

A. BELLENI-MORANTE and A. PAGLIARINI (*)

A rigorous derivation of the reactor kinetics equation from the Chapman - Kolmogorov system. (**)

1. - Introduction.

In a recent paper [1], the Chapman-Kolmogorov system for neutron population in a multiplying assembly at zero power was studied by using the theory of semigroups. Existence and uniqueness was proved of a positive and norm-invariant solution belonging to the Banach space of summable sequences. Moreover, a procedure was indicated to derive rigorously the equation for the first moment of the neutron population.

In Sect. 2 of this paper, we summarize some basic results obtained in [1]. Sect. 3 is devoted to reformulate the problems and the procedures sketched in Sect. 7 of [1] in a simpler and more compact way. Finally, in Sect. 4 and 5, we present in detail a rigorous derivation of the equation for the first moment from the Chapman-Kolmogorov system. Such a derivation involves the study of a suitable « approximate » solution whose properties can be profitably used to obtain the corresponding properties of the « exact » solution (see Sect. 4).

Following [2] (see also refs. [3] to [11]), the Chapman-Kolmogorov system under consideration has the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(n, t) = -pnP(n, t) + p \sum_{s=0}^n b(s)(n+1-s)P(n+1-s, t) + q[P(n-1, t) - P(n, t)], \quad t > 0, n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

(*) Indirizzo degli Autori: A. BELLENI-MORANTE, Istituto di Matematica Applicata, Facoltà di Ingegneria, V.le Morgagni 44, Firenze, Italia; A. PAGLIARINI, Istituto di Meccanica Razionale, Università di Bari, Via Nicolai 2, Bari, Italia.

(**) Work performed under the auspices of G.N.F.M. (C.N.R.) - Ricevuto: 27-IV-1976.

where:

$$P(-1, t) = 0;$$

$P(n, t)$ = the probability that n neutrons are in the multiplying assembly at the instant t ;

$p = 1/l$, where l is the average lifetime;

$b(s)$, $s = 0, 1, \dots$, = the probability that s neutrons are emitted if one neutron is absorbed;

$$0 \leq b(s) \leq 1, \quad \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} b(s) = 1;$$

q = the probability per unit time interval that a non-fission source emits a neutron.

The preceding system must be supplemented with a initial condition of the form

$$P(n, 0) = P_0(n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad \text{where} \quad 0 \leq P_0(n) \leq 1, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_0(n) = 1.$$

2. - Mathematical setting.

Following [1], let X be the Banach space of all summable sequences of real numbers

$$X = \{f: f = \{f(n), n = 0, 1, \dots\}, \|f\| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f(n)| < \infty\}$$

and let X_+ be the positive cone of X

$$X_+ = \{f: f \in X; f(n) \geq 0, n = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

Let us also define the following operators

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} [Af]_n = -pnf(n) + p \sum_{s=0}^n b(s)(n+1-s)f(n+1-s) & (n = 0, 1, \dots) \\ D(A) = \{f: f \in X; \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |[Af]_n| < \infty\}; \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \quad [Hf]_n = pnj(n) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots), \quad D(H) = D = \{f: f \in X; \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n|f(n)| < \infty\},$$

$$(3) \quad [Kf]_n = p \sum_{s=0}^{n+1} b(s)(n+1-s)f(n+1-s) \quad (n=0, 1, \dots), \quad D(K) = D(H) = D,$$

$$(4) \quad [Sf]_n = qf(n-1) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \quad [Sf]_0 = 0, \quad D(S) = X,$$

where $[Af]_n$ indicates the $(n + 1)$ -th component of Af and where $D(A)$ is the domain of A .

By using definitions (1) and (4), the abstract version of the stochastic model for neutron multiplication of Sect. 1 can be written as follows

$$(5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} u(t) = (A + S - qI)u(t), \quad t > 0; \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|u(t) - u_0\| = 0.$$

In system (5), $u(t) = \{P(n, t), n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is a map from $[0, +\infty)$ into X , d/dt is a strong derivative [12], and $u_0 = \{u_0(n) = P_0(n), n = 0, 1, \dots\}$.

We have [1], [12]

Lemma 1. *Let*

$$(6) \quad G_r = -H + rK, \quad D(G_r) = D,$$

where r is a real parameter, such that $0 \leq r < 1$. Then, $G_r \in \mathcal{G}(1, 0)$, ([12]₂, p. 485) and the semigroup $Z_r(t) = \exp(tG_r)$ maps X_+ into itself.

Moreover, let

$$(7) \quad Z(t)f = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} Z_r(t)f, \quad f \in X, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then:

- (a) relation (7) holds uniformly with respect to t in each finite interval $[0, \bar{t}]$;
- (b) $Z(t)$ is a semigroup such that $\|Z(t)\| \leq 1$ and $Z(t)[X_+] \subset X_+$ at any $t \geq 0$;
- (c) if G is the generator of $Z(t)$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}(1, 0)$ and $(-H + K) \subset G \subset A$;
- (d) $\|Z(t)f\| = \|\exp(tG)f\| = \|f\|, \forall f \in X_+, t \geq 0$.

Lemma 2. $S[X_+] \subset X_+, \|Sf\| = q\|f\|, \forall f \in X_+, \|S\| = q$. As a consequence

$$(8) \quad \chi = G + S - qI \in \mathcal{G}(1, 0),$$

$$(9) \quad \|\exp(t\chi)f\| = \|f\|, \quad \forall f \in X_+, t \geq 0,$$

where the semigroup $\exp(t\chi) = \exp(-qt) \exp[t(G+S)]$ maps X_+ into itself. ■

Lemma 3. *The initial-value problem*

$$(10) \quad \frac{d}{dt}u(t) = \chi u(t) \quad (t > 0), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} u(t) = u_0$$

admits a unique continuous and differentiable solution

$$(11) \quad u(t) = \exp(t\chi)u_0 \quad (t \geq 0),$$

provided that $u_0 \in D(G) = D(\chi)$. Moreover,

$$(12) \quad u(t) \in D_+(G) = D(G) \cap X_+, \quad \|u(t)\| = 1, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

if $u_0 \in D_+(G)$ and $\|u_0\| = 1$. ■

Remark 1. The preceding lemmas summarize most of the results obtained in [1] by using lemmas and theorems of [12].

Remark 2. Due to (c) of Lemma 1, $D = D(-H + K) \subset D(G) \subset D(A)$. Hence, $u(t) \in D(A)$, $Au(t) = Gu(t)$ at any $t \geq 0$ and the $u(t)$ given by (11) also satisfies the « physical » system (5). We also note that the assumptions of Lemma 3 are satisfied if in particular $u_0 \in D_+ = D \cap X_+$ and $\|u_0\| = 1$.

3. - Further preliminary remarks.

If we multiply both sides of the $(n+2)$ -th component of the two (5) by $(n+1)$ and if we put

$$(13) \quad v(n, t) = (n+1)u(n+1, t) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots),$$

we then obtain

$$(14) \quad \frac{d}{dt}v(t) = (A + S - qI)v(t) + (B_1 - pI)v(t) + qu(t) \quad (t > 0), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} v(t) = v_0,$$

where $v_0(n) = (n + 1)u_0(n + 1)$ and where

$$(15) \quad [B_1 f]_n = p \sum_{s=0}^{n+1} sb(s)f(n + 1 - s) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Remark 3. System (14) is a first step to derive an equation for the first moment of the neutron population $\langle n \rangle(t)$, where

$$(16) \quad \langle n \rangle(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + 1)u(n + 1, t)$$

(see [1], [2]).

Remark 4. System (14) was derived from (5) in a heuristic way. In fact, (14) *formally* follows from (5) by applying to both sides of the two (5) the unbounded operator I , where $[If]_n = (n + 1)f(n + 1)$. The rigorous justification of system (14) is one of the aims of this paper.

It follows from definitions (15), [1],

Lemma 4. $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $B_1[X_+] \subset X_+$, $\|B_1 f\| = p\bar{v}\|f\|$, $\forall f \in X_+$, where $\bar{v} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} sb(s)$ is the mean number of neutrons emitted if one neutron is absorbed. Moreover,

$$(17) \quad A = \chi + (B_1 - pI) = (G + S - qI) + (B_1 - pI) \in \mathcal{G}(1, (\bar{v} - 1)/l),$$

$$(18) \quad \|\exp [tA] f\| = \exp \left[\frac{\bar{v} - 1}{l} t \right] \|f\| \quad \forall f \in X_+,$$

where the semigroup $\exp [tA]$ maps X_+ into itself. ■

We now consider the following initial-value problem

$$(19) \quad \frac{d}{dt} v(t) = Av(t) + q u(t) \quad (t > 0), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0_+} v(t) = v_0,$$

where $u(t)$ is given by (11). Due to (17), the solution of (19) has the form

$$(20) \quad v(t) = \exp [tA] v_0 + q \int_0^t \exp [(t - t')A] u(t') dt',$$

provided that $v_0 \in D(A) = D(\chi) = D(G)$ ([12]₂, p. 486).

Under the assumptions of Lemma 3 (see relations (12)) and if $v_0 \in D_+(G)$, (20) shows that $v(t) \in D_+(G)$ at any $t \geq 0$. Moreover, we obtain from (20)

$$\|v(t)\| = \exp\left[\frac{\bar{p}-1}{l}t\right] \|v_0\| + q \int_0^t \exp\left[\frac{\bar{p}-1}{l}(t-t')\right] dt'$$

because of (18). Hence,

$$(21) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\| = \frac{\bar{p}-1}{l} \|v(t)\| + q \quad (t > 0), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|v(t)\| = \|v_0\|.$$

The first of (21) has the standard form of the nuclear reactor kinetics equation [2].

We may summarize the preceding results as follows.

Theorem 1. *If $u_0 \in D_+(G)$ with $\|u_0\| = 1$ and if $v_0 \in D_+(G)$, we then have*

(a) *the solution $u(t)$ of (10) is such that $u(t) \in D_+(G)$, $\|u(t)\| = 1$ and $Au(t) = Gu(t)$ at any $t \geq 0$;*

(b) *the solution $v(t)$ of (19) is such that $v(t) \in D_+(G)$ and $Av(t) = Gv(t)$ at any $t \geq 0$ (hence, $v(t)$ also satisfies (14));*

(c) $\|v(t)\| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v(n, t)$ *satisfies system (21). ■*

Remark 5. Theorem 1 does *not* imply that relation (13) is true and that, consequently, $\|v(t)\| = \langle n \rangle(t)$. Hence, we can *not* infer that $\langle n \rangle(t)$ satisfies system (21). Relation (13) must be proved « a posteriori » (see Sect. 4 and 5).

4. - The « approximate » solutions $u_r(t)$ and $v_r(t)$.

Let us consider the following initial-value problems

$$(22) \quad \frac{d}{dt} u_r(t) = \chi_r u_r(t) \quad (t > 0), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} u_r(t) = u_0,$$

$$(23) \quad \frac{d}{dt} v_r(t) = A_r v_r(t) + q u_r(t) \quad (t > 0), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} v_r(t) = v_0,$$

where we assume that both u_0 and v_0 belong to $D = D(H) = D(G_r)$ and where

$$(24) \quad \chi_r = G_r + S - qI, \quad A_r = \chi_r + B_1 - pI, \quad D(\chi_r) = D(A_r) = D.$$

Remark 6. As it will be proved in the sequel, u_r and v_r «approximate» u and v if r is close to 1 (compare (22) with (10) and (23) with (20)).

We have

Lemma 5. $\chi_r \in \mathcal{G}(1, 0)$, $A_r \in \mathcal{G}(1, (\bar{v}-1)/l)$ and both the semigroups $\exp[t\chi_r]$ and $\exp[tA_r]$ map X_+ into itself. ■

In fact, $G_r \in \mathcal{G}(1, 0)$ and $\|S\| = q$. Hence ([12]₂, p. 495), $G_r + S \in \mathcal{G}(1, q)$. On the other hand

$$(25) \quad \exp[t\chi_r] = \exp[-qt] \exp[t(G_r + S)],$$

since qI commutes with $(G_r + S)$. We conclude that $\chi_r \in \mathcal{G}(1, 0)$. Moreover, since $\exp[tG_r][X_+] \subset X_+$ (see Lemma 1) and $S[X_+] \subset X_+$ (see Lemma 2), relation (25) shows that $\exp[t\chi_r]$ maps X_+ into itself ([12]₂, p. 495). The operator A_r can be dealt with in an analogous way. ■

The following theorem is a direct consequence of Lemma 5.

Theorem 2. If u_0 and v_0 both belong to D_+ , then

$$(26) \quad u_r(t) = \exp[t\chi_r]u_0 \in D_+ \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

$$(27) \quad v_r(t) = \exp[tA_r]v_0 + q \int_0^t \exp[(t-t')A_r]u_r(t') dt' \in D_+, \quad t \geq 0,$$

for any $r \in [0, 1)$. ■

The importance of $u_r(t)$ and of $v_r(t)$ is due to the fact that $u_r(t)$ and $v_r(t)$ «approximate» $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ in the following sense.

Theorem 3. If u_0 and v_0 belong to D_+ , then

$$(28) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1_-} u_r(t) = u(t), \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1_-} v_r(t) = v(t),$$

uniformly with respect to t in each finite interval $[0, \bar{t}]$. ■

Theorem 3 is a direct consequence of the following Lemma 6 with $C = S - qI$ and with $C = S - qI + B_1 - pI$.

Lemma 6. If $C \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ([12]₂, p. 149), then

$$(29) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \exp [t(G_r + C)]f = \exp [t(G + C)]f, \quad \forall f \in X,$$

uniformly with respect to t in each finite interval $[0, \bar{t}]$. ■

Lemma 6 follows from (7) and from Theorem 2.16 of [12]₂ (p. 502), (see also [13], Theorem 2). ■

Remark 7. The approximate solution $u_r(t)$ can be profitably used to derive specific properties of the exact solution $u(t)$. This is due to the fact that $u_r(t) \in D_+ = D \cap X_+$ at any $t \geq 0$ (see (26)) where the structure of D is completely known. On the other hand, $u(t) \in D_+(G) = D(G) \cap X_+$ at any $t \geq 0$ (see (12)) and we only know that $D(G)$ satisfies the relation $D \subset D(G) \subset D(A)$.

5. - $u(t)$, $v(t)$ and $\langle n \rangle(t)$.

We shall now exploit Theorem 3 to show that $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ (see Theorem 1) satisfy the relation

$$(30) \quad Jv(t) = Yu(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where

$$(31) \quad [Jf]_n = f(n)/(n+1), \quad [Yf]_n = f(n+1) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots), \quad D(J) = D(Y) = X,$$

and where it follows directly from definition (31) that

$$(32) \quad J \in \mathcal{B}(X), \quad Y \in \mathcal{B}(X), \quad \|J\| \leq 1, \quad \|Y\| = 1,$$

$$(33) \quad J[D] \subset D, \quad Y[D] \subset D.$$

Remark 8. Relation (30) is equivalent to (13). Hence, if (30) is true, (16) shows that $\|v(t)\| = \langle n \rangle(t)$. Consequently, $\langle n \rangle(t)$ satisfies system (21) and the nuclear reactor kinetics equation is a rigorous consequence of the Chapman-Kolmogorov system.

In order to prove (30), we introduce the following maps from $[0, +\infty]$ to X

$$(34) \quad w(t) = Jv(t) - Yu(t), \quad w_r(t) = Jv_r(t) - Yu_r(t).$$

Due to (32), we have from (28) and from (34)

$$(35) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1_-} w_r(t) = w(t)$$

uniformly with respect to $t \in [0, \bar{t}]$, provided that u_0 and v_0 belong to D_+ (see Theorem 3).

We shall now derive an equation which gives the evolution of $w_r(t)$.

Since $J(D) \subset D$ and $Y[D] \subset D$ (see (33)), we have for any $f \in D$ and for any $g \in X$

$$(36) \quad \begin{cases} YHf = HYf + pYf, & YKf = KYf + B_0Yf \\ JHf = HJf, & JKf = KJf + (B_0 - B_1)Jf - J(B_1 - B_2)Jf, \end{cases}$$

$$(37) \quad \begin{cases} YSg = SYg = qIg, & JSg = SJg - JSJg \\ JB_1g = B_1Jg + J(B_1 - B_2)Jg, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(38) \quad \begin{cases} [B_0g]_n = p \sum_{s=0}^{n+1} b(s)g(n+1-s), & D(B_0) = X, \|B_0\| \leq p \\ [B_2g]_n = p \sum_{s=0}^{n+1} s^2 b(s)g(n+1-s), & D(B_2) = X, \end{cases}$$

$$(39) \quad \|B_2\| \leq p[\bar{v}^2] = p \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} s^2 b(s).$$

By using relations (36) and (37) and by taking into account that both Y and J belong to $\mathcal{B}(X)$, we obtain from (22), (23) and from the second of (34)

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} w_r(t) &= (\chi_r + rB_0 - pI - JS)w_r(t) + \\ &+ (1-r)[B_1 + J(B_1 - B_2)]Jv_r(t) \quad (t > 0), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0_+} w_r(t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the second of (40) follows from the assumption $Jv_0 = Yu_0$ (see (14) of Sect. 3).

We have

Lemma 7. $(\chi_r + rB_0 - pI - JS) \in \mathcal{G}(1, q)$, $\forall r \in [0, 1]$. ■

In fact,

$$(41) \quad \exp [t(\chi_r + rB_0 - pI - JS)] = \exp [-pt] \exp [t(\chi_r + rB_0 - JS)],$$

where $(\chi_r + rB_0 - pI - JS) \in \mathcal{G}(1, rp + q)$ since $\chi_r \in \mathcal{G}(1, 0)$ (see Lemma 5) and $r\|B_0\| \leq rp$, $\|JS\| \leq \|S\| = q$. Relation (41) then shows that

$$(\chi_r + rB_0 - JS - pI) \in \mathcal{G}(1, q - (1-r)p) \subset \mathcal{G}(1, q). \quad \blacksquare$$

Due to Lemma 7, we have from (40)

$$w_r(t) = (1-r) \int_0^t \exp [(t-t')(\chi_r + rB_0 - pI - JS)] \{B_1 + J(B_1 - B_2)\} Jv_r(t') dt'$$

and also

$$(42) \quad \|w_r(t)\| \leq (1-r) \int_0^t \exp [q(t-t')] \|B_1 + J(B_1 - B_2)\| \|v_r(t')\| dt',$$

where, due to (27) and to Lemma 5,

$$(43) \quad \|v_r(t')\| \leq \exp \left[\frac{\bar{p}-1}{l} t' \right] \|v_0\| + q \int_0^{t'} \exp \left[\frac{\bar{p}-1}{l} (t' - t'') \right] \|u_0\| dt''.$$

Inequalities (42) and (43) imply that

$$(44) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} w_r(t) = 0$$

uniformly with respect to $t \in [0, \bar{t}]$.

Relation (30) is a consequence of (35) and of (44).

We may summarize the preceding results as follows.

Main Theorem. *If $u_0 \in D_+$, $v_0 \in D_+$, $\|u_0\| = 1$ and if $Jv_0 = Yu_0$, we have at any $t \geq 0$*

- (a) $u(t) \in D_+(G)$, $\|u(t)\| = 1$, $Au(t) = Gu(t)$, where $u(t)$ is the solution of (10);
- (b) $v(t) \in D_+(G)$, $Av(t) = Gv(t)$, where $v(t)$ is the solution of (19);
- (c) $Jv(t) = Yu(t)$.

Moreover, $\|v(t)\|$ satisfies system (21) and

$$\|v(t)\| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) u(n+1, t) = \langle n \rangle(t). \quad \blacksquare$$

We conclude that the Chapman-Kolmogorov system (5) admits a solution $u(t) = \{u(n, t), n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ such that the first moment of the neutron population (16) exists and it satisfies the nuclear reactor system

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle n \rangle(t) = \frac{\bar{\nu}-1}{l} \langle n \rangle(t) + q \quad (t > 0), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0_+} \langle n \rangle(t) = \langle n \rangle_0 = \|v_0\|.$$

References.

- [1] A. BELLENI-MORANTE, J. Math. Phys. **16** (1975), 585-589.
- [2] D. SCHWALM, Nucl. Sci. Eng. **52** (1973), 267-278.
- [3] E. D. COURANT and P. R. WALLACE, Phys. Rev. **72** (1947), 1038-1045.
- [4] A. MEDINA, Proc. of the Second U.N.I. Conf. on Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, **19**, P/1084 (Geneve, 1958).
- [5] L. I. PAL: [\bullet]₁ Proc. of the Second U.N.I. Conf. on Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, **16**, P/1710 (Geneve, 1958); [\bullet]₂ Nuovo Cimento Suppl. **7** (1958), 25-32.
- [6] G. E. HANSEN, Nucl. Sci. Eng. **8** (1960), 709-715.
- [7] W. MATTHES, Nukleonik, **4** (1962), 213-226.
- [8] A. DALFES: [\bullet]₁ Nukleonik, **4** (1962), 299-303; [\bullet]₂ Nukleonik, **5** (1964), 348-352.
- [9] G. I. BELL, Ann. Phys., **21** (1963), 243-249.
- [10] H. HURWITZ et al., Nucl. Sci. Eng., **15** (1963), 166-174.
- [11] W. G. CLARKE et al., Nucl. Sci. Eng., **31** (1968), 440-448.
- [12] T. KATO: [\bullet]₁ J. Math. Soc. Japan, **6** (1954), 1-15; [\bullet]₂ *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer, New York, 1966.
- [13] A. BELLENI-MORANTE and G. BUSONI, J. Math. Phys., **13** (1972), 1146-1150.

S u m m a r y .

We prove that the kinetic equation for the first moment of the neutron population in a multiplying assembly can be derived from the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations in a rigorous way. The proof involves a detailed study of a suitable approximate solution of the Chapman-Kolmogorov system, whose properties can be profitably used to obtain the corresponding properties of the exact solution.

S u n t o .

Si prova che l'equazione, che regola l'evoluzione del momento di ordine uno della popolazione neutronica in un mezzo moltiplicante, può essere ottenuta in modo rigoroso dal sistema Chapman-Kolmogorov. La dimostrazione si fonda sullo studio di una opportuna soluzione approssimata del sistema di Chapman-Kolmogorov, le proprietà della quale possono essere sfruttate per ricavare le corrispondenti proprietà della soluzione esatta.

* * *