

M. SQUILLANTE, A. S. G. VENTRE and S. WEBER (*)

**Closure and convergence properties
for classes of decomposable measures (**)**

1 - Introduction

The concept of t -conorm was introduced by Schweizer and Sklar [8] on the basis of the work of Menger [7] who defined generalized triangle inequalities by means of triangular norms (t -norms). Schweizer and Sklar used the concepts of t -norm and t -conorm in the theory of probabilistic metric spaces. Weber [11]₁ defined a special class of set functions by means of a t -conorm operator \perp , in order to state a general theory of non additive measures (called \perp -decomposable measures) and consequent integration, that reduces to the Lebesgue theory in the additive case. Comparisons with other non-additive theories (e.g. Choquet's and Sugeno's integrals) were illustrated in [11]₁.

A basic condition for many interesting developments is to consider Archimedean t -conorms.

In this framework it is worth mentioning some applications to measure of information and probability theory (see, e.g., [1], [10] and [11]₂), and mathematical economics (see, e.g., [4]).

Let us observe that the structure $([0, 1], \perp)$ is a semigroup. We just recall that semigroup valued measures are extensively treated in Sion's book [9].

Our present aim is to analyze the closure of some families of \perp -decomposable measures with respect to the operators «lim», and « t -conorm». Furthermore

(*) Indirizzi degli AA.: M. SQUILLANTE e A. S. G. VENTRE, Istituto di Matematica, Facoltà di Architettura, Via Monteoliveto 3, I-80134 Napoli; S. WEBER, Johannes Gutenberg Universität, Fachbereich Mathematik, Mainz. Fed. Rep. of Germany.

(**) Ricevuto: 10-X-1988.

some convergence theorems for sequences of decomposable measures are proved (e.g. Nikodým and Phillips like theorems). We shall adopt [2] and [5] as reference books.

2 - Some basic results and definitions

Recall that a t -conorm \perp is a binary operation on the interval $J = [0, 1]$ which is non decreasing in each argument, commutative, associative and has 0 as unit.

An *Archimedean t -conorm* \perp is, by definition, continuous and such that $\perp(x, x) > x$, for every $x \in (0, 1)$. The following representation theorem, due to Ling [6], holds.

Theorem 1. A binary operation \perp on J is an Archimedean t -conorm iff there exists an increasing and continuous function $g: J \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ with $g(0) = 0$, such that

$$\perp(x, y) = g^{(-1)}(g(x) + g(y))$$

where $g^{(-1)}$ is the pseudo-inverse of g defined by

$$g^{(-1)}(x) = g^{-1}(\min(x, g(1))).$$

Moreover \perp is strictly increasing iff $g(1) = \infty$.

Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space. A function $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow J$, with $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mu(X) = 1$, is called a \perp -*decomposable measure* [11]₁ (or measure decomposable with respect to a t -conorm \perp) if $\mu(A \dot{\cup} B) = \mu(A) \perp \mu(B)$; σ - \perp -*decomposable measure* if $\mu(\overset{\circ}{\cup}_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \overset{\circ}{\perp}_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$; if condition $\mu(X) = 1$ is replaced by $\mu(X) \leq 1$, then the set function μ is called *subnormed \perp -decomposable measure*; σ - \perp -*decomposable measure* if $\mu(\underset{\circ}{\cup}_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \underset{\circ}{\perp}_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$; *continuous* from below or above, resp., if $\lim_k \mu(A_k) = \mu(A)$ for $A_k \uparrow A$ or $A_k \downarrow A$, resp.

The following propositions are valid [11]₁.

- (a) If μ is \perp -decomposable, then μ is monotone.
- (b) μ is \perp -decomposable if and only if

$$\mu(A \cup B) \perp \mu(A \cap B) = \mu(A) \perp \mu(B).$$

(c) μ is σ - \perp -decomposable if and only if μ is \perp -decomposable and continuous from below.

An example of decomposable measure with respect to any Archimedean conorm \perp is the Dirac measure, namely the measure concentrated in a point. For non trivial examples see, e.g., [11]₁.

Let us recall that given an Archimedean r -conorm \perp with an additive generator g , the following classification for \perp -decomposable measures μ holds [11]₁:

(S): \perp strict. Then $g \circ \mu: A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is an infinite (σ) -additive measure, whenever μ is a (σ) - \perp -decomposable one.

(NSA): \perp non-strict Archimedean and $g \circ \mu: A \rightarrow [0, g(1)]$ a finite (σ) -additive measure with $(g \circ \mu)(X) = g(1)$.

(NSP): \perp non-strict Archimedean and $g \circ \mu$ a finite measure with $(g \circ \mu)(X) = g(1)$, which is only pseudo (σ) -additive, i.e., it is possible that

$$(g \circ \mu)(\dot{\cup}_k A_k) = g(1) < \sum_k (g \circ \mu) A_k.$$

Furthermore, let μ be a \perp -decomposable measure, then the following propositions hold:

(i) If μ is continuous from below, then μ is continuous from above for all decreasing sequences $\{A_k\}$ in case (NSA), for all $\{A_k\}$, with $\mu(A_1) < 1$, in the other cases.

(ii) If μ is continuous from above for all $\{B_k\} \downarrow \emptyset$, then μ is continuous from below.

The following properties for decomposable measures can be easily checked.

2.2 - Let μ be a \perp -decomposable measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) . Then:

(a) If $\{A_i\}$ is a finite family of measurable subsets of X , then

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i) &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \perp \mu\left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i < j}}^n \bigcup_{j=1}^n (A_i \cap A_j)\right) \\ \perp \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{\substack{j=1 \\ i < j < k}}^n \bigcup_{k=1}^n (A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k)\right) \perp \dots \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

(b) For every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, it is $\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) \perp \mu(B)$.

If $\{A_n\}$ is a sequence of measurable subsets of X , with $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$, ($i \neq j$) for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A \supseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, it is

$$\bigperp_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) \leq \mu(A).$$

In particular

$$\bigperp_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) \leq \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n).$$

(c) If μ is σ - \perp -decomposable and $\{A_n\}$ is an arbitrary sequence of measurable subsets of \mathcal{A} , then

$$\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \leq \bigperp_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n).$$

3 - Closure properties

Let $M_{\perp}(M_{\sigma\perp})$ denote the set of \perp -(σ - \perp)-decomposable measures on the measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) . The following Nikodým property, whose proof is omitted, holds.

3.1 - Let $\{\mu_n\}$ be a sequence in M_{\perp} , with \perp continuous in $J \times J$, such that $\{\mu_n(A)\}$ converges for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the set function

$$\mu : A \in \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \lim_n \mu_n(A)$$

is a \perp -decomposable measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) . Furthermore, if $\{\mu_n\}$ is in $M_{\sigma\perp}$ and converges uniformly with respect to $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then μ is in $M_{\sigma\perp}$.

3.2 - In 3.1 monotonicity can take the place of uniform convergence; indeed we can state

Theorem 2. *Let $\{\mu_n\}$ be an increasing sequence in $M_{\sigma\perp}$, with \perp continuous. The set function $\mu = \lim \mu_n$ is in $M_{\sigma\perp}$.*

Proof. By 3.1 μ is \perp -decomposable. Let $\{A_k\}$ be a sequence of disjoint subsets in \mathbf{A} , $B_m := \dot{\cup}_{k=1}^m A_k$ and $a_{nm} := \mu_n(B_m)$. By monotonicity of μ_n the double sequence $\{a_{nm}\}$ converges. The partial sequences have the limits $\mu(B_m)$, for all m , and $\mu_n(\dot{\cup}_{k=1}^\infty A_k)$, for all n , respectively. Therefore, interchanging the limits on m and n , we obtain

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} a_{nm} = \dot{\bigcup}_{k=1}^\infty \mu(A_k) = \mu(\dot{\cup}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k).$$

In virtue of Theorem 2, the behaviour of sequences in M_\perp (M_{σ_\perp}) can be illustrated as follows.

3.3 - Let $\{\mu_n\}$ be a sequence of measures in M_\perp , with \perp continuous. Then the set function

$$\mu : A \in \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \dot{\bigcup}_{n=1}^\infty \mu_n(A)$$

is in M_\perp ; if $\{\mu_n\}$ is in M_{σ_\perp} , then μ is in M_{σ_\perp} too.

4 - Limit properties with variable subsets

It will be useful in the sequel the following definition and propositions [11]₁.

Def. For any t -conorm \perp the operation $\dot{\div}$ is defined as

$$b \dot{\div} a = \inf\{y/a \perp y \geq b\}.$$

4.1 - For every strict Archimedean t -conorm with additive generator g ,

$$b \dot{\div} a = \begin{cases} g^{-1}(g(b) - g(a)) & \text{if } a \leq b, a < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For every non-strict Archimedean t -conorm, and $a \leq b$

$$b \dot{\div} a = g^{-1}(g(b) - g(a)).$$

4.2 - Let \perp be an Archimedean t -conorm and μ a \perp -decomposable measure. If $A \subseteq B$ and under the additional conditions for (S) with $\mu(A) < 1$ or (NSP) with $\mu(B) < 1$, resp., then $\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) \dot{-} \mu(A)$.

The following theorems hold.

Theorem 4.3. *Let \perp be an Archimedean t -conorm with additive generator g , μ a \perp -decomposable measure on (X, \mathbf{A}) .*

For every sequence $\{A_i\} \subseteq \mathbf{A}$ of pairwise disjoint subsets, it is

$$(1) \quad \lim_i \mu(A_i) = 0$$

in case (NSA). Eq. (1) holds in case (NSP) under the additional condition $\mu(\dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^k A_i) < 1$, for every k , and in the case (S) under the additional condition $\mu(\dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) < 1$.

Proof. It is

$$\lim_i \mu(A_i) = \lim_i \mu(\dot{\bigcup}_{h=1}^i A_h) \dot{-} \lim_i \mu(\dot{\bigcup}_{h=1}^{i-1} A_h) = \dot{\bigcup}_{h=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_h) \dot{-} \dot{\bigcup}_{h=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_h) = 0.$$

This follows by 4.2 and the continuity of the operator $\dot{-}$, which is true only in $[0, 1) \times [0, 1)$ for the case (S) and therefore is required the additional condition.

Let us state a tie between monotone and uniform convergence for a sequence of σ - \perp -decomposable measures.

Let \mathbf{B} denote a subfamily in the σ -algebra \mathbf{A} of the measurable space (X, \mathbf{A}) . Consider the property

(c) Every sequence of elements in \mathbf{B} contains a convergent subsequence.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (X, \mathbf{A}) be a measurable space and $\{\mu_n\}$ an increasing sequence of elements of $M_{\sigma, \perp}$ with \perp archimedean and every μ_n of type (NSA). If \mathbf{B} is a subfamily of \mathbf{A} enjoining property (c), then the equality*

$$\lim_n \mu_n(A) = \mu(A)$$

is valid uniformly with respect to $A \in \mathbf{B}$.

Proof. Let us prove the assumption by contradiction, and then assume that the convergence of $\{\mu_n\}$ is not uniform on \mathbf{B} . Precisely if $\lim \mu_n(A) = \mu(A)$, for every $A \in \mathbf{A}$, suppose that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that there is a sequence $\{n_k\}$ of indices and a sequence $\{C_k\}$ of subsets of \mathbf{B} , for which

$$(2) \quad \mu_{n_k}(C_k) \leq \mu(C_k) - \varepsilon.$$

By property (C) there is a subsequence $\{C_{k_j}\}$ in $\{C_k\}$ that converges to a measurable subset C

$$\lim_j C_{k_j} = C.$$

Let \bar{k} be a given positive integer; for $n_{k_j} \geq \bar{k}$ we get $\mu_{\bar{k}}(C_{k_j}) \leq \mu_{n_{k_j}}(C_{k_j})$ hence, by (2),

$$(3) \quad \mu_{\bar{k}}(C_{k_j}) \leq \mu_{n_{k_j}}(C_{k_j}) < \mu(C_{k_j}) - \varepsilon.$$

By Theorem 2, μ is in $M_{\sigma-1}$ and therefore $g \circ \mu$ is a finite σ -additive measure, in virtue of Nikodým Theorem. Thus condition (NSA) is satisfied for μ ; therefore by [11]₂ μ is continuous. Then (3) implies

$$\mu_{\bar{k}}(C) = \lim_j \mu_{\bar{k}}(C_{k_j}) \leq \mu(C) - \varepsilon.$$

The inequality $\mu_{\bar{k}}(C) \leq \mu(C) - \varepsilon$, stated for an arbitrary \bar{k} , contradicts the hypothesis of monotonicity and, then, convergence of μ_n on every measurable subset. Thus the uniform convergence of the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ on \mathbf{B} follows.

Remarks (i) If $\{\mu_n\}$ is a sequence fulfilling the hypotheses of Theorem 4, then, in particular, $\lim_n \mu_n(B) = \mu(B)$, where B belongs to any convergent sequence of measurable subsets.

(ii) Theorem 4.4 holds, in particular, if $\{\mu_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of σ -additive measures (that are σ -1-decomposable with respect to the non strict t -conorm $a \perp b = \min(a + b, 1)$).

The remarks above allow to restate a classical result (see, e.g., [3], p. 275).

(iii) If $\{\mu_n\}$ is a monotone sequence of σ -additive measures and $\sup_n \mu_n$ is finite, then $\{\mu_n\}$ is uniformly convergent on every subfamily in \mathbf{A} , contained in a convergent sequence of measurable subsets.

(iv) Let (X, T) be a Hausdorff locally compact space, such that T contains all countable intersections of open subsets (e.g. T is the discrete topology). T fulfils condition (c).

Let N denote the set of positive integers and $P(N)$ the power set of N . A Phillips' Lemma analogue for \perp -decomposable measures holds.

Theorem 5. *Let $\{\mu_n\}$ be a sequence of subnormed \perp -decomposable measures on $(N, P(N))$ and \perp continuous. Then the following propositions*

$$(a) \quad \lim_n \mu_n(A) = 0 \quad \text{for every} \quad A \in P(N)$$

$$(b) \quad \lim_n S_n = 0$$

$$(c) \quad \lim_n \bar{S}_n = 0$$

$$(d) \quad \lim_n \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(k) = 0$$

where S_n, \bar{S}_n are the suprema of the sets $\{\mu_n(A), \text{ for every finite } A \in N\}$, $\{\mu_n(A), \text{ for every } A \subseteq N\}$, respectively, are linked by the implications

$$(a) \Leftrightarrow (c) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (d).$$

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (c)$. Indeed, by contradiction if (c) is false, there is $\eta > 0$, such that for every $m \in N$, there exists $p_m > m$ and $A_m \subseteq N$, such that $\mu_{p_m}(A_m) \geq \eta$, and setting $A = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m$, by monotonicity of every μ_{p_m} , $\mu_{p_m}(A) \geq \mu_{p_m}(A_m) \geq \eta$. Then the absurd.

$(c) \Rightarrow (a)$, $(c) \Rightarrow (b)$ are evident.

Let us prove (b) implies (d). Indeed

$$0 \leq \lim_n'' \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(k) = \lim_n'' \lim_m \bigcap_{k=1}^m \mu_n(k) < \lim_n'' S_n = 0.$$

$(d) \Rightarrow (b)$. We just observe that for any finite sequence $k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_{j_m}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \lim_n'' S_n &= \lim_n'' \text{Sup}_{j_m \in N} \{\mu_n(\{k_1, k_2 \dots k_{j_m}\})\} \\ &= \lim_n'' \text{Sup}_{j_m < N} \bigcap_{i=1}^{j_m} \mu_n(k_i) \leq \lim_n'' \text{Sup}_{j_n} \bigcap_{k=1}^{k_{j_m}} \mu_n(k) = \lim_n'' \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(k). \end{aligned}$$

As a concluding remark let us observe that if all measures μ_n are σ - \perp -decomposable, then

$$\lim_n \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(k) = \lim_n \mu_n(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{k\}) = \lim_n \mu(N)$$

and all the propositions (a), (b), (c), (d) are equivalent between them.

References

- [1] J. ACZÉL, *Sur les opérations définies pour nombres réels*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **76** (1949), 59-64.
- [2] K. P. S. BHASKARA RAO and M. BHASKARA RAO, *Theory of charges*, Academic Press, London, 1983.
- [3] F. CAFIERO, *Misura e integrazione*, Cremonese, Roma, 1959.
- [4] M. FEDRIZZI, M. SQUILLANTE and A. G. S. VENTRE: [\bullet]₁ *On the structure of the range of \perp -decomposable measures*, Proc. 11th AMASES Conf., Aosta, 1987; [\bullet]₂ *On the range of \perp -decomposable measures*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4) **14** (1988), 249-259.
- [5] E. KLEIN and A. THOMPSON, *Theory of correspondences*, Wiley-Interscience Publ., New York, 1985.
- [6] C. H. LING, *Representation of associative functions*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **12** (1965), 189-212.
- [7] K. MENGER, *Statistical metrics*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **28** (1942), 535-537.
- [8] B. SCHWEIZER and A. SKLAR: [\bullet]₁ *Espaces métriques aléatoires*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **247** (1958), 2092-2094; [\bullet]₂ *Associative functions and statistical triangle inequalities*, Publ., Math. Debrecen **8** (1961), 169-186; [\bullet]₃ *Probabilistic metric spaces*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [9] M. SION, *A theory of semigroup valued measure*, Lecture Notes in Math. **355**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [10] A. G. S. VENTRE and S. WEBER, *Evaluations of fuzzy sets based on orderings and measures*, Stochastica XI-1 (1987), 35-44.
- [11] S. WEBER: [\bullet]₁ *\perp -decomposable measures and integrals for Archimedean t-conorms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **101** (1984), 114-138; [\bullet]₂ *Conditional entropies: a discussion of different concepts*, Journées Remoises «Anal. problèmes décisionnels dans un environnement incertain et imprecis», Reims, 1985.

Sommario

Si dimostrano alcuni teoremi di convergenza per successioni di funzioni d'insieme decomponibili rispetto a conorme triangolari; in particolare si stabilisce una condizione sufficiente per la convergenza uniforme e l'analogo del classico teorema di Phillips.
