

CHARLES A. COPPIN and JOSEPH F. VANCE (\*)

## On a Generalized Riemann-Stieltjes Integral. (\*\*)

This paper deals with a special case of HENSTOCK's generalized RIEMANN integral [2]. In 1967, VANCE [4] showed how this concept could be used in characterizing certain bounded linear functionals; and, in 1968, COPPIN [1] developed some additional results.

### 1. - Introduction.

Throughout this paper,  $[a, b]$  denotes a closed number interval and  $\mathcal{A}$  denotes the set of all subsets of  $[a, b]$  whose closure is  $[a, b]$  and which contains  $a$  and  $b$ . If  $A$  is a number set with an upper bound, then a number  $a$  is said to be a right end point of  $A$  if and only if  $a$  belongs to  $A$  and  $a = \sup A$ . Left end point is defined similarly, and it should be obvious how end point is defined. Two sets of numbers,  $A$  and  $B$ , are said to be nonoverlapping if and only if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  or  $A \cap B$  is a singleton. A nonempty collection is said to be nonoverlapping if and if each two distinct members of the collection is nonoverlapping. All functions considered here are real-valued of sets only have domain including  $[a, b]$ , and are bounded on  $[a, b]$ . Also, if  $M$  is a set and  $f$  is a function then

$$f|_M = \{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in M \text{ and } x \text{ is in the domain of } f\}.$$

---

(\*) Indirizzo degli Autori: C. A. COPPIN, Department of Mathematics, University of Dallas, Irving, Texas, U.S.A.; J. F. VANCE, Department of Mathematics, St. Mary's University, San Antonio, Texas, U.S.A..

(\*\*) Presented to the American Mathematical Society, January 23, 1969, under the title of «*A Note on a Generalized Riemann-Stieltjes Integral*». — Ricevuto: 1-IX-1972.

Definition. If  $M$  is a member of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $D$  is said to be a partition of  $M$  if and only if  $D$  is a finite collection of nonoverlapping subsets of  $M$  whose union is  $M$  and each member of  $D$  has two end points.  $E(D)$  will denote the set of end points of members of  $D$ .

Definition. If  $M$  is a member of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $D$  is a partition of  $M$ , then  $D'$  is said to be a refinement of  $D$  if and only if  $D'$  is a partition of  $M$  and  $E(D) \subset E(D')$ .

Definition. If  $D$  is a nonempty collection of nonempty sets, then  $\delta$  is said to be a choice function on  $D$  if and only if  $\delta$  is a function with domain including  $D$  such that  $\delta(d) \in d$  for each  $d$  in  $D$ .

Definition. If  $D$  is a subset of a partition of a member of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\delta$  is a choice function on  $D$ , and  $f$  and  $g$  are functions with domains including  $\cup D$ , then

$$\Sigma(f, g, D, \delta) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\delta([x_{i-1}, x_i])) \cdot [g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1})],$$

where  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  are the end points of the members of  $D$  and  $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n$ .

Definition. Suppose that  $M$  is a member of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $f$  and  $g$  are functions with domain including  $M$ . Then  $f$  is said to be  $g$ -integrable on  $M$  if and only if there exists a number  $W$  (denoted by  $\int_M f dg$ ) such that for each positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there is a partition  $D$  of  $M$  such that

$$|W - \Sigma(f, g, D', \delta)| < \varepsilon$$

for each refinement  $D'$  of  $D$  and each choice function  $\delta$  on  $D'$ .

## 2. - Result.

The following theorem, the proof of which is analogous to a proof of Theorem 10.2, page 49, in [3], will prove to be useful.

Theorem 1. Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are functions with domain  $[a, b]$ , and  $M$  is a member of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $f$  is  $g$ -integrable on  $M$ .

(b) If  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a partition  $D$  of  $M$  such that  $|\Sigma(f, g, D, \delta) - \Sigma(f, g, D', \delta')| < \varepsilon$  for each choice function  $\delta$  on  $D$ , each refinement  $D'$  of  $D$ , and each choice function  $\delta'$  on  $D'$ .

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are functions with domain  $[a, b]$ , and  $M$  is a member of  $\Delta$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent:

(a)  $f$  is  $g$ -integrable on  $M$ , and  $f|M$  and  $g|M$  have no common points of discontinuity.

(b) If  $M'$  is a countable member of  $\Delta$  and a subset of  $M$ , then  $f$  is  $g$ -integrable on  $M'$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are functions with domain  $[a, b]$ , and  $M$  is a member of  $\Delta$ .

We will first prove that (b) implies (a). Assume that  $f$  is not  $g$ -integrable on  $M$  or  $f|M$  and  $g|M$  have a common point of discontinuity.

First, assume that  $f$  is not  $g$ -integrable on  $M$ . By Theorem 1, there is a positive number  $\varepsilon$  such that for each partition  $D$  of  $M$ , there is a refinement  $D'$  of  $D$ , a choice function  $\delta_D$  on  $D$ , and a choice function  $\delta_{D'}$  on  $D'$  such that

$$|\Sigma(f, g, D, \delta_D) - \Sigma(f, g, D', \delta_{D'})| \geq \varepsilon.$$

Using the preceding information, it can be shown that there is a sequence  $D_1, D_2, \dots$  of partitions of  $M$  and a sequence of refinements  $D'_1, D'_2, D'_3, \dots$  of  $D_1, D_2, D_3, \dots$ , respectively, satisfying the following conditions:

$$1) \quad (E(D_n) \cup E(D'_n) \cup \delta_{D_n}(D_n) \cup \delta_{D'_n}(D'_n)) \subset E(D_{n+1}), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$2) \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E(D_i) \in \Delta.$$

$$3) \quad |\Sigma(f, g, D_n, \delta_{D_n}) - \Sigma(f, g, D'_n, \delta_{D'_n})| \geq \varepsilon, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E(D_i)$  is a countable member of  $\Delta$  and a subset of  $M$ , so  $f$  is  $g$ -integrable

on  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E(D_i)$ . There is a partition  $F$  of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E(D_i)$  such that  $|\int f dg - \sum(f, g, F', \delta)| <$

$< \varepsilon/2$  for each refinement  $F'$  of  $F$  and for each choice function  $\delta$  on  $F'$ .

Since  $F$  is finite and each member of  $F$  is a member of  $E(D_n)$  for some  $n$ , there is a positive integer  $N$  such that  $E(F) \subset E(D_p)$  for each  $p \geq N$ . Since  $E(F) \subset E(D_N)$ , let  $F_N$  be a refinement of  $F$  such that  $E(F_N) = E(D_N)$ , and let  $F'_N$  be a refinement of  $F$  such that  $E(F'_N) = E(D'_N)$ . If  $d \in F_N$ , let  $d'$

denote a member of  $D_N$  such that  $d$  and  $d'$  have the same end points. Let  $\delta_{F_N}$  be a function with domain  $F_N$  given by  $\delta_{F_N}(d) = \delta_{D_N}(d')$  for each  $d$  in  $F_N$ . Now, let  $d$  be an arbitrary member of  $F_N$ .  $\delta_{D_N}(d') \in d'$  and  $\delta_{D_N}(d') \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E(D_i)$ .

Thus,  $\delta_{F_N}(d) = \delta_{D_N}(d') \in d$ .  $\delta_{F_N}$  is a choice function on  $F_N$ . Define  $\delta_{F'_N}$  in like manner so that  $\delta_{F'_N}$  will be a choice function on  $F'_N$ . It should now be reasonably clear that  $\Sigma(f, g, F_N, \delta_{F_N}) = \Sigma(f, g, D_N, \delta_{D_N})$  and  $\Sigma(f, g, F'_N, \delta_{F'_N}) = \Sigma(f, g, D'_N, \delta_{D'_N})$ . We have

$$\left| \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E(D_i)} f \, dg - \Sigma(f, g, D_N, \delta_{D_N}) \right| < \varepsilon/2$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E(D'_i)} f \, dg - \Sigma(f, g, D'_N, \delta_{D'_N}) \right| < \varepsilon/2.$$

The preceding yields

$$|\Sigma(f, g, D_N, \delta_{D_N}) - \Sigma(f, g, D'_N, \delta_{D'_N})| < \varepsilon$$

which contradicts condition 3) above.

Therefore  $f$  is  $g$ -integrable on  $M$ .

Now, assume that  $f|M$  and  $g|M$  have a common point of discontinuity which we will call  $z$ . This allows one to determine a countable member  $M' \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $k > 0$  such that for each open interval  $s$  containing  $z$ ,  $|f(x) - f(y)| \cdot |g(q) - g(p)| > k$  for some  $p$  and some  $q$  belonging to  $S \cap M'$  and for some  $x$  and some  $y$  between  $p$  and  $q$  where  $x$  and  $y$  belong to  $M'$ . A simple application of the definition of integral shows that this is enough to make  $f$  not  $g$ -integrable on  $M'$ , which is a contradiction.

Thus (b) implies (a).

Now, suppose  $M'$  is a countable subset of  $M$  and  $M' \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is a partition  $D$  of  $M$  such that

$$(1) \quad \left| \int_M f \, dg - \Sigma(f, g, D, \delta) \right| < \varepsilon/2$$

for each refinement  $D'$  of  $D$  and each choice function  $\delta$  on  $D'$ .

It will be sufficient to consider the case  $E(D) \not\subset M'$ . Then  $E(D) \setminus M' = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N\}$  is a nonempty subset of  $M \setminus M'$ . Using the fact that at

each element of  $E(D) \setminus M'$  either  $f|M$  or  $g|M$  is continuous and the fact that  $f$  and  $g$  are bounded on  $M$ , it can be shown that there is  $d > 0$  such that, if  $z \in E(D) \setminus M'$ , then

$$(2) \quad |f(u) - f(v)| \cdot |g(s) - g(r)| < \varepsilon/2N$$

for each  $r, s, u$ , and  $v$  in  $(z-d, z+d) \cap M$  such that  $r < z < s$  and  $r \leq u < v \leq s$ .

Let  $D_1$  denote a refinement of  $D$  such that  $(E(D_1) \setminus E(D)) \subset M'$ ,  $\|D_1\| < d/2$  ( $\|D_1\| = \sup\{x | \text{there is a } w \text{ in } D_1 \text{ and } p, q \in w \text{ such that } p < q \text{ and } x = q - p\}$ ) and between such two points of  $E(D) \setminus M'$  there is a point of  $E(D_1)$ . Also, let  $F$  be a partition of  $M'$  each that  $E(F) = (E(D_1) \setminus M) \cup \{a, b\}$ .

Suppose that  $F'$  is a refinement of  $F$  and  $\delta$  is a choice function on  $F'$ . A few preliminaries are in order:

$D'_1$  denotes a refinement of  $D_1$  such that  $E(D'_1) = E(F') \cup E(D_1)$ .

$G_1$  is a subset of  $D'_1$  such that

$$G_1 = \{[p_1, z_1] \cap M, [p_2, z_2] \cap M, \dots, [p_N, z_N] \cap M\}.$$

$G_2$  is a subset of  $D'_1$  such that

$$G_2 = \{[z_1, q_1] \cap M, [z_2, q_2] \cap M, \dots, [z_N, q_N] \cap M\}.$$

$\delta'$  is a choice function on  $D'_1$  such that if  $d' \in D'_1 \setminus (G_1 \cup G_2)$ , then  $\delta'(d') = \delta(d)$  where  $d$  is a member of  $F'$  with the same end points as  $d'$ , and if  $d' \in G_1 \cup G_2$ , then  $\delta'(d')$  is the end point of  $d'$  which is in  $E(D) \setminus M'$ .

From (1), we have

$$(3) \quad \left| \int_M f dg - \sum (f, g, D'_1 \setminus (G_1 \cup G_2), \delta') - \sum (f, g, G_1, \delta') - \sum (f, g, G_2, \delta') \right| < \varepsilon/2,$$

which yields

$$(4) \quad \left| \int_M f dg - \sum (f, g, F' \setminus G', \delta) - \sum (f, g, G_1, \delta') - \sum (f, g, G_2, \delta') \right| < \varepsilon/2,$$

where  $G'$  is the set of all members of  $F'$  which have a point of  $E(D) \setminus M'$  between their end points.

By (2)

$$(5) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & |\Sigma(f, g, G_1, \delta') + \Sigma(f, g, G_2, \delta') - \Sigma(f, g, G', \delta)| = \\ & = \left| \sum_{i=1}^N [f(z_i) \cdot (g(z_i) - g(p_i)) + f(z_i) \cdot (g(q_i) - g(z_i))] - \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^N f(\delta([p_i, q_i] \cap M')) \cdot (g(q_i) - g(p_i)) \right| \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^N |f(z_i) - f(\delta([p_i, q_i] \cap M'))| \cdot |g(q_i) - g(p_i)| < \varepsilon/2. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Combining (4) and (5), we have

$$\left| \int_M f dg - \Sigma(f, g, F', \delta) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

So  $f$  is  $g$ -integrable on  $M'$ , and (a) implies (b).

#### References.

- [1] C. A. COPPIN, *Concerning an integral and number sets dense in an interval*, Ph. D. thesis, Univ. of Texas Library, Austin, Texas, 1968.
- [2] R. HENSTOCK, *Linear Analysis*, Plenum Publishing Corp., New York, 1962.
- [3] T. H. HILDEBRANDT, *Introduction to the Theory of Integration*, Academic Press, New York, 1963.
- [4] J. F. VANCE, *A representation theorem for bounded linear functionals*, Ph. D. thesis, Univ. of Texas Library, Austin, Texas, 1967.

\* \* \*