

JAMES HATZENBUHLER and DON A. MATTSO N (*)

A note on countable compactifications (**)

1 - Introduction

Let X be a completely regular, T_1 -space. We say that a Hausdorff compactification αX of X is a *countable compactification* if the remainder $\alpha X - X$ is countably infinite. The question of characterizing when X has a countable compactification has been answered for the locally compact case by Magill in [4]. For $\alpha X = \beta X$, where βX is the Stone-Ćech compactification, Okuyama [5] has provided a characterization of when βX is a countable compactification. Apparently, little is known in case X is an arbitrary completely regular space. Magill's results show that a locally compact X has a countable compactification if and only if $\beta X - X$ contains infinitely many components. In [3] it is shown that the latter condition is not characteristic of when X possesses a countable compactification when X is not locally compact.

In this paper we prove that X has a countable compactification if and only if $\beta X - X$ is a countable, disjoint union of compact sets subject to certain convergence conditions in $\beta X - X$.

2 - Characterization of countable compactifications

All spaces considered herein are Hausdorff spaces. Let N denote the natural numbers and let $R = \text{Cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X - X) \cap X$. Then R is precisely the set of points in X which do not possess compact neighborhoods. Evidently, $R = \text{Cl}_{\alpha X}(\alpha X - X) \cap X$, for any compactification αX of X (see [6]).

(*) Indirizzo: Dept. of Math., Moorhead State University, Moorhead, Minnesota 56560, U.S.A.

(**) Ricevuto: 26-V-1978.

Suppose $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n | n \in N\}$ and $S = \cup \{S_n | n \in N\}$. If $\{x_\alpha\}$ and $\{y_\alpha\}$ are nets in S we say that $\{x_\alpha\}$ and $\{y_\alpha\}$ satisfy condition $(*)$ with respect to \mathcal{S} if and only if for each index α , there exists $n(\alpha) \in N$ such that $x_\alpha \in S_{n(\alpha)}$ and $y_\alpha \in S_{n(\alpha)}$.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a completely regular, T_1 -space. Then the following are equivalent.*

(A) X has a countable compactification.

(B) $\beta X - X$ is a countable, disjoint union of compact sets K_n , $n \in N$. If $\mathcal{K} = \{K_n | n \in N\}$ and $\{x_\alpha\}$ and $\{y_\alpha\}$ are nets in $\beta X - X$ which satisfy condition $(*)$ with respect to \mathcal{K} , then: (i) $\{x_\alpha\}$ converges to a point $x_0 \in R$ implies $\{y_\alpha\}$ clusters at x_0 ; (ii) $\{x_\alpha\}$ converges to x_0 , where $x_0 \in K_m$, implies $\{y_\alpha\}$ clusters at some point of K_m .

Proof. (A) implies (B). Let αX be a countable compactification of X . Let π be the projection of βX onto αX and set $\alpha X - X = \{a_n | n \in N\}$. Take $K_n = \pi^{-1}(a_n)$, for all $n \in N$. Since π carries $\beta X - X$ onto $\alpha X - X$ (see Theorem 6.12 [2]), each K_n is compact and $\beta X - X$ is the (pair-wise) disjoint union of the sets K_n .

Let $\{x_\alpha\}$ and $\{y_\alpha\}$ be nets in $\beta X - X$ which satisfy condition $(*)$ w.r. to $\mathcal{K} = \{K_n | n \in N\}$. Suppose $\{x_\alpha\}$ converges to a point x_0 and $x_0 \in R$. Then $\{y_\alpha\}$ has a cluster point y_0 in $\beta X - (X - R)$. Select a subnet $\{y_{\alpha_\gamma}\}$ of $\{y_\alpha\}$ which converges to y_0 . Since π is continuous $\{\pi(x_{\alpha_\gamma})\}$ converges to $\pi(x_0)$ and $\{\pi(y_{\alpha_\gamma})\}$ converges to $\pi(y_0)$. But $\pi(x_{\alpha_\gamma}) = \pi(y_{\alpha_\gamma})$, for all γ , hence $\pi(x_0) = \pi(y_0)$. Since no point of βX distinct from x_0 is identified with x_0 under π , it follows that $y_0 = x_0$.

Next, suppose that $x_0 \in K_m$, for some $m \in N$. Let $\{y_{\alpha_\gamma}\}$ be a subnet of $\{y_\alpha\}$ which converges to some $y_0 \in \beta X - (X - R)$. Now $\pi(x_{\alpha_\gamma}) = \pi(y_{\alpha_\gamma})$, for all γ , and it follows that $\pi(x_0) = \pi(y_0)$. Thus, $y_0 \in \pi^{-1} \pi(x_0) = K_m$.

(B) implies (A). Let αX be the space obtained by identifying each K_n to a point a_n and leaving X invariant. Let π be the associated projection of βX onto αX . Evidently, each fibre of π is compact and π is a continuous surjection whose restriction to X is an embedding of X in αX . To see that αX is Hausdorff we show that π is a closed mapping.

Let F be closed in βX and consider $\pi^{-1}(\pi(F))$. Now $F = (F \cap X) \cup (F \cap \beta X - X)$ so that $\pi^{-1}(\pi(F)) = \pi^{-1}[(\pi(X \cap F) \cup \pi(F \cap \beta X - X))] = F \cup \pi^{-1}(\pi(F \cap \beta X - X))$. Set $S = \pi^{-1}(\pi(F \cap \beta X - X))$. Let x_0 be a cluster point of $\pi^{-1}(\pi(F))$. If x_0 is a cluster point of F , then $x_0 \in F$ so that $x_0 \in \pi^{-1}(\pi(F))$. Otherwise, x_0 is a cluster point of S . Let $\{x_\alpha\}$ be a net in S which converges to x_0 .

Case (i). $x_0 \in R$. Now there exists $n(\alpha)$ such that $x_\alpha \in K_{n(\alpha)}$, for each α . Since $\Pi(S) = \Pi(F \cap \beta X - X)$, there exists a net $\{y_\alpha\}$ in $F \cap \beta X - X$ such that $\Pi(y_\alpha) = \Pi(x_\alpha)$, for all α . Then $\{x_\alpha\}$ and $\{y_\alpha\}$ satisfy condition (*) so that by B(i), $\{y_\alpha\}$ clusters at x_0 . Since $\{y_\alpha\}$ is a net in F , $x_0 \in \Pi^{-1}(\Pi(F))$.

Case (ii). $x_0 \in K_m$, for some $m \in N$. As in case (i) choose $\{y_\alpha\}$ in $F \cap \beta X - X$ such that $\Pi(x_\alpha) = \Pi(y_\alpha) = a_{n(\alpha)}$, for all α . By B(ii), $\{y_\alpha\}$ clusters at a point $y_0 \in K_m$. Since $\{y_\alpha\}$ is a net in F , $y_0 \in F$. Thus $\Pi(y_0) \in \Pi(F)$, so that $K_m = \Pi^{-1}(\Pi(y_0)) \subseteq \Pi^{-1}(\Pi(F))$. Hence $x_0 \in \Pi^{-1}(\Pi(F))$ and $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(F))$ is closed. Now Π is a perfect map so that αX is a countable Hausdorff compactification of X .

This completes the proof.

With appropriate modifications of the proof of Theorem 1, it can be seen that if R is compact and if each point of R has a countable base of neighborhoods in X , then the conditions concerning nets in Theorem 1 can be replaced by conditions involving sequences. Thus, we obtain

Theorem 2. *Let X be a completely regular, T_1 space with R compact. If each point of R has a countable base of neighborhoods in X , then the following are equivalent.*

(A) X has a countable compactification.

(B) $\beta X - X$ is a countable, disjoint union of compact sets K_n , $n \in N$, and if $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences which satisfy (*) relative to $\mathcal{K} = \{K_j | j \in N\}$, then: (i) $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point $x_0 \in R$ implies that x_0 is a cluster point of $\{y_n\}$; (ii) if all cluster points of $\{x_n\}$ lie in some K_m , then $\{y_n\}$ has a cluster point in K_m .

References

- [1] R. E. CHANDLER, *Hausdorff compactifications*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York 1976.
- [2] L. GILLMAN and M. JERISON, *Rings of continuous functions*, The University Series in Higher Math., Princeton N.J. 1960.
- [3] J. HATZENBUHLER and D. A. MATTSON, *On Hausdorff compactifications of non-locally compact spaces*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **2** (1979), 481-486.
- [4] K. D. MAGILL JR., *Countable compactifications*, Canad. J. Math. **18** (1966), 616-620.
- [5] A. OKUYAMA, *A characterization of a space with countable infinity*, Proc. Amer. Mat. Soc. **23** (1971), 595-597.
- [6] M. RAYBURN, *On Hausdorff compactifications*, Pacific J. Math. **44** (1973), 707-714.

* * *

