{jj} = 1$, is inconsistent.

In all cases, for a nontrivial representation Z is a nonzero real diagonal matrix. ■

4 - Faithful matrix representations for L_0^0

Lemma 7. *L_0^0 has no faithful matrix representations of dimension 2.*

Proof. Suppose that $X = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$, $Y = X^\dagger$ and $Z = \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix}$ are representation matrices for K_+ , K_- and K_0 , respectively, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$; $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $X + Y$ is real and from (3) as $r = s = 0$, we have:

$$(11) \quad b + \bar{c} = \text{real},$$

$$(12) \quad |b|^2 = |c|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{c}(a - d) = b(\bar{a} - \bar{d}),$$

$$(13) \quad b(v - u) = 0.$$

From (11) and (12), we have either $c = b$ or $c = -\bar{b}$.

It can be shown, using (12-13), when $c = b = 0$ or $c = -\bar{b} = 0$ that (1) has a nontrivial solution in λ, μ and v . Hence the representation is not faithful. Also, if $c = b \neq 0$ or $c = -\bar{b} \neq 0$, then from (13), as $Z \neq \mathbf{0}$, we have $u = v = l$, for some $l \in \mathbb{R}^*$. It can be shown, using (12), that (1) has a nontrivial solution. ■

Theorem 8. L_0^0 has three types of faithful matrix representations of dimension 3, as the least dimension, namely:

type 1: $Z = uI_3$, $u \neq 0$, $X = \text{diag}(a, b, c)$, $\Delta_1 \neq 0$,

type 2: $Z = \text{diag}(u, u, v)$, $X = \text{diag}(a, b, c)$, $\Delta_2 \neq 0$,

type 3: $Z = \text{diag}(u, v, w)$, $X = \text{diag}(a, b, c)$, $\Delta_3 \neq 0$,

where,

$$\Delta_1 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a & \bar{a} \\ 1 & b & \bar{b} \\ 1 & c & \bar{c} \end{vmatrix}, \quad \Delta_2 = \begin{vmatrix} u & a & \bar{a} \\ u & b & \bar{b} \\ v & c & \bar{c} \end{vmatrix}, \quad \Delta_3 = \begin{vmatrix} u & a & \bar{a} \\ v & b & \bar{b} \\ w & c & \bar{c} \end{vmatrix};$$

for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ and different $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}$. $Y = X^\dagger = \bar{X}$.

Proof. Since $r = 0$, in view of (4), Lemma 2 is also applicable to L_0^0 . Thus we have the following three cases.

Case 1: Let $Z = uI_3 \neq 0$ and let $A, B = A^\dagger$ and $C = Z$, be 3×3 representation matrices for K_+ , K_- and K_0 respectively. Since $AA^\dagger = A^\dagger A$, A is a normal matrix. Hence, $A = U^\dagger D U$ for some unitary matrix U and a complex diagonal matrix D . Since $A + A^\dagger$ is real, $U^\dagger(D + \bar{D})U = R$ for some real matrix R . But $U^\dagger(D + \bar{D})U$ is a Hermitian matrix, therefore, R is symmetric and consequently, has real eigenvalues. Also, $D + \bar{D} = URU^\dagger$ is a real diagonal matrix. Hence, U^\dagger can be chosen as a real matrix since its columns consist of the eigen vectors of R . Therefore, $U = O$ for some orthogonal matrix O . Hence, $A = O^{-1}DO$, $B = O^{-1}\bar{D}O$ and $C = O^{-1}uI_3O$. Obviously, this representation is conjugate to the representation $X = D = \text{diag}(a, b, c)$, $Y = \bar{D}$ and $Z = uI_3$ which in view of (1) is faithful if $\Delta_1 \neq 0$.

Case 2: Let $Z = \text{diag}(u, u, v)$, let $A, B = A^\dagger$ and $C = Z$, be 3×3 representation matrices for K_+ , K_- and K_0 respectively. From Lemma 2, $A = \text{diag}(A', c)$, A' is a 2×2 matrix. Since $AA^\dagger = A^\dagger A$, $A'A'^\dagger = A'^\dagger A'$, and as $A + A^\dagger$ is real, $A' + A'^\dagger$ is also real. With a similar argument for A' instead of A as in Case 1, we find that $A' = O'^{-1}D'O'$ for some orthogonal matrix O' and diagonal matrix $D' = \text{diag}(a, b)$. Let $O = \text{diag}(O', 1)$, and $D = \text{diag}(D', c)$, then we have $A = O^{-1}DO$, $B = O^{-1}\bar{D}O$ and $C = O^{-1}ZO$, since O is an orthogonal matrix. Clearly, this representation is conjugate to the representation $X = D = \text{diag}(a, b, c)$, $Y = \bar{D}$ and $Z = \text{diag}(u, u, v)$ which in view of (1) is faithful if $\Delta_2 \neq 0$.

Case 3: If $Z = \text{diag}(u, v, w)$, then from Lemma 2, X is a complex diagonal matrix. So, let $X = \text{diag}(a, b, c)$ which from (1), is faithful if $\Delta_3 \neq 0$. ■

Acknowledgment. The author acknowledges the fruitful discussions with Prof. Georg Heinig, Prof. S. S. Hassan and Prof. S. Singh, and the support of Kuwait University.

References

- [1] M. A. AL-GWAIZ, M. S. ABDALLA and S. DESHMUKH, *Lie algebraic approach to coupled-mode oscillator*, J. Phys. A **27** (1994), 1275-1282.
- [2] G. DATTOLI, P. DI LAZZARO and A. TORRE, *A spinor approach to the propagation in self-focusing fibers*, Il Nuovo Cimento B **105** (1990), 165-178.
- [3] G. DATTOLI, M. RICETTA, G. SCHETTINI and A. TORRE, *Lie algebraic methods and solutions of linear partial differential equations*, J. Math. Phys. **31** (1990), 2856-2863.
- [4] L. A.-M. HANNA, M. E. KHALIFA and S. S. HASSAN, *On Representations of Lie algebras for quantized Hamiltonians*, Linear Algebra Appl. **266** (1997), 69-79.
- [5] L. A.-M. HANNA, *On the matrix representation of the Lie algebras for quantized Hamiltonians and their central extensions*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (5) **6** (1997), 5-11.
- [6] S. S. HASSAN, L. A.-M. HANNA and M. E. KHALIFA, *Lie algebraic approach to Schrödinger equations for Hamiltonian models of optical atoms*, Second Int. Conf. on Dynamic Systems and Applications, Atlanta, USA 1995, to appear.
- [7] R. J. C. SPREEUW and J. P. WOERDMAN, *Optical atoms*, Progr. Optics **31** (1993), 263-319.
- [8] S. STEINBERG, *Applications of the Lie algebraic formulas of Baker, Campbell, Hausdorff and Zassenhaus to the calculation of explicit solutions of partial differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **26** (1977), 404-434.
- [9] W. WITSCHEL, *Ordered operator expansions by comparison*, J. Phys. A **8** (1975), 143-155.

Abstract

Consider the Lie algebras $L_r^s: [K_+, K_-] = sK_0, [K_0, K_\pm] = \pm rK_\pm; r, s \in \mathbb{R}, K_0$ is a Hermitian operator and $K_- = K_+^\dagger$. In [4], [5] the faithful matrix representations of L_r^s and ${}^cL_r^s$ were discussed for $rs \neq 0$. In this note we consider the case $rs = 0$. We prove that L_0^0 has three types of faithful 3-dimensional representations, as the least dimension, while $L_0^s, s \neq 0$ and $L_r^0, r \neq 0$ have none.
