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# Weakly divisible nearrings on the group of integers $\left(\bmod p^{n}\right)(* *)$ 

## 1- Introduction

In some papers written from 1964 to 1970 (see [3], [5]), James Clay began to work on the construction of nearrings on given additive groups. The problem, which was later developed by various authors (see [7], [8], [11], [1]), remains substantially open. In fact, except for some general theorems, a method explicitly describing a construction of nearrings on given additive groups is available only for certain specific classes of groups (see [7], [8], [9], [10]). This paper, according to Ferrero's work (see [7], [6]), generalises the method provided in [2] for the construction of weakly divisible nearrings, which are left nearrings $N$ fulfilling the following:

$$
\forall x, y \in N, \quad \exists z \in N \mid x z=y \text { or } y z=x .
$$

Here we deal with wd-nearrings on a cyclic additive group. Since it has been proved that the residue class rings of order $m$ are wd-rings if, and only if, $m$ is a prime-power, in Section 4 we study and construct wd-nearrings on ( $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+$ ). Our construction allows the characterisation of all zerosymmetric wd-nearrings on the $\operatorname{group}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+\right)$ of integers $\left(\bmod p^{n}\right), p$ prime, in which $p Z_{p^{n}}$ is the ideal $Q$ of all the nilpotent elements. Even when the order of the additive group is not a primepower or $p Z_{p^{n}}$ is different from $Q$, it is possible to construct wd-nearrings on $\left(Z_{p^{n}},+\right)$ and we have some examples. The characterisation of such cases will be object of further research.

[^0]
## 2-Preliminaries and notations

Let $(H,+)$ be a finite group and $\Phi$ a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(H,+)$. Let $e$ be a selected representative of any orbit of $\Phi$. For every $h$ belonging to $\Phi(e), \varphi_{h}$ will denote an automorphism of $\Phi$ such that $\varphi_{h}(e)=h$. Obviously $\varphi_{h}$ exists for every $h \in H^{*}$, and, if the automorphisms of $\Phi$ are fixed point free, it is the only one.

In the following we refer to zerosymmetric left nearrings, without any explicit recall. For the notations we refer to [12]. Here we recall that $\gamma_{a}$ denotes the left translation defined by $a$, for $a \in N$, that is $\gamma_{a}(x)=a x$, for every $x \in N$. Also recall that $\gamma_{a}$ is an endomorphism of $N^{+}$and it turns out to be an automorphism if, and only if, $a$ is a left cancellable element of $N$. If $H$ is a subset of $N, \Gamma(H)$ denotes the set of the left translations defined by the elements of $H$. The identity of Aut $(N,+)$ is denoted by $i d_{N}$.

From Prop. 9 and Th. 6 of [2] we know that a finite wd-nearring $N$ is the disjoint union of the nil radical $Q$ (hereinafter simply called radical), equal to the prime and the Jacobson radicals, and the multiplicative semigroup $C$ of the left cancellable elements. Moreover, by Th. 8 of [2], $C$ is the disjoint union of maximal multiplicative subgroups of $C$, isomorphic to each other.

As in [2], in the following, the maximal subgroup of $C$ containing $a$ will be denoted by $B_{a}$ and $1_{a}$ will be its identity. So $N=Q \cup C, C=\bigcup_{a \in C} B_{a}$ where $B_{a}=\left\{x \in C \mid x 1_{a}=x\right\}$. We recall here that the identities of the $B_{a} \mathrm{~S}(a \in C)$ are the left identities of $N$ and the only idempotent elements of $N$. Moreover, every $B_{a}(a \in C)$ contains only one idempotent element (Th. 7, [2]).

## 3-Finite wd-nearrings

We now show some further properties of a finite wd-nearring.
Proposition 1. Let $N$ be a finite wd-nearring and $q$ a nilpotent element of $N$. The set of the right identities of $q$ is a multiplicative subsemigroup of $C$ which contains at least one idempotent element.

Let $q$ be a non trivial nilpotent element of $N$. From Prop. 1 of [2] the set $R(q)$ of the right identities of $q$ is a subset of $C$. Furthermore, $R(q)$ is closed with respect to the multiplication, hence it is a multiplicative semigroup of left cancellable elements. Since each left cancellable element has a power which is a left identity of $N$ ([2], Th. 8(b)), $R(q)$ obviously contains some idempotent elements.

Proposition 2. Let $N$ be a finite wd-nearring.
(1) The set $\Gamma(C)$ is a group o $f$ automorphisms of $N^{+}$.
(2) For each $a \in C, \Gamma(C)=\Gamma\left(B_{a}\right)$.
(3) For each $a \in C, B_{a}=\Gamma(a)$, where $\Gamma(a)$ denotes the orbit of $\Gamma(C)$ containing the element $a$.
(4) Let $c \in C$ with $\gamma_{c} \neq i d_{N}$. The fixed points of $\gamma_{c}$ are nilpotent and form an $N$-subgroup of $N$.
(1) Obviously, $\Gamma(C)$ is a semigroup of automorphisms of $N^{+}$. Furthermore, from Th. 8(b) of [2], for each $c \in C$ there is a power $c^{t}$ which is a left identity of $N$. Thus $i d_{N}=\gamma_{c^{t}}$ belongs to $\Gamma(C)$ and $\gamma_{c}^{t-1}=\gamma_{c^{t-1}}$ is the inverse of $\gamma_{c}$.
(2) For all $a, b \in C, \Gamma\left(B_{a}\right)=\Gamma\left(B_{b}\right)$. In fact, for every $h \in B_{a}, \gamma_{h}(x)=h x$ $=h\left(1_{b} x\right)=\left(h 1_{b}\right) x=\gamma_{h 1_{b}}(x)$. From $h 1_{b} \in B_{b}$ it follows that $\gamma_{h} \in \Gamma\left(B_{b}\right) \forall h \in B_{a}$. In the same way we obtain $\gamma_{k} \in \Gamma\left(B_{a}\right) \forall k \in B_{b}$.
(3) Clearly, $\quad B_{a}=\left\{x \in C \mid x 1_{a}=x\right\}=\left\{x \in C \mid \gamma_{x}\left(1_{a}\right)=x\right\}=\left\{\gamma_{x}\left(1_{a}\right) \mid x \in C\right\}$ $=\left\{\gamma_{x}\left(1_{a}\right) \mid \gamma_{x} \in \Gamma(C)\right\}=\Gamma\left(1_{a}\right)$. Since $a \in B_{a}, a$ also belongs to $\Gamma\left(1_{a}\right)$, hence $\Gamma\left(1_{a}\right)=\Gamma(a)$.
(4) Let $c \in C$ and $\gamma_{c} \neq i d_{N}$. Let $h$ be a fixed point of $\gamma_{c}$, that is $c h=h$. If $h$ is left cancellable, there is a power $h^{t}$ which is a left identity of $N$. From $c h^{t}=h^{t}$, we obtain $\operatorname{ch}^{t} x=h^{t} x$, for all $x \in N$, and this implies $c x=x$, now excluded. Therefore $h$ is nilpotent. It is routine to verify that $S(c)=\left\{x \in N \mid \gamma_{c}(x)=x\right\}$ is an $N$-subgroup of $N$.

4-Wd-nearrings on $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+\right)$
The particular additive structure of a nearring $N$ on the group of integers $\left(\bmod p^{n}\right)$ acts very strongly to determine the multiplicative structure. For instance, we know that, for any $x$ and $y$ in $N, x \circ y=y \cdot(x \circ 1)$, where «०» and «•» denote the multiplications in $N$ and in the ring of integers $\left(\bmod p^{n}\right)$ respectively (see [3]). As usual, «॰» will be omitted. In the following $\widehat{a}$ will denote the residue class $\left(\bmod p^{n}\right)$ containing $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x^{(t)}, x^{t}$ the powers of $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$ with respect to «o» and «•». We recall here that every automorphism $\alpha_{k}$ of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+\right)$ is of the form $\alpha_{k}: x \rightarrow k x, k$ relatively prime to $p$. The automorphism group of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+\right)$ is a well known group of order $p^{n-1}(p-1)$ whose subgroups containing only fixed point free automorphisms have order $t$ which divides $p-1$ (see [4] Chapter 2).

The following propositions describe some further properties of wd-nearrings with the additive group $G=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+\right)$.

Proposition 3. Let $N$ be a wd-nearring on $G=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}\right.$, + ). If $p$ divides the order of $\Gamma(C)$ then $\widehat{p}$ is nilpotent.

From Sylow's Theorem if $p$ divides the order of the group $\Gamma(C)$ (Proposition 2(1)), then there exists an element of order $p$ in $\Gamma(C)$ : let $\gamma_{c}$ be, for some $c \in C$.

Let $p \neq 2$. The elements of $A u t(G)$ of order $p$ are those automorphisms of $G$ defined by elements of the form $h p^{n-1}+1$, with $1 \leqslant h \leqslant p-1$, so $\gamma_{c}(\widehat{p})=$ $\left(h p^{n-1}+1\right) \hat{p}=\widehat{p}$. From Proposition 2(3) it follows that $\hat{p}$ is nilpotent.

Let $p=2$. It is well-known that the elements of $A u t(G)$ of order 2 are the automorphisms $\alpha_{a_{i}}(i=1,2,3)$ defined by the elements $a_{1}=1+2^{n-1}, a_{2}=-1, a_{3}$ $=-1+2^{n-1}$. Obviously, $|\Gamma(C)| \neq 2$ or $|\Gamma(C)|=2$; when $|\Gamma(C)|=2$, it results either $\Gamma(C)=\left\{\mathrm{id}_{N}, \alpha_{a_{1}}\right\}$ or $\Gamma(C)=\left\{\mathrm{id}_{N}, \alpha_{a_{2}}\right\}$ or $\Gamma(C)=\left\{\mathrm{id}_{N}, \alpha_{a_{3}}\right\}$, thus we have to examine the following complementary cases:
(1) $|\Gamma(C)|>2$;
(2) $\Gamma(C)=\left\{\mathrm{id}_{N}, \alpha_{a_{1}}\right\}$;
(3) $\Gamma(C)=\left\{\mathrm{id}_{N}, \alpha_{a_{2}}\right\}$;
(4) $\Gamma(C)=\left\{\operatorname{id}_{N}, \alpha_{a_{3}}\right\}$.

Cases (1) and (2). Now $\alpha_{a_{1}}$ belongs to $\Gamma(C)$, hence $\widehat{2}$ is nilpotent because it is fixed by $\alpha_{a_{1}}$.

Case (3). If $\Gamma(C)=\left\{\operatorname{id}_{N}, \alpha_{a_{2}}\right\}$ and we suppose $\hat{2}$ is left cancellable, then $\gamma_{\hat{2}} \in \Gamma(C)$ and, hence, it must be $\widehat{2} \circ \hat{1}=\gamma_{\hat{2}}(\widehat{1})= \pm \widehat{1}$. In both cases, it cannot be $\widehat{2}^{n-1} \circ \widehat{1}=\widehat{2}^{n-1}$, otherwise $\widehat{2}^{n-1}= \pm \widehat{2}^{n-1}=\widehat{2}^{n-1} \circ( \pm \widehat{1})=\widehat{2}^{n-1} \circ(\widehat{2} \circ \widehat{1})$ $=\left(\widehat{2}^{n-1} \circ \widehat{2}\right) \circ \widehat{1}=\left[\widehat{2}\left(\widehat{2}^{n-1} \circ \widehat{1}\right)\right] \circ \widehat{1}=\widehat{0}$, and this is absurd. So $\widehat{2}^{n-1} \circ \widehat{1} \neq \widehat{2}^{n-1}$.

Nevertheless, $\widehat{2}^{n-1}$ is always nilpotent, because it is a fixed point of each element of $A u t(G)$, hence $\widehat{2}^{n-1} \circ \widehat{1}$ is nilpotent too. Since $Q \subseteq p Z_{p^{n}}, \widehat{2}^{n-1} \circ \widehat{1}=\widehat{2}^{k} \widehat{b}$ with $(b, 2)=1$ and $1<k<n-1$. A direct verification shows that $\widehat{2}^{n-1-k}$ is a right identity of $\widehat{2}^{n-1}$ and, therefore, it is a left cancellable element of $N$ (see Prop. 1 [2]), hence $\gamma_{\hat{2}^{n-1-k}} \in \Gamma(C)$ and thus $\widehat{2}^{n-1-k} \circ \widehat{1}=\gamma_{\widehat{2}^{n-1-k}}(\widehat{1})= \pm \widehat{1}$. We examine the two possibilities separately.

Suppose $\widehat{2}^{n-1-k} \circ \widehat{1}=\widehat{1}$. Since $B_{\widehat{2}^{n-1-k}}=\left\{\widehat{2}^{n-1-k},-\widehat{2}^{n-1-k}\right\}$, it follows that $\left(-\widehat{2}^{n-1-k}\right) \circ \widehat{1}=-\widehat{1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{2}^{k} \widehat{b} & =\widehat{2}^{n-1} \circ \widehat{1}=\left(-\widehat{2}^{n-1}\right) \circ \widehat{1}=\left[-\left(\widehat{2}^{n-1} \circ \widehat{2}^{n-1-k}\right)\right] \circ \widehat{1}=\left[\widehat{2}^{n-1} \circ\left(-\widehat{2}^{n-1-k}\right)\right] \circ \widehat{1} \\
& =\widehat{2}^{n-1} \circ\left[\left(-\widehat{2}^{n-1-k} \circ \widehat{1}\right)\right]=\widehat{2}^{n-1} \circ(-\widehat{1})=-\left(\widehat{2}^{n-1} \circ \widehat{1}\right)=-\widehat{2}^{k} \widehat{b},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is $\widehat{2}^{k} \widehat{b}=-\widehat{2}^{k} \widehat{b}$, but now this is excluded because of $k<n-1$. Thus $\widehat{2}$ is nilpotent.

Suppose $\widehat{2}^{n-1-k} \circ \widehat{1}=-\widehat{1}$. We have again $B_{\widehat{2}^{n-1-k}}=\left\{\widehat{2}^{n-1-k},-\widehat{2}^{n-1-k}\right\}$, but now $\left(-\widehat{2}^{n-1-k}\right) \circ \widehat{1}=\widehat{1}$. As above, it results $-\widehat{2}^{k} \widehat{b}=\widehat{2}^{k} \widehat{b}$ which is absurd.

Case (4). If $\Gamma(C)=\left\{i d_{N}, \alpha_{a_{3}}\right\}$, the statement arises analogously to case (3).

Proposition 4. Let $N$ be a wd-nearring on $G=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}\right.$, + ). The following statements are equivalent:
(1) $\widehat{p}$ is a nilpotent element;
(2) $p Z_{p^{n}}$ is the radical $Q$;
(3) the right identities of $\widehat{p}$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}} \backslash p Z_{p^{n}}$.
$(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ If $\widehat{p}$ belongs to the subnearring $Q$, obviously $p Z_{p^{n}}$ is included in $Q$. But $p Z_{p^{n}}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+\right)$, so $Q=p Z_{p^{n}}$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ The right identities of $\widehat{p}$ are left cancellable (see Proposition 1) and if $Q=p Z_{p^{n}}$, the left cancellable elements of $N$ are in $Z_{p^{n}} \backslash p Z_{p^{n}}$.
$(3) \Rightarrow(1)$ Let $\widehat{g}$ be a right identity of $\widehat{p}$. Since $g$ is relatively prime to $p$, then $\widehat{g}$ is one of the generators of ( $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+$ ), hence, for some $k$ in $\mathbb{Z}$, it follows that $\widehat{p}$ $=k \widehat{g}$, where $p$ divides $k$ because $p$ and $g$ are relatively prime. By induction, we can show that $\widehat{p}^{(t)}=k^{t-1} \widehat{p}$. In particular, we obtain $\widehat{p}^{(n)}=k^{n-1} \widehat{p}=\widehat{0}$ because $k$ is a multiple of $p$, hence $\widehat{p}$ is nilpotent.

Using Propositions 3 and 4, recalling that $\Gamma(C)$ is the group of the left translations defined by the left cancellable elements, we can derive the following:

Theorem 1. If $N$ is a wd-nearring on $G=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+\right)$ and $p$ divides the order of $\Gamma(C)$, then the set $Q$ of the nilpotent elements coincides with $p Z_{p^{n}}$.

Thus all wd-nearrings on $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{n}},+\right)$ have $Q=2 Z_{2^{n}}$, while, if $p \neq 2$, there exist wd-nearrings on ( $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$, + ) with $Q=p Z_{p^{n}}$ and also with $Q \neq p Z_{p^{n}}$, when $p$ does not divide the order of $\Gamma(C)$. That is shown by the following example.

Example 1. Let $G=\left(Z_{81},+\right)$ and define on $Z_{81}$ the following multiplications: for all $\widehat{a}, x \in \mathbb{Z}_{81}$

$$
\widehat{a} \circ x= \begin{cases}\hat{0} & \text { if } a=0 \\ x & \text { if } a \equiv_{3} 1 \text { or } a=3 k \text { with } k \equiv_{3} 1 \\ 80 x & \text { if } a \equiv_{3} 2 \text { or } a=3 k \text { with } k \equiv_{3} 2 \\ 9 x & \text { if } a=27 \text { or } a=9 k \text { with } k \equiv_{3} 1 \\ 72 x & \text { if } a=54 \text { or } a=9 k \text { with } k \equiv_{3} 2\end{cases}
$$

$$
\widehat{a} \circ^{\prime} x= \begin{cases}\hat{0} & \text { if } a=0 \\ x & \text { if } a \equiv_{3} 1 \\ 80 x & \text { if } a \equiv_{3} 2 \\ 3 x & \text { if } \mathrm{a}=3 \mathrm{k} \text { with } k \equiv_{3} 1 \\ 78 x & \text { if } a=3 k \text { with } k \equiv_{3} 2 \\ 9 x & \text { if } a=9 k \text { with } k \equiv_{3} 1 \\ 72 x & \text { if } a=9 k \text { with } k \equiv_{3} 2 \\ 27 x & \text { if } a=27 \\ 54 x & \text { if } a=54\end{cases}
$$

then $\left(Z_{81},+, \circ^{\prime}\right)$ turns out to be a wd-nearring with $Q=3 Z_{81}$, while $\left(Z_{81},+, \circ\right)$ results a wd-nearring with $Q \neq 3 Z_{81}$. Both these constructions are possible, because $p=3$ does not divide the order of $\Gamma(C)=\left\{i d_{G},-i d_{G}\right\}$, in according to Theorem 1.

Case $Q=p Z_{p^{n}}$.
In this paragraph we collect some further properties about wd-nearrings on ( $Z_{p^{n}},+$ ) with $Q=p Z_{p^{n}}$.

Proposition 5. Let $N=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+\right.$, o) be a wd-nearring with $Q=p \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$. For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, it is $k \widehat{p}^{t} \circ \widehat{1}=p^{t} e^{-t}\left(k e^{t} \circ \widehat{1}\right)$, where $1 \leqslant t<n$ and $e$ is an idempotent right identity of $\hat{p}$.

From the hypothesis and Proposition 4, we have $\widehat{p} \circ e=\widehat{p}$ with $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}} \backslash p Z_{p^{n}}$, hence $e$ is an invertible element of the ring ( $\left.Z_{p^{n}},+, \cdot\right)$ so $\widehat{p} \circ \widehat{1}=e^{-1} \widehat{p}$. Consequently, $k \widehat{p}=k(\widehat{p} \circ e)=\widehat{p} \circ k e$ and also $\widehat{p}^{(2)}=p^{2} e^{-1}$. By induction we can prove $k \widehat{p}^{t}=\widehat{p}^{(t)} \circ k e^{t}$ and also $\widehat{p}^{(t)} \circ \widehat{1}=p^{t} e^{-t}$. Thus, $k \widehat{p}^{t} \circ \widehat{1}=\widehat{p}^{(t)} \circ k e^{t} \circ \widehat{1}$ $=\left(k e^{t} \circ \hat{1}\right)\left(\widehat{p}^{(t)} \circ \hat{1}\right)=p^{t} e^{-t}\left(k e^{t} \circ \widehat{1}\right)$.

We now establish a congruence between the identities $1_{a}$ of the maximal subgroups $B_{a}$ of $C$.

Proposition 6. Let $N=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+, \circ\right)$ be a wd-nearring with $Q=p \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$. Let $B_{x}, B_{y}(x, y \in C)$ be two maximal multiplicative subgroups of C. If $\widehat{a} \in B_{x}, \widehat{b} \in B_{y}$ and $\widehat{a}-\widehat{b} \in p^{j} Z_{p^{n}},(j<n)$, then it is also $1_{\widehat{a}}-1_{\hat{b}} \in p^{j} Z_{p^{n}}$.

Let $\hat{e}$ be an idempotent right identity of $\hat{p}$. From $\widehat{a}-\widehat{b} \in p^{j} Z_{p^{n}}$ it derives $p^{n-j} \widehat{a}=p^{n-j} \hat{b}$ and hence $p^{n-j} e^{-(n-j)} \widehat{a}=p^{n-j} e^{-(n-j)} \hat{b}$. Clearly, we can also say that $a e^{-(n-j)} \hat{p}^{n-j} \circ \hat{1}=b e^{-(n-j)} \widehat{p}^{n-j} \circ \hat{1}$. Using Proposition 5 we obtain
$p^{n-j} \widehat{e}^{-(n-j)}\left(a e^{-(n-j)} \hat{e}^{-(n-j)} \circ \hat{1}\right)=p^{n-j} \widehat{e}^{-(n-j)}\left(b e^{-(n-j)} \hat{e}^{-(n-j)} \circ \hat{1}\right)$. It follows $p^{n-j}(\widehat{a} \circ \widehat{1})=p^{n-j}(\widehat{b} \circ \hat{1})$ and $(\widehat{a} \circ \widehat{1})-(\widehat{b} \circ \widehat{1}) \in p^{j} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$, hence $(\widehat{a} \circ \widehat{1})^{-1}-(\hat{b} \circ \hat{1})^{-1}$ belongs to $p^{j} Z_{p^{n}}$. Keeping in mind that $1_{\widehat{a}}=(\widehat{a} \circ \widehat{1})^{-1} \widehat{a}$ and $1_{\hat{b}}=(\widehat{b} \circ \widehat{1})^{-1} \widehat{b}$, the statement is clear.

In [3], necessary and sufficient conditions are given to construct all the nearrings whose additive group is finite and cyclic. Precisely, Clay proved that a function $\pi$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ in itself such that $\pi(a) \pi(b)=\pi(a \pi(b))$, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$, (hereinafter called Clay function), defines a multiplication «*» on ( $\mathbb{Z}_{m},+$ ) by $a * b$ $=\pi(a) b$ and $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m},+, *\right)$ turns out to be a nearring. Conversely, if $« \circ »$ is the multiplication of a nearring $N=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m},+, \circ\right)$, then the map $\pi$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ in itself defined by $\pi(\alpha)=a \circ \widehat{1}$ is a Clay function. Clearly, this last function $\pi$ defines a multiplication which equals «o» of $N$.

Using these previous results we can prove the following:
Proposition 7. Let $N=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}\right.$, + , ○) be a wd-nearring with $Q=p \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$. Suppose $e$ is an idempotent right identity of the element $\widehat{p}$. The Clay function $\pi$ defining the product «o» of $N$ is such that:
for each $\widehat{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}, a=k p^{t}$, with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(k, p)=1$

$$
\pi(\widehat{a})=p^{t} \gamma_{k e^{t}}\left(e^{-t}\right)
$$

where $\gamma_{k e^{t}}$ is the left translation defined by $k e^{t}$.
By [3] $\pi(\widehat{a})=\widehat{a} \circ \widehat{1}, \widehat{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$, defines the Clay function related to the product of $N$. Therefore, we have to prove that $\widehat{a} \circ \hat{1}=p^{t} \gamma_{k e^{t}}\left(e^{-t}\right)$, for each $a=k p^{t} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(k, p)=1$. From Proposition 5, $\widehat{a} \circ \widehat{1}=k \widehat{p}^{t} \circ \widehat{1}=p^{t} e^{-t}\left(k e^{t} \circ \widehat{1}\right)=p^{t} e^{-t} \gamma_{k e^{t}}(\widehat{1})$ $=p^{t} \gamma_{k e^{t}}\left(e^{-t}\right)$.

## Construction.

In [7] Giovanni Ferrero shows how to construct, in the finite case, strongly monogenic nearrings, starting from an additive group $G$ and a subgroup $\Phi$ of Aut $(G)$. With a suitable choice of $\Phi$, in [8], the author can build a particular class of strongly monogenic nearrings, the planar and specifically integral planar nearrings. It is exactly in [8] that the $(G, \Phi)$ pair is introduced, where $G$ is an additive group and $\Phi$ is a subgroup of $A u t(G)$ which only includes fixed point free automorphisms. This pair $(G, \Phi)$ is known in literature as Ferrero pair.

Even if according to Ferrero's work, the construction described in this paper starts from a pair $(G, \Phi)$ which is not necessarily a Ferrero pair, in fact $G$ equals $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+\right)$ and $\Phi$ is any subgroup of not necessarily fixed point free automor-
phisms of $G$. Beginning with such a pair $(G, \Phi)$, now we are able to define a Clay function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$. The derived nearring results a wd-nearring with $Q=p \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$, thus, it is non integral nearring but with a trivial left annihilator, therefore, in particular, non integral planar nearring and not even strongly monogenic.

Definition 1. Let $G=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+\right)$ and let $\Phi$ be a subgroup of $A u t(G)$. Two elements $a$ and $b$ of $G$ are called $\alpha$-associate if the following condition holds:

$$
\text { if } a-b \notin p^{j} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}, \quad(j<n), \text { then for all } x \in \Phi(a)
$$

and for all $y \in \Phi(b)$ it is $x-y \notin p^{j} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$.
A set of representatives of the orbits included in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}} \backslash p Z_{p^{n}}$ is called $\alpha$-set if its elements are $\alpha$-associate to each other. A subgroup $\Phi$ of $A$ ut $(G)$ with an $\alpha$-set $R_{\alpha}$ will be denoted by $\left\langle\Phi, R_{\alpha}\right\rangle$.

Definition 2. Let $G=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+\right)$ and $\left\langle\Phi, R_{\alpha}\right\rangle$ be a subgroup of $A u t(G)$ with an $\alpha$-set. Let $e$ be a selected element of $R_{\alpha}$. For every $\widehat{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$ define ${ }^{(1)}$ :

$$
\pi(\widehat{a})= \begin{cases}\widehat{0} & \text { if } a=0, \\ p^{r} \varphi_{k e^{r}}\left(e^{-r}\right) & \text { if } a=k p^{r} \text { with } k \in \mathbb{Z},(k, p)=1 \text { and } 0 \leqslant r<n\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 8. Let $G,\left\langle\Phi, R_{\alpha}\right\rangle$ and $\pi$ be as in Definition 2. Then $\pi$ is a Clay function.

First of all, we prove that $\pi$ is a function. Clearly, for every $\widehat{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}, \pi(\widehat{a})$ exists. Hence it is sufficient to show that $\widehat{a}=\widehat{b}$ implies $\pi(\widehat{a})=\pi(\widehat{b})$.

If $\widehat{a}, \widehat{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}} \backslash p \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$ the statement is clear.
If $\widehat{a} \in p Z_{p^{n}}$ then $\hat{b} \in p Z_{p^{n}}$ too. Denote $a=k p^{r}$ and $b=\left(k+t p^{n-r}\right) p^{r}$, for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $(k, p)=1$ and $0 \leqslant r<n$. It follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\beta) \quad \pi(\widehat{a})=p^{r} \varphi_{k e^{r}\left(e^{-r}\right)=p^{r} e^{-r} \varphi_{k e^{r}}(\widehat{1}),}^{(\gamma)} \quad \pi(\widehat{b})=p^{r} \varphi_{\left(k+t p^{n-r}\right) e^{r}\left(e^{-r}\right)=p^{r} e^{-r} \varphi_{\left(k+t p^{n-r}\right) e^{r}(\widehat{1})}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing $(\beta)$ and $(\gamma)$, we can see that our statement is true if $\varphi_{k e^{r}}(\widehat{1})$ and

[^1] representatives of $\Phi\left(k e^{r}\right)$ and $\Phi\left(\left(k+t p^{n-r}\right) e^{r}\right)$ respectively, by the hypothesis $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are $\alpha$-associate, it follows that $e_{1}-e_{2}$ belongs to $p^{n-r} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$, and this is true for $\varphi_{\left(k+t p^{n-r}\right) e^{r} r}\left(e_{1}\right)-\varphi_{\left(k+t p^{n-r}\right) e^{r}}\left(e_{2}\right)$ too. But $\varphi_{\left(k+t p^{n-r}\right)_{e} r}\left(e_{2}\right)$ equals $\left(k+t p^{n-r}\right) e^{r}$ which, clearly, belongs to the coset $k e^{r}+p^{n-r} Z_{p^{n}}$, called $S$. Final-
 Thus, since $e_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}} \backslash p \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$, the proof is complete.

We now show that $\pi$ is a Clay function, that is $\pi$ fulfils the following condition $\pi(a) \pi(b)=\pi(a \pi(b))$, for all $a, b \in G$.

Take $\widehat{a}, \widehat{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$ with $a=h p^{r}, b=k p^{s}$, where $h, k$ are relatively prime to $p$ and $0 \leqslant r, s<n$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi(\widehat{a}) \pi(\widehat{b}) & =p^{r} \varphi_{h e^{r}}\left(e^{-r}\right) p^{s} \varphi_{k e^{s}}\left(e^{-s}\right)= \\
& =p^{r+s} e^{-(r+s)} \varphi_{h e^{r}}(\widehat{1}) \varphi_{k e^{s}}(\hat{1})=p^{r+s} e^{-(r+s)} \varphi_{k e^{s}}\left(\varphi_{h e^{r}}(\hat{1})\right), \\
\pi(\widehat{a} \pi(\widehat{b})) & =\pi\left(h p^{r+s} \varphi_{k e^{s}}\left(e^{-s}\right)\right)=p^{r+s} \varphi_{h \varphi_{k e^{s}\left(e^{-s}\right)} e^{r+s}\left(e^{-(r+s)}\right)=} \\
= & p^{r+s} e^{-(r+s)} \varphi_{h e^{r} \varphi_{h e^{s}(\widehat{1})}(\widehat{1})=p^{r+s} e^{-(r+s)} \varphi_{\varphi_{h e^{s}}\left(h e^{r}\right)}(\widehat{1}) .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\varphi_{\varphi_{x(y)}}=\varphi_{x} \circ \varphi_{y}$, for each $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}} \backslash p \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}}$, then the proof is complete.

In the next example we can see that the choice of the representatives of the orbits included in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}} \backslash p Z_{p^{n}}$ is essential in order to make $\pi$ a function.

Example 2. Let $G=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{16},+\right)$ and $\Phi=\left\{i d_{G}, \alpha_{7}, \alpha_{9}, \alpha_{15}\right\}$. Since $|\Phi|=4$, there are exactly two orbits of $B: \Phi(\widehat{1})=\{\widehat{1}, \widehat{7}, \widehat{9}, \widehat{15}\}$ and $\Phi(\widehat{3})=\{\widehat{3}, \widehat{5}, \widehat{11}, \widehat{13}\}$. Let $\hat{7}$ and $\widehat{5}$ be the selected representatives of $\Phi(\widehat{1})$ and $\Phi(\widehat{3})$, respectively. Choose $e=\widehat{7}$. In this case, for instance, $\pi(\widehat{4})=4 \varphi_{e^{2}}\left(e^{-2}\right)=4 \varphi_{\hat{1}}(\widehat{1})=\widehat{12}$ while $\pi(\widehat{5 \cdot 4})$ $=4 \varphi_{5 e^{2}}\left(e^{-2}\right)=4 \varphi_{\widehat{5}}(\widehat{1})=\widehat{4}$, hence $\pi$ is not a function. In fact, $\widehat{7}$ and $\widehat{5}$ are not $\alpha$-associate.

Theorem 2. Let $G,\left\langle\Phi, R_{\alpha}\right\rangle$ and $\pi$ be as in Definition 2.
Define $x * y=\pi(x) y$, for all $x, y \in G$. The structure $N=\left(Z_{p^{n}},+, *\right)$ is a wdnearring whose radical $Q$ is $p Z_{p^{n}}$.

From Th. II of [3] and Proposition 7, $N$ is a (left) nearring. Now we have to verify that ( $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+, *$ ) is weakly divisible. Assume $\widehat{x}, \widehat{y} \in N$, with $x=h p^{r}$ and $y=k p^{s}$ and suppose $s \leqslant r$. Take $g=h p^{r-s}\left(\varphi_{k e^{s}}\left(e^{-s}\right)\right)^{-1}$, it results $\widehat{y} * g=\widehat{x}$. In the same way we can proceed when $r \leqslant s$. Finally, from Proposition 4, to prove
$Q=p Z_{p^{n}}$ can be reduced to show that $\widehat{p}$ is nilpotent. Applying the induction principle we can show that $\widehat{p}^{(t)}=p^{t}\left[\varphi_{e}\left(e^{-1}\right)\right]^{t-1}$. From this it follows $\widehat{p}^{(n)}=\widehat{0}$, hence $\widehat{p}$ is nilpotent.

Example 3. Let $G=\left(Z_{16},+\right) \quad$ and $\quad\left\langle\Phi, R_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left(\left\{i d_{G}, \alpha_{7}, \alpha_{9}, \alpha_{15}\right\}\right.$, $\{\hat{7}, \widehat{11}\}$ ). Choose $e=\widehat{7}$. Definition 2 provides the following Clay function on $G$ :

$$
\pi:\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 \\
0 & 7 & 14 & 9 & 12 & 15 & 2 & 1 & 8 & 15 & 14 & 1 & 4 & 7 & 2 & 9
\end{array}\right)
$$

and this defines a multiplication «*» on $\mathbb{Z}_{16}$ by $x * y=\pi(x) y$.
Now $N=\left(Z_{16},+, *\right)$ turns out to be a nearring and, in particular, a wd-nearring with $Q=2 Z_{16}$. Thus $N$ is a nearring of order 16 , non integral, without non trivial left annihilators, and, therefore, non planar and not strongly monogenic.

Theorem 2 summarizes the construction method of wd-nearrings on $\left(Z_{p^{n}},+\right)$ with $Q=p Z_{p^{n}}$ and the following theorem emphasizes that all such wd-nearrings are constructed in this way.

Theorem 3. Every wd-nearring $N=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+, \circ\right)$ with $Q=p Z_{p^{n}}$ is constructible as in Theorem 2 taking:
(1) $G=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+\right)$;
(2) $\Phi=\Gamma(C)$;
(3) the idempotent elements of $N$ as $\alpha$-set of $\Phi$;
(4) $e$ equals an idempotent right identity of $\hat{p}$.

From Proposition 2(1), Proposition 6 and Proposition 1, $\left\langle\Phi, R_{a}\right\rangle$ and $e$ of the hypothesis are suitable to apply Definition 2, that is to define the Clay function $\pi$ (Proposition 8):

In this case, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leqslant r<n$, the automorphism $\varphi_{k e^{r} \in \Gamma(C)}$ such that $\varphi_{k e^{r}}\left(e_{k e^{r}}\right)=k e^{r}$ turns out to be the left translation $\gamma_{k e^{r}}$ defined by $k e^{r}$, in fact, from the hypothesis, $\gamma_{k e^{r}}\left(1_{k e^{r}}\right)=k e^{r}$ and $1_{k e^{r}}$ is the fixed representative of $\Gamma\left(k e^{r}\right)$ $=B_{k e^{r}}$. Therefore, from Proposition 7, the Clay function defining «o» equals the Clay function $\pi$ here constructed. Thus, clearly, the multiplication «o» of $N$ and the one defined by $\pi$ coincide.
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#### Abstract

A nearring $N$ is weakly divisible (wd-nearring) if, for each $x, y \in N$, there exists an element $z \in N$ such that $x z=y$ or $y z=x$. In this paper we characterise and construct all zerosymmetric $w d$-nearrings on the group $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n}},+\right)$ of integers $\left(\bmod p^{n}\right)$, $p$ prime, in which $p Z_{p^{n}}$ is the set of all the nilpotent elements.


[^0]:    (*) Dipartimento di Elettronica per l'Automazione, Facoltà di Ingegneria dell'Università degli Studi di Brescia, Via Branze 38, I-25123 Brescia, Italy.
    (**) Received March 16, 1998. AMS classification 16 Y 30. Work carried out on behalf of Italian M.U.R.S.T.

[^1]:    $\left.{ }^{( }{ }^{1}\right)$ We recall that $\varphi_{x}$ denotes the automorphism of $\Phi$ such that $\varphi_{x}\left(e_{x}\right)=x$, where $e_{x}$ is the selected representative of $\Phi(x)$.

