PAOLO TERENZI (*)

Pseudo bases in Banach spaces (**)

Introduction

In what follows $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of a Banach space B, span $\{x_n\} = \{\sum_{n=1}^m a_n x_n\}$, ω -span $\{x_n\} = \{\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n x_n\}$ and $[x_n] = \overline{\text{span}} \{x_n\}$.

We say that $\{x_n\}$ is ω -dipendent if $x_m \in \omega$ -span $\{x_n\}_{n \geq m+1}$ for every m, l^1 -dipendent if $x_m \in \{\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n x_n / \|x_n\|, \|\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n x_n / \|x_n\| \| = \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n| \}$ for every m. Moreover we recall that $\{x_n\}$ is pseudo basis of B if $B = \omega$ -span $\{x_n\}$, basis if $\{x_n\}$ is pseudo basis with $x_m \notin [x_n]_{n \neq m}$ for every m.

In what follows we call $S(x_n)$ the set of all the complete subsequences $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$, i.e. such that $[x_{n_k}] = [x_n]$.

If $[x_n] = [x_n]_{n \ge m}$ for every m, this set has cardinality of continuum (prof. II of $[2]_1$). Since the bases are well known, the Note concerns the pseudo bases which are ω -dipendent, that we study by means of the set $S(x_n)$.

Suppose that B has a basis, then every $\{x_n\}$ which is dense in B is an ω -dipendent pseudo basis, however in this case $S(x_n)$ has all the possible types of sequences of B. We wish to know particular types of $S(x_n)$, precisely if it is possible that all the elements of $S(x_n)$ are pseudo bases.

In [1] we proved that every B has a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that all the elements of $S(x_n)$ were ω -dipendent; moreover in [2]₂ we proved in particular B, for every $p \ge 1$, the existence of $\{x_n\}$ such that all the elements of $S(x_n)$ were l^p -dipendent, but not pseudo basic.

^(*) Indirizzo: Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico, P.za Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy.

^(**) Ricevuto: 25-II-1982.

Now we give an example of a sequence $\{x_n\}$, in a particular B, such that all the elements of $S(x_n)$ are pseudo bases of B and l¹-dipendent.

Example. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the naturale basis of l^1 and let us set

$$(1)_1$$
 $v_m = \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{n=n_m}^{q_m} x_n$, where $p_1 = 2$, $q_m = p_m + 2^m - 1$ and $p_{m+1} = q_m + 1$

for every m;

(1)₂
$$y_{2n} = \frac{x_n + v_n}{2}$$
 and $y_{2n-1} = \frac{x_n - v_n}{2}$ for every n ;

(1)₃
$$Y = [y_{2n}]$$
 and $Z = [z_n]$, where $z_n = y_{2n-1} + Y$ for every n .

Then $S(z_n)$ has cardinality of continuum and all its elements are pseudo bases of Z. Moreover, if $\{z_{n_k}\}$ is an element of $S(z_n)$, span $\{z_n\} \subset \{\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k z_{n_k}, \|\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k z_{n_k}\| = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_k|\}$ for every m; hence all the elements of $S(z_n)$ are l^1 -dipendent.

Remark. In (1)
$$Z \neq \{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z_n, \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z_n\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \}.$$

2 - Proofs

In what follows when we use many indices we call n_1 the first, n_2 the 2nd and so on.

Proof of example. $Z = l^1/Y$ since by (1)

(2)
$$z_n = (y_{2n-1} + y_{2n}) + Y = x_n + Y$$
 for every n .

Firstly we prove that $\{z_n\}$ is l^1 -dipendent.

Let $\{z_{n'}\}$ be a complete subsequence of $\{z_n\}$, by (1) and (2) it follows

(3)
$$\{z_{n'}\}$$
 is complete in $Z \Leftrightarrow \{y_{2n'-1}\} \cup \{y_{2n}\}$ is complete in l^1 .

Let $\{z_{n'}\}$ be the subsequence of $\{z_{n}\}$ which is complementary to $\{z_{n'}\}$, then we set

(4)
$$\{z_n\}_{n=p_m}^{q_m} = \{z_n'\}_{n=p_m'}^{q_m'} \cup \{z_{n'}\}_{n=p_m''}^{q_m'} \quad \text{for every } m.$$

Let us fix m. We are going to get two sequences $\{a_{mi}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{v_{mi}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that

(5)
$$D_m = \operatorname{dist}(x_m, [\{y_{2n'-1}\}_{n'>m} \cup \{y_{2n}\}]) = \lim_{i \to \infty} ||x_m - v_{mi}|| = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{mi}.$$

By (1) and (4) we have, for every k,

(6)
$$2y_{2k} - \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{n=p_k'}^{q_k'} (y_{2n'-1} + y_{2n'})$$

$$= x_k + \frac{1}{2^k} \left(\sum_{n=p_k}^{q_k} x_n - \sum_{n=p_k'}^{q_k'} x_{n'} \right) = x_k + \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{n=p_k'}^{q_k'} x_{n'} = x_k + \sum_{n_1=p_k'}^{q_k'} \frac{x_{n_1'}}{2^k} \cdot \frac{x_{n_2'}}{2^k} \cdot \frac{x_{n_2'}}{$$

Hence by (1), (4) and (6) we can set

$$(7)_{1} v_{m1} = 2y_{2m} - \frac{1}{2^{m}} \sum_{n=p'_{m}}^{q'_{m}} (y_{2n'-1} + y_{2n'}) = x_{m} + \sum_{n_{1}=p''_{m}}^{q''_{m}} \frac{x_{n''_{1}}}{2^{m}};$$

$$(7)_{2} v_{m2} = v_{m1} - \sum_{n_{1} = p_{m}^{'}}^{q_{m}^{'}} \frac{1}{2^{m}} \left(2y_{2n_{1}^{'}} - \frac{1}{2^{n_{1}^{'}}} \sum_{n_{2} = p_{n_{1}^{'}}}^{q_{n_{1}^{'}}^{'}} (y_{2n_{2}^{'}-1} + y_{2n_{2}^{'}}) \right)$$

$$=x_{m}-\sum_{n_{1}=p_{m}}^{q_{m}^{"}}\sum_{n_{2}=p_{n_{1}}^{"}}^{q_{n_{1}}^{"}}\frac{x_{n_{2}}^{"}}{2^{m+n_{1}^{"}}};$$

$$(7)_{3} v_{mi} = v_{m,i-1} + (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{\substack{n_{1} = p_{m}^{"} \\ n_{1} = p_{m}^{"}}}^{q_{n}^{"}} \sum_{\substack{n_{2} = p_{n}^{"} \\ 1}}^{q_{n}^{"}} \dots \sum_{\substack{n_{i-1} = p_{n}^{"} \\ i-1}}^{q_{n}^{"}} \frac{1}{2^{m+n_{1}^{"}+\dots+n_{i-2}^{"}}} (2y_{2n_{i-1}^{"}})^{m+1}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2^{n_{i-1}''}}\sum_{n_i=p_{n_{i-1}''}}^{q_{n_{i-1}''}'} (y_{2n_{i-1}'-1}+y_{2n_{i}'}))$$

$$= x_m + (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{n_1 = p_m'' \atop n_1 = p_{n_1''}'}^{q_m'' \atop n_2 = p_{n_1'' \atop 1}''} \dots \sum_{n_i = p_{n_{i-1}'' \atop i-1}}^{q_{n_i'' \atop i-1}'} \frac{x_{n_i'' \atop i}}{2^{m+n_1'' + \dots + n_{i-1}'' \atop i-1}} \quad \text{for } i \geqslant 3.$$

Moreover let us set

$$a_{m1} = \frac{q'_m - p'_m + 1}{2^m}, \qquad a_{m2} = \sum_{n_1 = p'_m}^{q'_m} \frac{q'_{n_1} - p'_{n_1} + 1}{2^{m+n'_1}},$$

(8)

$$a_{mi} = \sum_{n_1 = p_m^{''}}^{q_m^{''}} \sum_{n_2 = p_{n_1^{''}}}^{q_{n_1^{''}}^{''}} \dots \sum_{n_{i-1} = p_{n_{i-2}^{''}}}^{q_{n_{i-2}^{''}}^{''}} \frac{q_{n_{i-1}^{''}}^{''} - p_{n_{i-1}^{''}}^{''} + 1}{2^{m+n_1^{''} + \dots + n_{i-1}^{''}}} \quad \text{for } i \geqslant 3 \; .$$

By (1), (4) and (8) it follows

$$\begin{split} 1 - a_{m1} &= \frac{(q_m - p_m + 1) - (q'_m - p'_m + 1)}{2^m} = \frac{q''_m - p''_m + 1}{2^m}, \\ 1 - a_{m1} - a_{m2} &= \frac{q''_m - p''_m + 1}{2^m} - \sum_{n_1 = p''_m}^{q'_m} \frac{q'_{n_1} - p'_{n_1} + 1}{2^{m+n_1^*}} \\ &= \sum_{n_1 = p''_m}^{q''_m} \frac{1}{2^m} \left(1 - \frac{q'_{n_1} - p'_{n_1} + 1}{2^{n_1^*}}\right) = \sum_{n_1 = p''_m}^{q''_m} \frac{q''_{n_1} - p''_{n_1} + 1}{2^{m+n_1^*}}; \end{split}$$

moreover by induction it follows

$$(9) 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{i} a_{mj} = \sum_{\substack{n_1 = p_m^{''} \\ m}}^{q_m^{''}} \sum_{\substack{n_2 = p_{n''}^{''} \\ 1}}^{q_{n_{i-1}^{''}}^{''}} \dots \sum_{\substack{n_{i-1} = p_{n''}^{''} \\ i-2}}^{q_{n_{i-1}^{''}}^{''}} \frac{q_{n_{i-1}^{''}}^{''} - p_{n_{i-1}^{''}}^{''} + 1}{2^{m+n_1^{'}} + \dots + n_{i-1}^{''}} for i > 3.$$

By (9) $0 \leqslant 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{i} a_{mj} = (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{mj}) - a_{mi}$, that is $a_{mi} \leqslant 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{mj}$ for $i \geqslant 3$; hence by (8) it fellows $a_{mi} \geqslant 0$ for every i and $0 \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{mi} \leqslant 1$. Let us set

$$\{y_{(m,1)_{j}}\}_{j=1}^{L_{m1}} = \{y_{2n_{1}-1}\}_{n_{1}=p_{m}'}^{q_{m}'},$$

$$\{y_{(m,2)_{j}}\}_{j=1}^{L_{m2}} = \{y_{(m,1)_{j}}\}_{j=1}^{L_{m1}} \cup \{\bigcup_{n_{1}=p_{m}'}^{q_{m}'} \{y_{2n_{2}-1}\}_{n_{2}=p_{n_{1}'}'}^{q_{n}''}\},$$

$$\{y_{(m,i)_{j}}\}_{j=1}^{L_{mi}} = \{y_{(m,i-1)_{j}}\}_{j=1}^{L_{mi}i-1} \cup \{\bigcup_{n_{1}=p_{m}'}^{q_{m}'} \bigcup_{n_{2}=p_{n_{1}'}''}^{q_{n}''} \dots \bigcup_{n_{l-1}=p_{n_{l-1}''}''}^{q_{n}''} \{y_{2n_{2}-1}\}_{n_{l}=p_{n_{l-1}''}}^{q_{n}'}\}$$

for $i \geqslant 3$,

$$\{y_{(m)_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{y_{(m,i)_j}\}_{j=1}^{L_{mit}}$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ is the natural basis of l^1 , by (1), (7), (9) and (10) it follows

dist
$$(x_m, [\{y_{(m,i)_j}\}_{j=1}^{L_{mi}} \cup \{y_{2n}\}]) = ||x_m - v_{mi}|| = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{i} a_{mj}, \text{ for } i \geqslant 3.$$

On the other hand, by (1), (4), (5) and (10), $D_m = \text{dist}(x_m, [\{y_{(m)_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \cup \{y_{2n}\}]);$ therefore (5) is proved.

Now we are going to prove $D_m = 0$. We can proceed as for proof of prop. I of $[2]_2$: for every $x_{n_1''}$, with $p_m'' \leqslant n_1 \leqslant q_m''$, let $D_{n_1''} = \operatorname{dist}(x_{n_1''}, [\{y_{2n_1'-1}\}_{n_1' > n_1''} \cup \{y_{2n}\}])$, let $\{y_{(n_1')_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be the subsequence of $\{y_{2n_1'-1}\}$ which is associated to $x_{n_1''}$ as $\{y_{(m)_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of (10) for x_m , then we find $D_m = \sum_{n_1=p_m''}^{q_m'} D_{n_1''}/2^m$.

Moreover for a fixed $x_{n_1}^{"}$, if $\{y_{(*,\tilde{n}_1)}^{"}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is the subsequence of $\{y_{2n'-1}\}$ which is complementary to $\{y_{(\tilde{n}_1)}^{"}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, by (1) we have

Therefore by (3), since $\{z_{n'}\}$ is complete in Z, either $D_{\widetilde{n}_1''}=0$ or $D_{n_1''}=0$ for $p_m'' \leqslant n_1 (\neq \widetilde{n}_1) \leqslant q_m''$; that is there exists $\overline{n}_1'' \in \{n_1''\}_{n_1=p_m''}^{q_m''}$ such that $D_m=(1/2^m)D_{\overline{n}_1''}$, hence $D_m \leqslant 1/2^m$, and so on as in proof of prop. I of $[\mathbf{2}]_2$.

So proceeding we find $D_m = 0$, hence by (5) and (7)

$$x_{m} = 2y_{2m} - \sum_{n_{1}=p'_{m}}^{q'_{m}} \frac{y_{2n'_{1}-1} + y_{2n'_{1}}}{2^{m}} - \sum_{n_{1}=p''_{m}}^{q''_{m}} \frac{1}{2^{m}} (2y_{2n''_{1}} - \sum_{n_{2}=p''_{n''_{1}}}^{q'_{n''_{1}}} \frac{y_{2n'_{2}-1} + y_{2n'_{2}}}{2^{n''_{1}}})$$

$$+\sum_{i=3}^{\infty}\,(-1)^{i+1}\,(\sum_{n_1=p_m^{''}}^{q_m^{''}}\sum_{n_2=p_{n_1^{''}}^{''}}^{q_{n_1^{''}}^{''}}\dots\sum_{n_{i-1}=p_{n_{i-1}^{''}}^{''}}^{q_{n_1^{''}}^{''}}\frac{1}{2^{m+n_1^{''}+\dots+n_{i-2}^{''}}}(2y_{2n_{i-1}^{''}}-\sum_{n_i=p_{n_{i-1}^{''}}}^{q_{n_1^{''}}^{''}}\frac{y_{2n_{i-1}^{'}}+y_{2n_i^{'}}}{2^{n_{i-1}^{''}}}))\;.$$

Therefore, since by (1) $||z_n|| \le 1$ and since by (5) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{mi} = 1$, by (2) and (8)

it follows

$$(11) \quad z_{m} = -\sum_{n_{1}=p'_{m}}^{q'_{m}} \frac{z_{n'_{1}}}{2^{m}} + \sum_{n_{1}=p''_{m}}^{q'_{m}} \sum_{n_{2}=p'_{n''_{1}}}^{q'_{n''_{1}}} \frac{z_{n'_{2}}}{2^{m+n''_{1}}} + \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} (-1)^{i} \sum_{n_{1}=p''_{m}}^{q''_{m}} \sum_{n_{2}=p''_{n''_{1}}}^{q''_{n''_{1}}} \dots$$

$$\sum_{n_{i-1}=p''_{m}}^{q''_{n''_{1}}} \sum_{n_{i}=p''_{n''_{i-1}}}^{q''_{n''_{1}}} \frac{z_{n'_{2}}}{2^{m+n''_{1}} + \dots + n''_{i-1}} = \sum_{n=p'_{m}}^{\infty} b_{mn} z_{n'},$$
with
$$\sum_{n=p'_{m}}^{\infty} |b_{mn}| = \frac{q'_{m} - p'_{m} + 1}{2^{m}} + \sum_{n_{1}=p''_{m}}^{q''_{m}} \frac{q'_{n'} - p'_{n''_{1}} + 1}{2^{m+n''_{1}}}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{n_{1}=p''_{m}}^{q''_{m}} \sum_{n_{2}=p''_{n''_{1}}}^{q''_{n''_{1}}} \dots \sum_{n_{l-1}=p''_{n''_{l-1}}}^{q''_{n''_{1}}} \dots \sum_{n_{l-1}=p''_{n''_{l-1}}}^{q''_{n''_{l-1}}} \dots \sum_{n_{l-1}=p''_{n''_{l-1}}}^{q''_{n''_{l-1}}} \dots \sum_{n_{l-1}=p''_{n''_{l-1}}}^{q''_{n''_{l-1}}} \dots \sum_{n_{l-1}=p''_{n'$$

On the other hand we can proceed for $z_{p_m'}$ as for z_m and we find $z_{p_m'}$

$$z_{p'_{m}} = \sum_{n=p'_{p'_{m}}}^{\infty} b_{p'_{m},n} \cdot z_{n'}, \quad \text{with } \sum_{n=p'_{p'_{m}}}^{\infty} |b_{p'_{m},n}| = 1;$$

but by (1) and (11) it is possible to check $b_{mn} \neq 0 \Rightarrow b_{r'_{mn},n} = 0$ and $b_{r'_{mn},n} \neq 0$ $\Rightarrow b_{mn}$ for every n; hence by (11) $z_m = \sum_{n=p'_m+1}^{\infty} c_{mn} z_{n'}$ with $\sum_{n=p'_m+1}^{\infty} |c_{mn}| = 1$ again. Therefore for every m and for every i there exists $\{d_{ik}\}_{n=m}^{\infty}$ such that

(12)
$$z_i = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} d_{in} z_{n'}, \text{ with } \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |d_{in}| = 1.$$

By (1) we can set

(13)
$$q(1) = q_1, \quad q(i) = q_{q(i-1)} \quad \text{for } i > 1.$$

By (1) and (13), for every m and for every $x \in \text{span } \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{q(m)}$, it is easy to check

(14)
$$\operatorname{dist}(x, Y) = \operatorname{dist}(x, [y_{2n}]_{n=1}^{q(m)}).$$

Suppose now $z \in \text{span}\{z_n\}$ and let us fix m.

By (2) there exists a natural number r such that z = x + Y with $x \in \text{span } \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{q(r)}$, on the other hand by (14) $||z|| = \text{dist } (x, [y_{2n}]_{n=1}^{q(r)})$, that is there exists $y \in [y_{2n}]_{n=1}^{q(r)}$ such that ||x + y|| = ||z||, with $x + y = \sum_{i=1}^{q(r+1)} a_i x_i$ by (1) and (13), that is by (2) $z = \sum_{i=1}^{q(r+1)} a_i z_i$, with $||z|| = \sum_{i=1}^{q(r+1)} |a_i|$.

Consequently by (12) it follows

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^{q(r+1)} a_i (\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} d_{in} z_{n'}) = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} b_n z_{n'}, \text{ with } \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |b_n| = ||z||,$$

$$since ||z|| \le \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |b_n| = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |\sum_{i=1}^{q(r+1)} a_i d_{in}| \le \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{q(r+1)} |a_i| |d_{in}| \le \sum_{i=1}^{q(r+1)} |a_i| |\sum_{n=m}^{q(r+1)} |a_i|$$

Moreover by (2), (5), (1), (4) and (8) it follows that $||z_m|| = \operatorname{dist}(x_m, [y_{2n}]) = 1$ for every m.

Hence span $\{z_n\} \subset \{\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} a_n z_{n'}, \|\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} a_n z_{n'}\| = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |a_n|\};$ in particular $\{z_{n'}\}$ is l^1 -dipendent. Let us fix m and $z' \in Z$.

By (1) and (2), z' = x' + Y, with $x' = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a'_i x_i$; hence $z' = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a'_i z_i$, with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a'_i| < +\infty$; therefore by (12)

$$z' = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i' (\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} d_{in} z_{n'}) = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} c_n' z_{n'}, \quad \text{with } c_n' = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i' d_{in} \quad \text{for every } n.$$

Therefore $\{z_{n'}\}_{n\geqslant m}$ is pseudo basis of Z for every m; which completes the proof of example.

Proof of remark. We shall not go much into details. Let us set

$$w_{1} = \sum_{n_{1}=p_{1}+1}^{q_{1}} x_{n_{1}}, \quad w_{2} = \sum_{n_{1}=p_{1}+1}^{q_{1}} \frac{x_{p_{n_{1}}}}{2^{n_{1}}},$$

$$(15)$$

$$w_{m} = (-1)^{m} \sum_{n_{1}=p_{1}+1}^{q_{1}} \dots \sum_{n_{m-1}=p_{n_{m-2}}+1}^{q_{n_{m-2}}} \frac{x_{p_{n_{m-1}}}}{2^{n_{1}+\dots+n_{m-1}}} \quad \text{for every } m \geqslant 3,$$

$$\overline{x} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{z} = \overline{x} + Y.$$

We will prove

(16)
$$\bar{z} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x_n + Y \quad \text{implies} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n| > \|\bar{z}\| .$$

By (15) $||w_1|| = 1$, moreover by definitions of $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ of (1), since $n_{m-1} \ge m$ for $m \ge 2$, it follows that $||w_m|| < 1/2^{m+1}$ for $m \ge 2$.

Let us set

$$u_{1} = \sum_{n_{1}=p_{1}+1}^{q_{1}} x_{n_{1}}, \quad u_{2} = (-1) \sum_{n_{1}=p_{1}+1}^{q_{1}} \sum_{n_{2}=p_{n_{1}}+1}^{q_{n_{1}}} \frac{x_{n_{2}}}{2^{n_{1}}},$$

$$(17)$$

$$u_{m} = (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{n_{1}=p_{1}+1}^{q_{1}} \dots \sum_{n_{m}=p_{n_{m-1}}+1}^{q_{n_{m-1}}} \frac{x_{n_{m}}}{2^{n_{1}+\dots+n_{m-1}}} \quad \text{for } m \geqslant 3.$$

It is possible to verify that

(18)
$$||u_m|| = ||u_{m-1}|| - ||w_m|| \quad \text{for } m \ge 2 \quad \text{and } \lim_{m \to \infty} ||u_m|| > 1/2.$$

Let us fix $m \ge 3$ and let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n x_n \in Y$, since $\{y_{2n}\}$ is minimal by (1), (13), (14) and (17) it is possible to prove that

(19)
$$||u_m + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n w_n|| > ||u_m|| + \sum_{n=1}^{\alpha(m-1)} a_n |d_n|,$$

where $a_1 = 1/2$, $a_{n_1} = 1/2^{n_1}$ for $p_1 \leqslant n_1 \leqslant q_1$, $a_{n_i} = 1/2^{n_i}$ for $p_{n_{i-1}} \leqslant n_i \leqslant q_{n_{i-1}}$ and for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1$.

By (1), (15), (17) and (19) it is possible to see that

(20)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} w_n + Y = u_m + Y$$
, with $||u_m + Y|| = ||u_m||$ for every $m \ge 1$.

Now we are going to prove (16).

By (15), (18) and (20)
$$\|\bar{z}\| = \lim_{m \to \infty} \|\sum_{m=1}^{m} w_n + Y\| = \lim_{m \to \infty} \|u_m\| > 1/2$$
; that is by

(16) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n| > 1/2$, hence by (19) there exists \overline{m} such that

(21)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{q(\overline{m}-3)} a_n |e_n| > \sum_{n=\overline{m}+1}^{\infty} (1/2^n).$$

By (15), (16) and (20) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x_n + Y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n + Y = u_{\overline{m}} + \sum_{n=\overline{m}+1}^{\infty} w_n + Y$; therefore, since by (15) and (17) $u_{\overline{m}} + \sum_{n=\overline{m}+1}^{\infty} w_n \in [x_n]_{n>q(\overline{m}-3)}$, there exists $\{b'_n\}_{n=q(\overline{m}-1)+1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\alpha(\overline{m}-3)} c_n x_n + \sum_{n=\alpha(\overline{m}-3)+1}^{\infty} b'_n x_n + u_{\overline{m}} + \sum_{n=\overline{m}+1}^{\infty} w_n,$$

with

$$\sum_{n=1}^{q(\overline{m}-3)} c_n x_n + \sum_{n=q(\overline{m}-3)+1}^{\infty} b'_n x_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x_n - (u_{\overline{m}} + \sum_{n=\overline{m}+1}^{\infty} w_n) \in Y.$$

Hence, since $||w_n|| < 1/2^n$ for n > 2, by (15), (18), (19), (20) and (21) it follows that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |e_n| &= \| \sum_{n=1}^{q(\overline{m}-3)} e_n x_n + \sum_{n=q(\overline{m}-3)+1}^{\infty} b'_n x_n + u_{\overline{m}} + \sum_{n=\overline{m}+1}^{\infty} w_n \| \\ &\geqslant \| \sum_{n=1}^{q(\overline{m}-3)} e_n x_n + \sum_{n=q(\overline{m}-3)+1}^{\infty} b'_n x_n + u_{\overline{m}} \| - \| \sum_{n=\overline{m}+1}^{\infty} w_n \| \\ &\geqslant \sum_{n=1}^{q(\overline{m}-3)} a_n |e_n| + \| u_{\overline{m}} \| - \sum_{n=\overline{m}+1}^{\infty} (1/2^n) > \| u_{\overline{m}} \| > \lim_{m \to \infty} \| u_m \| = \| \overline{z} \| \; . \end{split}$$

Hence (16) is proved, which completes the proof of remark.

Bibliography

- [1] A. Szankowski and P. Terenzi, Independent sequences in Banach spaces, Israel J. Math. 41 (1982), 147-150.
- [2] P. Terenzi: [•]₁ Completeness in convex sense in Banach spaces, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 6 (1983), 523-530 [•]₂ Independence in convex sense of sequences in Banach spaces, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (6) 2-B (1983), 707-719.

Summary

Una successione $\{x_n\}$ è pseudo base di uno spazio di Banach B se $B = \{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n\}$. Tipi molto noti di pseudo basi sono le basi; la Nota considera gli altritipi, di cui viene esaminato l'insieme di tutte le possibili sottosuccessioni complete. Viene dato un esempio in cui tale insieme ha la cardinalità del continuo ed è esclusivamente costituito da pseudo basi.

* *