

GIOVANNI CIMATTI (*)

A nonlinear parabolic system ()**

A TRISTANO MANACORDA per il suo 70° compleanno

1 - Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^2 with a regular boundary S of class C^2 . In this paper we prove the existence of at least one weak solution in $[0, T]$ for the following problem (Pb):

$$(1.1) \quad \nabla \cdot (\sigma(u) \nabla \varphi) + \Delta \varphi_t = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_T$$

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi = \varphi_0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_T$$

$$(1.3) \quad u_t - \Delta u = \sigma(u) |\nabla \varphi|^2 + \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \varphi_t$$

$$(1.4) \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_T$$

where Q_T is the cylinder $\Omega \times (0, T)$, S_T the lateral surface i.e. $S_T = \{(x, t); x \in S, t \in [0, T]\}$, and $\Gamma_T = S_T \cup \{(x, t); x \in \Omega, t = 0\}$. Moreover $\varphi_0(x, t) \in C^3(\bar{Q}_T)$ and $\sigma(u) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^1)$ are given functions such that

$$(1.5) \quad \sigma_1 \geq \sigma(u) \geq \sigma_0 > 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}^1.$$

The interest of problem (Pb) lies in its nonstandard structure and in the quadratic growth in the gradient. For a related problem we refer to [2].

(*) Indirizzo: Dipartimento di Matematica, Università, I-561000 Pisa.

(**) Ricevuto: 3-V-1989.

The notations for the Sobolev spaces will be those of the book [4]. However we recall the main definitions.

$W_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1,0}(Q_T)$, $W_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1,1}(Q_T)$ and $W_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2,1}(Q_T)$ are Hilbert spaces with the following scalar products and the corresponding generalized derivatives in $L^2(Q_T)$

$$(u, v)_{W_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1,0}(Q_T)} = \int_{Q_T} (uv + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v) dx dt \quad \nabla u = (u_{x_1}, u_{x_2})$$

$$(u, v)_{W_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1,1}(Q_T)} = \int_{Q_T} (uv + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + u_t v_t) dx dt$$

$$(u, v)_{W_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2,1}(Q_T)} = \int_{Q_T} (uv + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + u_t v_t + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 u_{x_i x_j} v_{x_i x_j}) dx dt.$$

$V_2(Q_T)$ is the Banach space consisting of all elements of $W_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1,0}(Q_T)$ having finite the norm

$$\|v\|_{V_2(Q_T)} = \sup_{(0, T)} \|v(t)\|_{2, \Omega} + \|\nabla v\|_{2, Q_T}.$$

W is the space of the elements of $L^2(Q_T)$ with the following generalized derivatives ∇v , v_t and ∇v_t in $L^2(Q_T)$. With the scalar product

$$(u, v)_W = \int_{Q_T} (uv + u_t v_t + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \nabla u_t \cdot \nabla v_t) dx dt$$

W is an Hilbert space. Finally a dot over the spaces $W_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1,0}(Q_T)$, $W_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1,1}(Q_T)$, $V_2(Q_T)$ and W will denote the corresponding subspace of the functions vanishing on S_T .

A weak solution of (Pb) will be a couple (φ, u) such that:

$$(1.6) \quad \varphi - \varphi_0 \in \dot{W}(Q_T) \quad \varphi(x, 0) = \varphi_0(x, 0) \quad x \in \Omega;$$

$$(1.7) \quad \varphi \in W \cap L^\infty(Q_T) \quad \int_{Q_T} \nabla \varphi_t \cdot \nabla v dx dt + \int_{Q_T} \sigma(u) \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla v dx dt = 0$$

for all $v \in \dot{W}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1,1}(Q_T)$;

$$(1.8) \quad u \in \dot{V}_2(Q_T) \quad - \int_0^T (u, w_t) dt + \int_0^T (\nabla u, \nabla w) dt \\ = - \int_0^T (\varphi \sigma(u) \nabla \varphi + \varphi \nabla \varphi_t, \nabla w) dt$$

for all $w \in \dot{W}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1,1}(Q_T)$ such that $w(T, 0) = 0$.

(u, v) denotes the scalar product in $L^2(\Omega)$. It is easy to verify by integration by parts that every regular solution of (Pb) is also a weak solution. Viceversa every regular solution of (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8) is solution of (Pb). The existence of a weak solution will be proved in the next two sections using the Faedo-Galerkin method [3].

2 - Application of the Faedo-Galerkin method

Let $\Psi_k(x) \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, be a basis of $\dot{W}_2^1(\Omega)$ orthonormal with respect to $L^2(\Omega)$. Let $g^n(t) \in C^3[0, T]$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad g_j^n(0) = 0.$$

Define
$$u^n(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^n g_j^n(t) \Psi_j(x)$$

and consider the problem

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi^n = \varphi_0 \quad \text{on } S_T \quad \varphi^n(x, 0) = \varphi_0(x, 0) \quad x \in \Omega$$

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi^n \in W \quad \int_{Q_T} \nabla \varphi_t^n \cdot \nabla v \, dx \, dt + \int_{Q_T} \sigma(u^n) \nabla \varphi^n \cdot \nabla v \, dx \, dt = 0$$

for all $v \in \dot{W}_2^1(Q_T)$.

First of all we establish certain «a priori» estimates for the solutions of (2.2), (2.3). Put $v = \varphi^n - \varphi_0$ in (2.3), we have

$$\int_a \sigma(u^n) |\nabla \varphi^n|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_a |\nabla \varphi^n|^2 \, dx = \int_a \sigma(u^n) \nabla \varphi^n \cdot \nabla \varphi_0 \, dx + \int_a \nabla \varphi_t^n \cdot \nabla \varphi_0 \, dx.$$

Integrating between 0 and t we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_t} \sigma(u^n) |\nabla \varphi^n|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_a |\nabla \varphi^n(x, t)|^2 \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_a |\nabla \varphi^n(x, 0)|^2 \, dx + \int_{Q_t} \sigma(u^n) \nabla \varphi^n \cdot \nabla \varphi_0 \, dx \, dt + \int_{Q_t} \nabla \varphi_t^n \cdot \nabla \varphi_0 \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts in the second integral on the right hand side and then using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have

$$(2.4) \quad \sup_{(0, T)} \|\nabla \varphi^n(t)\|_{2, \Omega} \leq C_1$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|\varphi^n\|_{W_{\frac{1}{2}}^1(Q_T)} \leq C_2$$

where the constants C_1 and C_2 do not depend on n .

Choose $v = (\varphi^n - \varphi_0)_t \in \dot{W}_{\frac{1}{2}}^1(Q_T)$ in (2.3), we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi_t^n|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \varphi_t^n \cdot \nabla \varphi_{0t} dx + \int_{\Omega} \sigma(u^n) \nabla \varphi^n \cdot \nabla \varphi_{0t} dx - \int_{\Omega} \sigma(u^n) \nabla \varphi^n \cdot \nabla \varphi_t^n dx.$$

Recalling (1.5) and (2.4) we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_{(0, T)} \|\nabla \varphi_t^n\|_{2, \Omega} \leq C_3$$

$$(2.7) \quad \|\nabla_t^n\|_{2, Q_T} \leq C_4.$$

Moreover by the Poincaré inequality, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \|\nabla \varphi_t^n\|_{2, Q_T} \leq C_5$$

where C_3 , C_4 and C_5 are constants not depending on n .

Lemma 2.1. *If $g_j^n(t)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are given functions, problem (2.2), (2.3) has one and only one solution $\varphi^n(x, t) \in C^3(\bar{Q}_T)$.*

Dim. First of all we prove the uniqueness. Let $a(x, t) \in C^1(\bar{Q}_T)$ satisfy $a(x, t) > 0$ in \bar{Q}_T . Consider the problem

$$(2.9) \quad \psi \in \dot{W}(Q_T) \quad \psi(x, 0) = 0 \quad x \in \Omega$$

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{Q_T} \nabla \psi_t \cdot \nabla v dx dt + \int_{Q_T} a \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla v dx dt = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in \dot{W}_{\frac{1}{2}}^1(Q_T).$$

Putting $v = \psi$ in (2.10) we find

$$\int_{Q_T} a |\nabla \psi|^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi(x, T)|^2 dx = 0.$$

This implies that problem (2.9), (2.10) has only one solution and also the uniqueness for problem (2.2), (2.3) if the functions $g_j^n(t)$ are given.

To prove the existence, let us consider the problem

$$(2.11) \quad \Delta \varphi_t + \sigma'(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi + \sigma(u) \nabla \varphi = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_T$$

$$(2.12) \quad \varphi = \varphi_0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_T$$

in which we omit the index n .

Problem (2.11) and (2.12) can be restated in equivalent form with the following system:

$$(2.13) \quad \Delta \varphi = v$$

$$(2.14) \quad v_t + \sigma(u) v = -\sigma'(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi$$

$$(2.15) \quad \varphi = \varphi_0 \quad \text{on } S_T$$

$$(2.16) \quad v(x, 0) = \Delta \varphi_0(x, 0) \quad x \in \Omega.$$

We integrate (2.14) as a first order equation in t . We find by (2.13) and (2.16)

$$(2.17) \quad \Delta \varphi(x, t) = A(x, t) [\Delta \varphi_0(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t B_i(x, \tau) \varphi_{x_i}(x, \tau) d\tau]$$

where
$$A(x, t) = \exp\left[\int_0^t \sigma(u(x, s)) ds\right]$$

$$B_i(x, t) = -\sigma'(u(x, t)) u_{x_i}(x, t) \exp\left[\int_0^t \sigma(u(x, s)) ds\right].$$

We note that $A(x, t)$, $B_i(x, t)$ are of class $C^3(\bar{Q}_T)$ by the assumptions made on $g_j^n(t)$. We want to prove that the integrodifferential equation (2.17) with the boundary condition (2.15), has at least one solution. Let

$$\Sigma = \{v \in W_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1,0}(Q_t), v = \varphi_0 \text{ on } S_T, \|v\|_{W_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1,0}(Q_T)} \leq C_2\}$$

where C_2 is the constant of (2.5). Define the operator $\varphi = T(w)$, $T: \Sigma \rightarrow W_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1,0}(Q_T)$

via the linear problem

$$(2.18) \quad \Delta\varphi(x, t) = A(x, t)[\Delta\varphi_0(x, 0) + \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x, \tau) w_{x_i}(x, \tau) d\tau]$$

$$(2.19) \quad \varphi = \varphi_0 \quad \text{on } S_T.$$

The right hand side of (2.17) belongs to $W_{\frac{1}{2}}^1(Q_T)$, therefore problem (2.18), (2.19) can be solved and we find $\varphi \in W_{\frac{1}{2}}^2(Q_T)$. Moreover by (2.5), we have $T(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma$. Since T is continuous and $T(\Sigma)$ is compact in $W_{\frac{1}{2}}^2(Q_T)$, we can apply the Schauder fixed point theorem.

Hence there exists a solution in $W_{\frac{1}{2}}^2(Q_T)$ of (2.15), (2.17). With the usual «bootstrap» argument we can regularize the solution and conclude that $\varphi(x, t) \in C^3(\bar{Q}_T)$.

Remark 2.1. From (2.15) and (2.17) we obtain $\varphi(x, t)$ and $\nabla\varphi(x, t)$ if the functions $g_j^s(t)$ are known. On the other hand we can get $\varphi_t(x, t)$ and also $\nabla\varphi_t(x, t)$ from (2.11) by solving the problem

$$(2.20) \quad \Delta\varphi_t = -\sigma'(u)\nabla u \cdot \nabla\varphi - \sigma(u)\Delta\varphi \quad \text{in } Q_T$$

$$(2.21) \quad \varphi_t = \varphi_{0t} \quad \text{on } S_T.$$

Again only the $g_j^s(t)$'s are involved in the right hand side of (2.20).

We want to deduce now that the φ^s 's are a priori bounded in the maximum norm. We start by studying the following linear problem

$$(2.22) \quad \Delta\psi_t + \nabla \cdot (a(x, t)\nabla\psi) = \nabla \cdot F \quad \text{in } Q_T$$

$$(2.23) \quad \psi = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_T.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $F = (F_1, F_2) \in C^3(\bar{Q}_T)$ and $a(x, t) \in C^3(\bar{Q}_T)$. Suppose*

$$(2.24) \quad a_1 \geq a(x, t) \geq a_0 > 0.$$

Let $\psi(x, t) \in C^3(\bar{Q}_T)$ be a solution of (2.22) and (2.23). We claim that there exists $\hat{p} > 2$ such that for all $2 \leq p \leq \hat{p}$ the following estimate holds

$$(2.25) \quad \|\nabla\psi\|_{p, Q_T} \leq C\|F\|_{p, Q_T}.$$

The constant C depends only on a_0, a_1, p , and Q_T .

Proof. It is easy to verify that we may assume $a_1 = 1$ without loss of generality. Let us consider the following problem $(\text{Pb})_\lambda$

$$(2.26) \quad \Delta \psi^{(\lambda)} + \nabla \cdot [(1 - \lambda) + \lambda a(x, t) \nabla \varphi^{(\lambda)}] = \nabla \cdot F \quad \text{in } Q_T$$

$$(2.27) \quad \psi^{(\lambda)} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_T.$$

We denote by $C(\lambda, p)$ the best constant (which may be $+\infty$) for which (2.25) holds true for the solutions of $(\text{Pb})_\lambda$, i.e.

$$(2.28) \quad C(\lambda, p) = \sup_{\|F\|_{p, Q_T} \neq 0} \frac{\|\nabla \psi^{(\lambda)}\|_{p, Q_T}}{\|F\|_{p, Q_T}}.$$

We find easily that $C(0, p) < +\infty$ for all $p \geq 2$ and

$$(2.29) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow 2^+} C(0, p) = C(0, 2) \leq 1.$$

One can also verify by direct calculations, that $C(1, 2) < +\infty$. Let us consider in the (λ, p) -plane the values (λ, p) for which $C(\lambda, p) < +\infty$ and

$$(2.30) \quad \|\nabla \psi\|_{p, Q_T} \leq C(\lambda, p) \|F\|_{p, Q_T}.$$

If we derive with respect to λ equation (2.26), we find again a problem of the type $(\text{Pb})_\lambda$ i.e.

$$(2.31) \quad \Delta \psi_\lambda + \nabla \cdot [((1 - \lambda) + \lambda a(x, t)) \nabla \psi_\lambda] = \nabla \cdot [(1 - a(x, t)) \nabla \psi] \quad \text{in } Q_t$$

$$(2.32) \quad \psi_\lambda = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_T.$$

Therefore we have, with the same constant $C(\lambda, p)$ of (2.30),

$$(2.33) \quad \|\nabla \psi_\lambda\|_{p, Q_T} \leq C(\lambda, p) \|(1 - a) \nabla \psi\|_{p, Q_T} \leq C(\lambda, p) (1 - a_0) \|\nabla \psi\|_{p, Q_T}.$$

Put $K = 1 - a_0 < 1$. Since $\frac{d}{d\lambda} \|\nabla \psi\|_{p, Q_T} \leq \|\nabla \psi_\lambda\|_{p, Q_T}$ we have, recalling (2.29) and (2.32),

$$(2.34) \quad \frac{d}{d\lambda} \|\nabla \psi\|_{p, Q_T} \leq K C^2(\lambda, p) \|F\|_{p, Q_T}.$$

Integrating from λ_1 to λ_2 , with $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1$, we get by (2.28)

$$(2.35) \quad C(\lambda_2, p) \leq C(\lambda_1, p) + K \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} C^2(\lambda, p) d\lambda.$$

Using a comparison theorem for ordinary differential equations we may obtain an estimate from above for $C(\lambda, p)$ with the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$(2.36) \quad \frac{dz}{d\lambda} = Kz^2 \quad z(0) = C(0, 2 + \delta).$$

$z(\lambda)$ is defined in $[0, 1/K C(0, 2 + \delta))$. Since $C(0, 2) \leq 1$ and $K < 1$ we can find $\hat{\delta} > 0$ so small that $1/K C(0, 2 + \hat{\delta}) > 1$. Put $\hat{p} = 2 + \hat{\delta}$. Since $C(\lambda, \hat{p}) \leq z(\lambda)$ it follows that $C(\lambda, \hat{p})$ is defined in $[0, 1]$. Therefore $C(1, \hat{p}) < +\infty$.

Corollary 2.1. *Under the same assumptions of Lemma 2.2 we have*

$$(2.37) \quad \max_{Q_T} |\psi| \leq C \|F\|_{p, Q_T}$$

where C depends only on a_0 , a_1 , and Q_T .

Proof. Let $v(x, t) = \psi_t(x, t)$ and $f(x, t) = F - a(x, t) \nabla \psi$. For all $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$\Delta v = \nabla \cdot f \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } S.$$

By standard results [5] we get

$$\|v(x, t)\|_{p, \Omega} \leq C \|f\|_{p, \Omega} \quad p > 2.$$

Therefore

$$\|\psi_t\|_{p, Q_T} \leq C \|f\|_{p, Q_T}.$$

It follows by Lemma 2.2

$$\|\nabla \psi\|_{p, Q_T} + \|\psi_t\|_{p, Q_T} \leq C \|F\|_{p, Q_T}.$$

By Sobolev's imbedding theorem [1] we have (2.37) since $m = 2$.

Putting $\psi = \varphi^n - \varphi_0$ we can apply Corollary 2.1 to problem (2.2), (2.3). Therefore we have

$$\|\varphi^n\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \leq C_7$$

where C_7 depends only on σ_0 , σ_1 , Q_T and φ_0 .

Let us consider the system

$$(2.40) \quad (u_t^n, Y_k) + (\nabla u^n, \nabla Y_k) = -(\varphi^n \sigma(u^n) \nabla \varphi^n + \varphi^n \nabla \varphi_t^n, \nabla Y_k) \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

where $\nabla \varphi^n$ and $\nabla \varphi_t^n$ is known via the functions $g_j^n(t)$.

Since $(u_t^n, Y_k) = g_k^n(t)$, system (2.40) with the initial conditions $g^n(0) = 0$ has locally one and only one solution. To prove that this solution is defined in $[0, T]$ and to pass to the limit for $n \rightarrow 0$ we need other «a priori» estimate. Let us multiply the k -th equation of (2.40) by $g_t^n(t)$ and then sum all of the equations over k from 1 to n . From the resultant equality integrated with respect to t we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u(x, t)\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{2,Q_t}^2 \leq \sup_{Q_t} |\varphi^n| (\sigma_1 \|\nabla \varphi^n\|_{2,Q_t} + \|\nabla \varphi_t^n\|_{2,Q_t}) \cdot \|\nabla u^n\|_{2,Q_t}.$$

By (2.39), (2.7) and (2.5) we have

$$(2.41) \quad \|u^n\|_{V_2} \leq C_8.$$

This in particular implies that the solutions of (2.40) are defined in $[0, T]$ and

$$(2.42) \quad \|\nabla u^n\|_{2,Q_T} \leq C_9.$$

By (2.5), (2.7) we can extract from $\{\varphi^n\}$ a subsequence, still denoted $\{\varphi^n\}$, such that:

$$(2.43) \quad \nabla \varphi^n \rightarrow \nabla \varphi \quad \text{weakly} \quad \text{in } L^2(Q_T)$$

$$(2.44) \quad \varphi_t^n \rightarrow \varphi_t \quad \text{weakly} \quad \text{in } L^2(Q_T)$$

$$(2.45) \quad \nabla \varphi_t^n \rightarrow \nabla \varphi_t \quad \text{weakly} \quad \text{in } L^2(Q_T)$$

$$(2.46) \quad \varphi^n \rightarrow \varphi \quad \text{strongly} \quad \text{in } L^2(Q_T).$$

By (2.41) and (2.42) we can extract from $\{u^n\}$ a subsequence, still denoted $\{u^n\}$,

such that

$$(2.47) \quad u^n \rightarrow u \quad \text{weakly} \quad \text{in } L^2(Q_T)$$

$$(2.48) \quad \nabla u^n \rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text{weakly} \quad \text{in } L^2(Q_T).$$

However from (2.41) and (2.42) does not follow immediately the strong convergence in $L^2(Q_T)$ of $\{u^n\}$ which is needed for letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.40). Therefore we shall use the following version of Rellich's theorem [1].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $v^n(x, t) \in V_2(Q_T)$ and*

$$(2.49) \quad \|v^n\|_{V_2(Q_T)} \leq C.$$

Suppose

$$(2.50) \quad v^n(x, t) \rightarrow v(x, t) \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega) \text{ and uniformly with respect to } t \in [0, T].$$

Then it is possible to extract from $\{v^n\}$ a subsequence, still denoted $\{v^n\}$, such that

$$(2.51) \quad v^n \rightarrow v \text{ strongly in } L^2(Q_T).$$

We want to apply Lemma 2.3 to the sequence $\{u^n\}$. The a priori estimate (2.49) holds by (2.41). By (2.42) the functions $g^n(t)$ are uniformly bounded.

If we integrate (2.40) in $[t, t+h]$ then use (2.39), (2.42), (2.5) and (2.7) we find

$$|g_j^n(t+h) - g_j^n(t)| \leq C_k |h|.$$

Therefore for fixed j and $n \geq j$ the $g_j^n(t)$ are equicontinuous in $[0, T]$. By the usual diagonal process we can find a subsequence $g_j^{n_m}(t)$ converging to a continuous function $g_j(t)$ for every $j=1, 2, \dots$. Let $v(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $v_k(x)$ be a complete orthonormal system of $L^2(\Omega)$. We have $v(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (v, v_k) v_k$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} [u^{n_m}(x, t) - u(x, t)] v(x) dx \right| &\leq \|u^{n_m} - u\|_{2\Omega} \left(\sum_{k=s+1}^{\infty} (v, v_k)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{k=1}^s (v, v_k) [g_k^{n_m}(t) - g_k(t)] \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing s sufficiently large and recalling (2.41) the first term in the right hand side becomes less than a preassigned $\varepsilon > 0$. By the uniform convergence of the $g_k^n(t)$ the second summation can be made less than ε for all $t \in [0, T]$. This proves (2.50). Therefore by Lemma 2.3 we can extract from $\{u^n\}$ a subsequence, still denoted $\{u^n\}$, such that

$$(2.52) \quad u^n \rightarrow \text{strongly} \quad \text{in } L^2(Q_T)$$

$$(2.53) \quad \sigma(u^n) \rightarrow \sigma(u) \quad \text{strongly} \quad \text{in } L^p(Q_T) \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

We let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.2) and (2.3). By (2.43), (2.45) and (2.53) we have

$$(2.54) \quad \varphi = \varphi_0 \quad \text{on } S_T \quad \varphi(x, 0) = \varphi_0(x, 0) \quad x \in \Omega$$

$$(2.55) \quad \varphi \in W \quad \int_{Q_T} \nabla \varphi_t \cdot \nabla w dx dt + \int_{Q_T} \sigma(u) \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla w dx dt = 0$$

for all $w \in \dot{W}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1,1}(Q_T)$.

We multiply each equation (2.40) by a regular function $d_j^n(t)$ which vanish for $t = T$. Summing up over all j from 1 to $l \leq n$ and integrating the result with respect to t we get, after an integration by parts,

$$(2.56) \quad - \int_0^T (u^n, \Phi^l) dt + \int_0^T (\nabla u^n, \nabla \Phi^l) dt \\ = - \int_0^T (\varphi^n \sigma(u^n) \nabla \varphi^n, \nabla \Phi^l) dt - \int_0^T (\varphi^n \nabla \varphi_t^n, \nabla \Phi^l) dt$$

where $\Phi^l(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^l d_j^n(t) \Psi_j(x)$.

We let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the left hand side of (2.56) for l fixed using (2.47) and (2.48). Write

$$\int_{Q_T} [\varphi^n \sigma(u^n) \nabla \varphi^n - \varphi \sigma(u) \nabla \varphi] \cdot \nabla \Phi^l dx dt \\ = \int_{Q_T} [(\varphi^n - \varphi) \sigma(u^n) \nabla \varphi^n + (\sigma(u^n) - \sigma(u)) \varphi \nabla \varphi^n + \varphi \sigma(u) (\nabla \varphi^n - \nabla \varphi)] \cdot \nabla \Phi^l dx dt.$$

Using (2.46), (2.53) and (2.44) we get

$$\int_{Q_T} \varphi^n \sigma(u^n) \nabla \varphi^n \cdot \nabla \Phi^l dx dt \rightarrow \int_{Q_T} \varphi \sigma(u) \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \Phi^l dx dt.$$

In a similar way we have

$$\int_{Q_T} \varphi^n \nabla \varphi_t^n \cdot \nabla \Phi^l \, dx \, dt \rightarrow \int_{Q_T} \varphi \nabla \varphi_t \cdot \nabla \Phi^l \, dx \, dt$$

by (2.43) and (2.46). Then we arrive at

$$(2.57) \quad - \int_{Q_T} u \Phi^l \, dx \, dt + \int_{Q_T} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \Phi^l \, dx \, dt = - \int_{Q_T} [\varphi \sigma(u) \nabla \varphi + \varphi \nabla \varphi_t] \cdot \nabla \Phi^l \, dx \, dt.$$

The functions Φ^l are dense in the subspace of $\dot{W}_2^{1,1}(Q_T)$ of the functions which vanish on $t = T$. Therefore we obtain (1.8) from (2.57). This completes the proof of the existence of a weak solution for problem (Pb).

References

- [1] R. A. ADAMS, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, 1970.
- [2] G. CIMATTI, *Existence of weak solutions for the nonstationary problem of the Joule heating of a conductor*, to appear in *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*
- [3] J. L. LIONS, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Paris, Dunod, 1969.
- [4] O. A. LADYZENSKAJA, V. A. SOLONNIKOV and N. N. URAL'CEVA, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Translation of Mathematical Monographs, A.M.S., 1968.
- [5] N. G. MEYERS, *An L^p -estimate for the gradient of solutions of second order elliptic divergence equations*, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, (3), 7 (1963), 189-206.

Sommario

Si studia un sistema nonlineare di due equazioni di tipo parabolico. Viene dato un teorema di esistenza di soluzioni per il problema misto in un arbitrario intervallo di tempo.
