

G. L. N. R A O (\*)

**Another real inversion theorem  
for a distributional  ${}_1F_1$ -transform (\*\*)**

**I. - Introduction.**

A generalization of the conventional Laplace transform

$$(1.1) \quad F(x) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xy} f(y) dy$$

had been given by Erdelyi [2] in the form

$$(1.2) \quad F(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \eta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \eta + 1)} \int_0^{\infty} (xy)^{\beta} {}_1F_1(\beta + \eta + 1; \alpha + \beta + \eta + 1; -xy) f(y) dy.$$

For  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  (1.2) reduces (1.1).

Two real inversion formulas for this transform were given by Joshi [3]<sub>1,2,3</sub>. Another real inversion formula was also given by him ([3]<sub>1</sub>, chapter V). The object of this paper is to extend this inversion formula for the two-sided case to distributions and finally deduce the uniqueness theorem also for the same transform.

The generalized Laplace transform (1.2) of a distribution  $f(y)$  in  $0 < y < \infty$  can be defined in the distributional sense, as an application of  $f(y)$  to

$$\frac{\Gamma(\beta + \eta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \eta + 1)} (xy)^{\beta} {}_1F_1(\beta + \eta + 1; \alpha + \beta + \eta + 1; -xy)$$

---

(\*) Indirizzo: Dept. of Math., Jamshedpur Coop. College, Jamshedpur, India.

(\*\*) Ricevuto: 21-VII-1975.

in the following way

$$(1.3) \quad F(x) = \langle f(y), \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \eta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \eta + 1)} (xy)^\beta {}_1F_1(\beta + \eta + 1; \alpha + \beta + \eta + 1; -xy) \rangle .$$

We define  $F(x)$  as  ${}_1F_1$ -transform of  $f(y)$  and the transformation is called  ${}_1F_1$ -transformation. A number of inversion formulas in the conventional Laplace transform theory [1], [7], has recently been extended to Schwartz's distributions by Zemanian [3]<sub>2</sub>.

The inversion formula proved in ([3]<sub>1</sub>, chapter V) is the following

**Theorem.** *If  $f(y) \in L$  in  $0 \leq y \leq R$  for every positive  $R$  if  $f(y) = 0$  in  $-\infty < y < 0$  and is such that the integral (1.2) converges for some  $x$  ( $= x_0$ ) say, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{n,t}[F(x)] = f(t)$  for all positive  $t$  in the Lebesgue set for  $f(y)$  where*

$$(1.4) \quad Q_{n,t}[F(x)] = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n+1+\beta-\alpha)} x^{-\eta+1} \mathcal{D}^n x^{-\alpha} \mathcal{D}^{-n} x^{\alpha+\beta+\eta+n} \mathcal{D}^n [x^{-\beta} F(x)]_{x=n/t} .$$

## 2. - Notations and terminology.

A function is said to be *smooth* if all its derivatives of all orders are continuous at all points of its domain. The space of testing functions denoted by  $\mathcal{D}$  consists of all complex-valued functions  $\varphi(t)$  that are smooth and zero outside some finite interval.

$R_t$  denotes the one-dimensional euclidean space consisting of all real values for  $t$ .  $R_{x,y}$  is the two-dimensional euclidean space consisting of all real pairs  $(x, y)$ .  $\mathcal{D}_\tau$  is the space  $\mathcal{D}$  of testing function which are defined over  $R_\tau$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{x,u}$  is the space  $\mathcal{D}$  of testing functions defined over  $R_{x,u}$ .

Let  $I$  denote an open interval  $(0, \infty)$  on the real line.  $\mathcal{D}_I$  is the space of smooth functions on  $I$  having compact supports with respect to  $I$ .  $\mathcal{D}'_I$  represents the dual space of  $\mathcal{D}_I$ . The sequence of distributions  $\{f_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$  is said to converge in  $\mathcal{D}'_I$  if, for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_I$ , the sequence of numbers  $\{\langle f_\nu, \varphi \rangle\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$  converges in the ordinary sense of convergence of numbers.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two real numbers ( $A \leq 0 \leq B$ ) and  $t$  be a real variable. We define  $K_{A,B}(t)$  to be the function given by

$$K_{A,B}(t) = e^{At} \quad (0 \leq t < \infty), \quad K_{A,B}(t) = e^{Bt} \quad (-\infty < t < 0) .$$

$L_{A,B}$  is defined as the space of all complex-valued smooth functions  $\varphi(t)$  on  $-\infty < t < \infty$  on which the functionals  $\gamma_k$  defined by

$$\gamma_k(\varphi) = \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |K_{A,B}(t) D_t^k \varphi(t)| \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

assume finite values. We assign to  $L_{A,B}$  the topology generated by  $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  thereby making it countably multinormed space.  $L_{A,B}$  is sequentially complete and hence a Frechet space.  $L'_{A,B}$  denotes the space of continuous linear functionals on  $L_{A,B}$ . The number that  $f \in L'_{A,B}$  assigns to  $\varphi \in L_{A,B}$  is denoted by  $\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \langle f(t), \varphi(t) \rangle$ .

Throughout this paper it will be assumed that  $\operatorname{Re} \beta \geq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} \eta > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha + \beta + \eta + 1) \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ ;  $a = \beta + \eta + 1$ ,  $b = \alpha + \beta + \eta + 1$  and  $P = \Gamma(a)/\Gamma(b)$ . We observe that  $\mathcal{D} \subset L_{A,B}$  ([8]<sub>3</sub>, p. 51, [4]) and  $L'_{A,B} \subset \mathcal{D}'$  ([8]<sub>2</sub>, p. 160, [4]).

A distribution  $f$  is said to be  ${}_1F_1$ -transformable if there exist two real numbers  $A, B$  ( $A \leq 0 \leq B$ ) such that  $f \in L'_{A,B}$ .  $\sigma_1$  is defined as the infimum of all  $A$  for which  $f \in L'_{A,B}$ .

**3. - Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f$  be a  ${}_1F_1$ -transformable distribution whose support is contained in  $I$ . Let the integro-differential operator (1.4) be written as*

$$P_{n,t}(D)F = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(\beta + n + 1 - \alpha)} x^{-\eta+1} \mathcal{D}^n x^{-\alpha} \mathcal{D}^{-n} x^{\alpha+\beta+\eta+n} \mathcal{D}^n [x^{-\beta} F(x)]_{x=n/t},$$

where  $F(x)$  is given by (1.3). Then, in the sense of convergence in  $\mathcal{D}'_I$

$$f(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,t}(D) [F(x)]_{x=n/t},$$

that is, for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_I$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle P_{n,t}(D) [F(x)]_{x=n/t}, \varphi(t) \rangle = \langle f(t), \varphi(t) \rangle,$$

provided  $\operatorname{Re} \beta + n + 1 > \operatorname{Re} \alpha$ .

**Proof.** Let the support of  $\varphi(t)$  be contained in  $c_1 \leq t \leq c_2$  where  $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \infty$ . Here  $F(x)$  is a smooth function ([4], theorem 4.1). This fact was proved there by using Cauchy's integral formula. Hence  $P_{n,t}(D)F(x)$  is also a smooth function so that  $\langle P_{n,t}(D)F, \varphi \rangle$  is an integral. For any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_I$ , by re-

peated integrations by parts and a change of variable ( $t = n/x$ ) we have

$$(3.1) \quad \langle P_{n,x}(D)[F(x)], \varphi(t) \rangle = \langle P_{n,x}(D)[F(x)], \varphi(n/x) \rangle$$

$$(3.2) \quad = \langle F(x), P_{n,x}(-D)\varphi(n/x)(-n/x^2) \rangle =$$

$$(3.3) \quad = \langle P_{n,x}(-D)\varphi(n/x)(-n/x^2), \langle f(y), P(xy)^\beta {}_1F_1(a; b; -xy) \rangle \rangle.$$

We will now justify the above steps. (3.1) equals (3.2) by the usual definitions for the shifting of operator and differentiation of distributions. Since  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_I$ ,  $-(n/x^2)P_{n,x}(-D)\varphi(n/x)$  also lies in  $\mathcal{D}_I$  with its support in  $n/c_2 \leq x \leq n/c_1$  and  $F(x)$  is a smooth function in  $\sigma_1 < x < \infty$ . Hence (3.2) equals (3.3). Since  $f(y) \in L'_{A,B}$  and  $L'_{A,B} \subset \mathcal{D}'$ ,  $f(y) \in \mathcal{D}'_v$  and the testing function in (1.3) belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_{x,y}$ . Hence  $-(n/x^2)P_{n,x}(-D)\varphi(n/x)$  in (3.3) belongs to  $\mathcal{D}'$ . So ([8]<sub>1</sub>, Theorem 5.3-2) we can change the order of inner product in (3.3) and write (3.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(y), \langle P_{n,x}(-D)\varphi\left(\frac{n}{x}\right)\left(-\frac{n}{x^2}\right), P(xy)^\beta {}_1F_1(a; b; -xy) \rangle \rangle &= \\ &= \langle f(y), \langle -\frac{n}{x^2}\varphi\left(\frac{n}{x}\right), P_{n,x}(D)\{P(xy)^\beta {}_1F_1(a; b; -xy)\} \rangle \rangle \\ (3.4) \quad &= \langle f(y), \varrho(y, n) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varrho(y, n) = \langle -\frac{n}{x^2}\varphi(n/x), P_{n,x}(D)\{P(xy)^\beta {}_1F_1(a; b; -xy)\} \rangle.$$

(3.4) has a sense since  $f(y) \in L'_{A,B}$  and  $\varrho(y, n) \in L_{A,B}$  imply that  $f(y) \in \mathcal{D}'_v$  and  $\varrho(y, n) \in \mathcal{D}_{x,y}$  respectively.

To complete the proof, we have to prove that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\varrho(y, n)$  converges to  $\varphi(y)$  in  $L_{A,B}$  for every  $A$  and  $B$  ( $A \leq 0 \leq B$ ).

$$\varrho(y, n) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n+1+\beta-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \varphi(n/x)(-n/x^2)x^{-\eta+1} \mathcal{D}^n x^{-\alpha} \mathcal{D}^{-n} x^{\alpha+\beta+\eta+n} \mathcal{D}^n [x^{-\beta} F(x)] dx,$$

which by ([3], p. 73) is equal to

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\Gamma(a+2n)(-n)}{\Gamma(b+2n)\Gamma(n+1+\beta-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \varphi(n/x)x^{\beta+n-1}y^{\beta+n} {}_1F_1(a+2n; b+2n; -xy) dx.$$

We can write (3.5), after changing the variables  $x = u$ ,  $n = k$ ;  $y = x$ ,

$$\varrho(x, k) = \frac{\Gamma(a+2k)(-k)}{\Gamma(b+2k)\Gamma(k+1+\beta-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \varphi(k/u) u^{\beta+k-1} x^{\beta+k} {}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -ux) du.$$

Now putting  $u = ky/x$ ,  $\varrho(x, k)$  becomes

$$= \frac{\Gamma(a+2k)k^{\beta+k+1}}{\Gamma(b+2k)\Gamma(k+1+\beta-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \varphi(x/y) y^{\beta+k-1} {}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -ky) dy.$$

We are to prove that  $\varrho(x, k) \rightarrow \varphi(x)$  in  $L_{A,B}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . In other words we have to prove that, for each nonnegative integer  $\nu$ ,  $K_{A,B}(x) D_x^\nu [\varrho(x, k) - \varphi(x)]$  converges uniformly to zero on  $0 < x < \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . By ([5], p. 48) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & K_{A,B}(x) D_x^\nu [\varrho(x, k) - \varphi(x)] = \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a+2k)k^{\beta+k+1} K_{A,B}(x)}{\Gamma(b+2k)\Gamma(\beta+k+1-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty y^{\beta+k} {}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -ky) \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot \varphi^{(\nu)}(x/y) y^{-(\nu+1)} dy - \frac{\Gamma(a+2k)}{\Gamma(b+2k)} k^{\beta+k+1} K_{A,B}(x) \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot \int_0^\infty y^{\beta+k} {}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -ky) \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\eta+k)}{\Gamma(\beta+k+1)\Gamma(\eta+k)} \varphi^{(\nu)}(x) dy = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

But since  $K_{A,B}(x)\varphi^{(\nu)}(x) < C_1$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| \leq & \frac{\Gamma(a+2k)\Gamma(\alpha+\eta+k)C_1k^{\beta+k+1}}{\Gamma(b+2k)\Gamma(\beta+k+1)\Gamma(\eta+k)} \left[ \int_0^{1-\delta} y^{\beta+k} {}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -ky) dy + \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{1-\delta}^{1+\delta} \int_{1+\delta}^\infty \right] = I_2' + I_2'' + I_2''' \quad (0 < \delta < 1), \end{aligned}$$

$$I_2' = C_1 \frac{\Gamma(a+2k)\Gamma(\alpha+\eta+k)k^{\beta+k+1}}{\Gamma(b+2k)\Gamma(\beta+k+1)\Gamma(\eta+k)} \int_0^{1-\delta} \frac{(ky)^{\beta+2k-1}}{k^{\beta+2k}} \frac{1}{y^{\alpha+\eta+k}} e^{-ky} {}_1F_1(\alpha; b+2k; ky) k dy,$$

by using Kummer's formula ([5], p. 6).

Putting  $ky = t$  and noting that  $\text{Sup} \left( \frac{1}{y^{\alpha+\eta+k}} \right) < M$  for  $0 < y < 1 - \delta$  we have

$$I_2' \leq MC_1 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2k)\Gamma(\alpha + \eta + k)}{\Gamma(b + 2k)\Gamma(\beta + k + 1)\Gamma(\eta + k)} k^{\beta+1-b-k} \int_0^{k(1-\delta)} t^{b+2k-1} e^{-t} {}_1F_1(\alpha; b + 2k; t) dt$$

$$\leq \frac{MC_1 \Gamma(\alpha + 2k)\Gamma(\alpha + \eta + k)}{\Gamma(b + 2k)\Gamma(\beta + k + 1)\Gamma(\eta + k)} k^{\beta+1-b} \left[ \frac{\{k(1-\delta)\}^{b+2k}}{(b+2k)e^{k(1-\delta)}} \times \right.$$

$$\left. \times {}_1F_1(\alpha + 1; b + 2k + 1; k(1-\delta)) \right],$$

by using a result ([5], p. 42 (3.2.5)). Again by using the results ([5], (4.3.7), p. 66; [6], p. 253) the right hand side of the above inequality is asymptotic to

$$\frac{MC_1 k^k}{(b+2k)} \left[ \frac{(1-\delta)^{b+2k} (\delta)^{-(\alpha+1)}}{e^{k(1-\delta)}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}{2k} \left( \frac{1-\delta}{\delta} \right)^2 \right\} \right].$$

This proves that  $I_2' \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly  $I_2''$  can also be shown to tend to 0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Coming back to  $I_1$

$$I_1 = \int_0^{1-\delta} + \int_{1-\delta}^{1+\delta} + \int_{1+\delta}^{\infty} = I_1' + I_1'' + I_1'''.$$

Here

$$I_1' \leq C_2 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2k)k^{\beta+k+1}}{\Gamma(b + 2k)\Gamma(\beta + k + 1 - \alpha)} \int_0^{1-\delta} \frac{(ky)^{b+2k-1}}{k^{b+2k-1}} \frac{y^{\beta+k}}{y^{\nu+b+2k}} e^{-ky} {}_1F_1(\alpha; b + 2k; ky) dy,$$

since  $K_{A,B}(x)\varphi^{(\nu)}(x/y) < C_2$  in  $0 < x < \infty$ . Putting  $ky = t$  and noting that

$$\text{Sup} \left( \frac{1}{y^{\nu+b+k-\beta}} \right) < M_1 \quad \text{for } 0 < y < 1 - \delta,$$

$$I_1' \leq \frac{M_1 C_2 \Gamma(\alpha + 2k)k^{\beta+1-b-k}}{\Gamma(b + 2k)\Gamma(\beta + k + 1 - \alpha)} \int_0^{k(1-\delta)} t^{b+2k-1} e^{-t} {}_1F_1(\alpha; b + 2k; t) dt.$$

By the use of a result ([5], p. 42) the right hand side of the above inequality reduces to

$$(3.6) \frac{M_1 C_2 \Gamma(\alpha + 2k) k^{\beta+1-b-k}}{\Gamma(b + 2k) \Gamma(\beta + k + 1 - \alpha)} \left[ \frac{e^{-t} t^{b+2k}}{(b + 2k)} {}_1F_1(\alpha + 1; b + 2k + 1; t) \right]_0^{k(1-\delta)}.$$

By considering the asymptotic properties of gamma function ([6], p. 253) and  ${}_1F_1$  function ([5], p. 66) for large values of  $k$ , we find that (3.6) is asymptotic to

$$k^k M_1 C_2 \left[ \frac{(1 - \delta)^{b+2k} (\delta)^{-(\alpha+1)}}{e^{k(1-\delta)} (b + 2k)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)}{2k} \left( \frac{1 - \delta}{\delta} \right)^2 \right\} \right],$$

which obviously tends to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $0 < y < 1 - \delta$ . In a similar way we can prove that  $I_1'' \rightarrow 0$  uniformly as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . It now remains to prove that  $I_1'' + I_2'' \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . We have

$$I_1'' + I_2'' = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2k)}{\Gamma(b + 2k)} k^{\beta+k+1} \frac{K_{A,B}(x)}{\Gamma(\beta + k + 1 - \alpha)} \cdot \int_{1+\delta}^{\infty} y^{\beta+k} \cdot {}_1F_1(\alpha + 2k; b + 2k; -ky) \left\{ \frac{\varphi^{(\nu)}(x/y)}{y^{\nu+1}} - \frac{\Gamma(\beta + k + 1 - \alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta + k + 1)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \eta + k)}{\Gamma(k + \eta)} \varphi^{(\nu)}(x) \right\} dy.$$

We shall prove that  $I_1'' + I_2'' \rightarrow 0$  uniformly as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in any  $a_1 \leq x \leq b_1$ , provided  $\beta + k - \nu + 1 > 0$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\vartheta, x) &= \int_{1+\delta}^{\vartheta} \left\{ \varphi^{(\nu)}(x/y) y^{\beta+k-\nu-1} - \frac{\Gamma(\beta + k + 1 - \alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta + k + 1)} y^{\beta+k} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \eta + k)}{\Gamma(k + \eta)} \varphi^{(\nu)}(x) \right\} dy \\ &= x^{\beta+k-\nu} \int_{x/1+\delta}^{x/\vartheta} \left[ -\varphi^{(\nu)}(\tau) \tau^{-(\beta+k-\nu+1)} + x^{\nu+1} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\beta + k + 1 - \alpha) \Gamma(\alpha + \eta + k)}{\Gamma(\beta + k + 1) \Gamma(k + \eta)} \tau^{-(\beta+k+2)} \varphi^{(\nu)}(x) \right] d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

after replacing  $x/y$  by  $\tau$ . Since  $\varphi(\tau) \in \mathcal{D}(I)$  and  $\tau^r$  ( $r < 0$ ) is continuous in

$1 + \delta < \tau < \infty$ , it is clear that  $\lambda(\vartheta, x)$  has an upper bound  $M^1$  in the region  $1 + \delta < \vartheta < \infty$ ,  $a_1 < x \leq b_1$  with the condition  $\beta + k - \nu + 1 > 0$ . Consider

$$\int_{1+\delta}^{\infty} \lambda(y, x) d_{\nu} [{}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -ky)] = \\ = [\lambda(y, x) {}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -ky)]_{1+\delta}^{\infty} - \int_{1+\delta}^{\infty} {}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -ky) d\lambda(y, x) dy.$$

But by ([5], p. 60, (4.1.8))

$${}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -ky) \sim \frac{\Gamma(b+2k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (ky)^{-(a+2k)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty$$

and by the definition of  $\lambda(\vartheta, x)$ , we have

$$I_1'' + I_2'' = -K \int_{1+\delta}^{\infty} \lambda(y, x) d_{\nu} [{}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -ky)],$$

where

$$K = \frac{\Gamma(a+2k) k^{\beta+k+1} K_{A,B}(x)}{\Gamma(b+2k) \Gamma(\beta+k+1-\alpha)}.$$

Moreover, we note that the function  ${}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -ky)$  is a decreasing function of  $y$  in  $(1+\delta, \infty)$ . For

$${}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -k(y+h)) - {}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -ky) \quad (h > 0)$$

is asymptotic to

$$\frac{1}{k^{a+2k}} \left[ \frac{y^{a+2k} - (y+h)^{a+2k}}{\{y(y+h)\}^{a+2k}} \right] < 0.$$

We also note that  $K_{A,B}(x)$  has an upper bound  $B_1$  in  $a_1 < x \leq b_1$ . Hence

$$I_1'' + I_2'' \leq \frac{\Gamma(a+2k) k^{\beta+k+1}}{\Gamma(b+2k)} \frac{B_1 M^1}{\Gamma(\beta+k+1-\alpha)} [{}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -ky)]_{1+\delta}^{\infty}.$$

As  $y \rightarrow \infty$

$$(3.7) \quad I_1'' + I_2'' \leq \frac{\Gamma(a+2k)}{\Gamma(b+2k)} k^{\beta+k+1} \frac{B_1 M^1}{\Gamma(\beta+k+1-\alpha)} \cdot [{}_1F_1(a+2k; b+2k; -k(1+\delta))].$$



From a result ([5], p. 6) and asymptotic properties ([5], p. 66; [6], p. 253) the right hand side quantity of (3.7) is asymptotic to

$$\frac{k^k(-\delta)^{-\alpha}}{e^{k(1+\delta)}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2k} \left( \frac{1+\delta}{-\delta} \right)^2 \right],$$

which  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves the theorem.

#### 4. - The uniqueness theorem.

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two  ${}_1F_1$ -transformable distributions defined by

$$F(x) = \langle f(y), \frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(b)} (xy)^\beta {}_1F_1(a; b; -xy) \rangle,$$

$$G(x) = \langle g(y), \frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(b)} (xy)^\beta {}_1F_1(a; b; -xy) \rangle.$$

If  $F(x) = G(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $0 < x < \infty$ , then  $f = g$  in the sense of equality in  $\mathcal{D}'_I$ .

Proof. Let  $P_{n,t}(D)[F(x)]_{x=n/t}$  be the inversion operator as specified in Theorem 3.1. Then, in the sense of convergence in  $\mathcal{D}'_I$ , we have from Theorem 3.1.

$$f(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,t}(D)[F(x)]_{x=n/t}, \quad g(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,t}(D)[G(x)]_{x=n/t} = g,$$

so that

$$f(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,t}(D)[F(x)]_{x=n/t} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,t}(D)[G(x)]_{x=n/t} = g,$$

whence it follows that  $f = g$  in the sense of equality in  $\mathcal{D}'_I$ .

The author is indebted to Prof. K. M. Saksena for his kind and valuable help in preparing this paper.

#### References

- [1] G. DOETSCH, *Handbuch der Laplace-transformation*, vol. 1, Verlag Birkhauser, Basel 1950.

- [2] A. ERDELYI, *On some functional transformations*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Torino **10** (1950), 217-234.
- [3] J. M. C. JOSHI: [ $\bullet$ ]<sub>1</sub> *Fractional integration and certain integral transforms*, Ph. D. Thesis Agra Univ. 1963; [ $\bullet$ ]<sub>2</sub> *Inversion and representation theorems for a generalized Laplace transform*, Pacif. J. Math. (3) **14** (1964), 977-985; [ $\bullet$ ]<sub>3</sub> *Real inversion theorems for a generalized Laplace transform*, Memoria Publicada en Collect. Math. **14** (1962), 217-225.
- [4] G. L. N. RAO, *The n-dimensional generalized Laplace transform of certain distributions*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **39** (1975), 219-226.
- [5] L. J. SLATER, *Confluent hypergeometric functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press 1960.
- [6] E. T. WHITTAKER and G. N. WATSON, *A course of modern analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press 1958.
- [7] D. V. WIDDER, *The Laplace transform*, Princeton Univ. Press 1946.
- [8] A. H. ZEMANIAN: [ $\bullet$ ]<sub>1</sub> *Distribution theory and transform analysis*, McGraw Hill 1965; [ $\bullet$ ]<sub>2</sub> *Inversion formulas for the distributional Laplace transformation*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **14** (1966), 159-166; [ $\bullet$ ]<sub>3</sub> *Generalized integral transformations*, Interscience-Wiley, New York 1968.

\* \* \*