

KULDIP KUMAR (\*)

**On the Geometric Means  
of Products of Integral Functions. (\*\*)**

1. — Let  $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)$  be  $m$  integral functions of orders  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m$  respectively and let

$$(1.1) \quad G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f_1(r e^{i\theta}) \dots f_m(r e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right\},$$

$$(1.2) \quad G(r, f_1^{(n)} \dots f_m^{(n)}) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f_1^{(n)}(r e^{i\theta}) \dots f_m^{(n)}(r e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right\},$$

$$(1.3) \quad g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m) = \exp \left\{ \frac{\delta + 1}{2\pi r^{\delta+1}} \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f_1(x e^{i\theta}) \dots f_m(x e^{i\theta})| x^\delta dx d\theta \right\}$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad g_\delta(r, f_1^{(n)} \dots f_m^{(n)}) = \exp \left\{ \frac{\delta + 1}{2\pi r^{\delta+1}} \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f_1^{(n)}(x e^{i\theta}) \dots f_m^{(n)}(x e^{i\theta})| x^\delta dx d\theta \right\}$$

where  $f_1^{(n)}(z), \dots, f_m^{(n)}(z)$  are the  $n$ -th derivatives of  $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)$  respectively and  $0 < \delta < +\infty$ .

In this paper we have considered geometric means of products of  $m$  integral functions and have obtained some of their properties. The results are given in the form of theorems and their corollaries.

---

(\*) Indirizzo: Department of Mathematics and Astronomy, Lucknow University, Lucknow, India.

(\*\*) This work is supported by the Senior Research Fellowship of the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, India. — Ricevuto: 16-II-1970.

2. - Theorem 1. For the class of integral functions for which

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l_2 g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{\log r} = +\infty,$$

we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{l_3 g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{\log r} = \frac{\log L_\delta}{\log l_\delta},$$

where

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\left\{ \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) \right\}^{1/\log r}}{\left\{ \log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m) \right\}} = \frac{L_\delta}{l_\delta},$$

$l_2 x = \log \log x$  and  $l_3 x = \log \log \log x$ .

In order to prove this Theorem, we prove the following two lemmas:

Lemma 1.  $\log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)$  is a convex function of  $\log r$ ,  $f_s(0) \neq 0$  for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Proof. Using JENSEN'S formula in (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) &= \log |f_1(0) \dots f_m(0)| + \int_0^r \{n(x, f_1) + \dots + n(x, f_m)\} \frac{dx}{x} \\ &= \log G(r_0, f_1 \dots f_m) + \int_{r_0}^r \{n(x, f_1) + \dots + n(x, f_m)\} \frac{dx}{x}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\frac{d \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{d \log r} = n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m).$$

The right hand side is a non-decreasing function of  $r$ , since  $n(r, f)$  is a non-decreasing function of  $r$  and tends to infinity as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

Lemma 2.  $r^{\delta+1} \{ \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) \}^2$  is a convex function of

$$r^{\delta+1} \log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m).$$

**Proof.** We have from (1.1) and (1.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d[r^{\delta+1}\{\log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)\}^2]}{d[r^{\delta+1} \log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)]} &= \frac{\frac{d}{dr} [r^{\delta+1}\{\log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)\}^2]}{\frac{d}{dr} [(\delta + 1) \int_0^r \log G(x, f_1 \dots f_m) x^\delta dx]} \\ &= \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) + \frac{2r G'(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{(\delta + 1)G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}, \end{aligned}$$

which increases with  $r$  for large values of  $r$ , since, by Lemma 1,  $\log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)$  is a convex function of  $\log r$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We have

$$\log \{r^{\delta+1} \log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)\} = (\delta + 1) \int_0^r \frac{\log G(x, f_1 \dots f_m)}{\log g_\delta(x, f_1 \dots f_m)} \frac{dx}{x},$$

since numerator on the right hand side is the differential coefficient of the denominator.

This gives

$$\log \{r^{\delta+1} \log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)\} < O(1) + (\delta + 1) \int_{r_0}^r (L_\delta + \varepsilon)^{\log x} \frac{dx}{x},$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $r > r_0 = r_0(\varepsilon)$ .

Now we obtain

$$\log \{r^{\delta+1} \log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)\} < O(1) + (\delta + 1) \frac{(L_\delta + \varepsilon)^{\log r}}{\log(L_\delta + \varepsilon)}.$$

Taking logarithm on both the sides and proceeding to limits, we get

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2} g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{\log r} \leq \log L_\delta,$$

since

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2} g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{\log r} = +\infty.$$

Further, using Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \log \{(2r)^{\delta+1} \log g_{\delta}(2r, f_1 \dots f_m)\} > \\
& > (\delta + 1) \int_r^{2r} \frac{(\log G(x, f_1 \dots f_m))^2}{\log g_{\delta}(x, f_1 \dots f_m) \log G(x, f_1 \dots f_m)} \frac{dx}{x} \\
& > (\delta + 1) \frac{(\log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m))^2}{\log g_{\delta}(r, f_1 \dots f_m)} \frac{\log 2}{\log G(2r, f_1 \dots f_m)} \\
& > (\delta + 1) (L_{\delta} - \varepsilon)^{\log r} \frac{\log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{\log G(2r, f_1 \dots f_m)} \log 2,
\end{aligned}$$

for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity. Consequently,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l_3 g_{\delta}(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{\log r} \geq \log L_{\delta}.$$

In a similar manner we prove that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l_3 g_{\delta}(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{\log r} = \log l_{\delta}.$$

This proves Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** *If*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l_2 G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{\log r} = A$$

*and*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l_2 g_{\delta}(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{\log r} = B,$$

*then*

$$(2.1) \quad A = B = \max(\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_m).$$

**Proof.** Let  $M(r, f_1), \dots, M(r, f_m)$  denote respectively the maximum moduli of  $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)$  for  $|z| = r$ , then (1.1) in view of lemma on p. 311 of [1] gives

$$(2.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) \leq \\ \leq \log \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f_1(r e^{i\theta}) \dots f_m(r e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right\} \leq \log \{M(r, f_1) \dots M(r, f_m)\}. \end{array} \right.$$

Again, let  $f(z)$  be regular in  $|z| \leq R$  and let  $z = r e^{i\theta}$ ,  $0 \leq r < R$ , then POISSON-JENSEN formula gives

$$\log |f(z)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(R^2 - r^2) \log |f(R e^{i\varphi})|}{R^2 - 2Rr \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2} d\varphi - \sum_{\mu} \log \left| \frac{R^2 - \bar{a}_{\mu} r e^{i\theta}}{R(r e^{i\theta} - a_{\mu})} \right|,$$

where  $a_{\mu}$  are the zeros of  $f(z)$  inside the circle  $|z| \leq R$ . Since each term in  $\sum$  is positive, for  $f(z) = f_1(z) \dots f_m(z)$ , this yields

$$\log |f_1(z) \dots f_m(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(R^2 - r^2) \log |f_1(R e^{i\varphi}) \dots f_m(R e^{i\varphi})|}{R^2 - 2Rr \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2} d\varphi.$$

Choosing  $z$  in such a manner that

$$\log \{M(r, f_1) |f_2(r e^{i\theta})| \dots |f_m(r e^{i\theta})|\} \quad \text{or}$$

$$\log \{|f_1(r e^{i\theta})| M(r, f_2) \dots |f_m(r e^{i\theta})|\} \quad \text{or ... or}$$

$$\log \{|f_1(r e^{i\theta})| \dots |f_{m-1}(r e^{i\theta})| M(r, f_m)\} \leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} \log G(R, f_1 \dots f_m),$$

respectively, as  $\varrho_1$  or  $\varrho_2$  or ... or  $\varrho_m = \max(\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_m)$ .

Taking  $R$  to be  $2r$ , this gives

$$\log G(2r, f_1 \dots f_m) \geq \frac{1}{3} \log \{M(r, f_1) |f_2(r e^{i\theta})| \dots |f_m(r e^{i\theta})|\} \quad \text{or}$$

$$\log \{|f_1(r e^{i\theta})| M(r, f_2) \dots |f_m(r e^{i\theta})|\} \quad \text{or ... or}$$

$$(2.3) \quad \log G(2r, f_1 \dots f_m) \geq \log \{|f_1(r e^{i\theta})| \dots |f_{m-1}(r e^{i\theta})| M(r, f_m)\}.$$

Taking logarithms on both the sides of (2.2) and (2.3), proceeding to limits and combining the results thus obtained, we get

$$A = \max(\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_m).$$

Further, since  $\log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)$  is an increasing function of  $r$ , we have

$$\log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m) = \frac{\delta + 1}{r^{\delta+1}} \int_0^r \log G(x, f_1 \dots f_m) x^\delta dx \leq \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m),$$

which leads to  $B \leq A$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \log g_\delta(2r, f_1 \dots f_m) &= \frac{\delta + 1}{(2r)^{\delta+1}} \int_0^{2r} \log G(x, f_1 \dots f_m) x^\delta dx \\ &\geq \frac{\delta + 1}{(2r)^{\delta+1}} \int_r^{2r} \log G(x, f_1 \dots f_m) x^\delta dx \\ &\geq \frac{2^{\delta+1} - 1}{2^{\delta+1}} \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to  $B \geq A$ . Hence  $A = B = \max(\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_m)$ .

3. - Let  $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)$  be integral functions of orders  $\varrho_k$  ( $0 < \varrho_k < \infty$ ;  $k = 1, \dots, m$ ). Further, let us set

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup n(r, f_1) + \dots + \sup n(r, f_m)}{\inf r^\varrho} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta},$$

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{\inf r^\varrho} = \frac{a}{b}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{\inf r^\varrho} = \frac{c}{d},$$

where  $\varrho = \max(\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_m)$ . We prove here the following results:

**Theorem 3.** *We have*

$$(i) \quad e \varrho b \leq \varrho a + e \beta,$$

$$(ii) \quad \alpha + \varrho b \leq e \varrho a,$$

$$(iii) \quad 2^{\frac{\varrho+\delta+1}{\delta+1}} d \leq 2^{\frac{\varrho}{\delta+1}} b + c$$

and

$$(iv) \quad a + d \leq 2^{\frac{\varrho+\delta+1}{\delta+1}} c.$$

**Proof.** We know that

$$n(re^{1/e}, f_1) + \dots + n(re^{1/e}, f_m) \geq \varrho \int_r^{re^{1/e}} \{n(x, f_1) + \dots + n(x, f_m)\} \frac{dx}{x}.$$

Adding  $\varrho \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)$  on both the sides, we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varrho \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) + n(re^{1/e}, f_1) + \dots + n(re^{1/e}, f_m) \\ \geq \varrho \log G(re^{1/e}, f_1 \dots f_m). \end{array} \right.$$

In a similar manner, we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \varrho \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) + n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m) \leq \varrho \log G(re^{1/e}, f_1 \dots f_m).$$

Dividing (3.4) and (3.5) by  $r^\varrho$ , proceeding to limits and using (3.1) and (3.2), the results (i) and (ii) follow. Further, we have

$$\log G(2^{1/(\delta+1)} r, f_1 \dots f_m) \geq \frac{\delta+1}{r^{\delta+1}} \int_r^{2^{1/(\delta+1)} r} \log G(x, f_1 \dots f_m) x^\delta dx,$$

where  $0 < \delta < \infty$ .

Adding  $\log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)$  on both the sides, this gives

$$(3.6) \quad \log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m) + \log G(2^{1/(\delta+1)} r, f_1 \dots f_m) \geq 2 \log g_\delta(2^{1/(\delta+1)} r, f_1 \dots f_m).$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m) + \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) \leq 2 \log g_\delta(2^{1/(\delta+1)}r, f_1 \dots f_m).$$

Dividing (3.6) and (3.7) by  $r^\varrho$ , taking limits and using (3.2) and (3.3), the results (iii) and (iv) follow.

**Theorem 4.** *If  $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)$  are  $m$  integral functions other than polynomials and if  $f_s(0) \neq 0$  for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , then*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{r^\varrho} \geq \frac{\beta(\delta+1)}{\varrho(\varrho+\delta+1)}$$

and

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{r^\varrho} \leq \frac{\alpha(\delta+1)}{\varrho(\varrho+\delta+1)},$$

where  $\varrho = \max(\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_m)$ .

**Proof.** Using JENSEN's formula in (1.1), we have

$$(3.8) \quad \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) = \log G(r_1, f_1 \dots f_m) + \int_{r_1}^r \{n(x, f_1) + \dots + n(x, f_m)\} \frac{dx}{x}.$$

From (3.1), we have for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $r > r_2 = r_2(\varepsilon)$ ,

$$n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m) > (\beta - \varepsilon)r^\varrho.$$

Therefore, from (3.8)

$$(3.9) \quad \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) > \log G(r_1, f_1 \dots f_m) + (\beta - \varepsilon) \frac{r^\varrho - r_1^\varrho}{\varrho}, \quad (r_1 \geq r_2 + 1).$$

Further, we have from (1.1) and (1.3)

$$(3.10) \quad \log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m) = o(1) + \frac{\delta+1}{r^{\delta+1}} \int_{r_0}^r \log G(x, f_1 \dots f_m) x^\delta dx.$$



Substituting for  $\log G(x, f_1 \dots f_m)$  from (3.9) in (3.10), we obtain

$$\log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m) > O(1) + \frac{(\beta - \varepsilon)(\delta + 1)}{\varrho(\varrho + \delta + 1)} \frac{r^{\varrho + \delta + 1} - r_0^{\varrho + \delta + 1}}{r^{\delta + 1}}, \quad (r_0 \geq r_1 + 1).$$

Dividing this throughout by  $r^\varrho$  and proceeding to limits, the result follows.

On the other hand, we have from (3.1) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $r > r_2 = r_2(\varepsilon)$ ,

$$n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m) < (\alpha + \varepsilon) r^\varrho.$$

Substituting this in (3.8), we get

$$(3.11) \quad \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) < \log G(r_1, f_1 \dots f_m) + (\alpha + \varepsilon) \frac{r^\varrho - r_1^\varrho}{\varrho}, \quad (r_1 \geq r_2 + 1).$$

Now, substituting this in (3.10), we obtain

$$\log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m) < O(1) + \frac{(\alpha + \varepsilon)(\delta + 1)}{\varrho(\varrho + \delta + 1)} \frac{r^{\varrho + \delta + 1} - r_0^{\varrho + \delta + 1}}{r^{\delta + 1}}, \quad (r_0 \geq r_1 + 1).$$

Dividing this by  $r^\varrho$  and proceeding to limits, the result follows.

Corollary. *We have*

$$\frac{\beta}{\varrho} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{r^\varrho} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{r^\varrho} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\varrho}.$$

These easily follow from (3.9) and (3.11) respectively.

4. - Theorem 5. *If  $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)$  are  $m$  integral functions of finite orders  $\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_m$  respectively and if*

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{r^\varrho} = l, \quad \varrho = \max(\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_m)$$

*exists, then*

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m)}{r^\varrho} = \varrho l,$$

*where  $f_s(0) \neq 0$  ( $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ).*

Proof. We have from (4.1)

$$r^e (l - \varepsilon) < \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) < r^e (l + \varepsilon),$$

for  $r > r_0 = r_0(\varepsilon)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Also, for  $0 < \eta < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^{(1+\eta)r} \{n(x, f_1) + \dots + n(x, f_m)\} \frac{dx}{x} &= \\ &= \left( \int_0^{(1+\eta)r} - \int_0^r \right) \{n(x, f_1) + \dots + n(x, f_m)\} \frac{dx}{x} \\ &= \log G(\overline{1+\eta}r, f_1 \dots f_m) - \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) \\ &< (l + \varepsilon)(1 + \eta)^e r^e - (l - \varepsilon)r^e = l(\varrho\eta + \dots)r^e + \varepsilon(2 + \varrho\eta + \dots)r^e, \end{aligned}$$

but,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^{(1+\eta)r} \{n(x, f_1) + \dots + n(x, f_m)\} \frac{dx}{x} &\geq \{n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m)\} \int_r^{(1+\eta)r} \frac{dx}{x} \\ &> \{n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m)\} \frac{\eta}{1 + \eta}, \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$\frac{n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m)}{r^e} < \frac{l(1 + \eta)(\varrho\eta + \dots)}{\eta} + \frac{\varepsilon(2 + \varrho\eta + \dots)(1 + \eta)}{\eta}.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  and  $\eta$  are arbitrary, this gives

$$(4.3) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m)}{r^e} \leq \varrho l.$$

Further, it can easily be shown that

$$\frac{n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m)}{r^e} > \frac{l(1 - \eta)(\varrho\eta - \dots)}{\eta} - \frac{\varepsilon(2 - \varrho\eta + \dots)(1 - \eta)}{\eta},$$

which leads to

$$(4.4) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m)}{r^{\varrho}} \geq \varrho l.$$

This completes the proof of (4.2).

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)$  be  $m$  integral functions, other than polynomials, of orders  $\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_m$  respectively and let  $n(r, f_1), \dots, n(r, f_m)$  denote the zeros of  $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)$  respectively in  $|z| \leq r$  and  $f_s(0) \neq 0$  ( $s=1, 2, \dots, m$ ). Further, if*

$$(4.5) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m)}{r \log r} > 1,$$

then

$$(4.6) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log g_{\delta}(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{r \log r} > \frac{\delta + 1}{\delta + 2},$$

and if

$$(4.7) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m)}{r \log r} < 1,$$

then

$$(4.8) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log g_{\delta}(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{r \log r} < \frac{\delta + 1}{\delta + 2}.$$

**Proof.** From (4.5), we have for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $r > r_2 = r_2(\varepsilon)$

$$n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m) > (1 - \varepsilon) r \log r.$$

Substituting this in

$$(4.9) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) = \\ & = \log G(r_1, f_1 \dots f_m) + \int_{r_1}^r \{n(x, f_1) + \dots + n(x, f_m)\} \frac{dx}{x}, \end{aligned} \right.$$

we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) > \text{const.} + (1 - \varepsilon) r (\log r - 1) \quad (r_1 \geq r_2 + 1).$$

Substituting for  $\log G(x, f_1 \dots f_m)$  from (4.10) in

$$(4.11) \quad \log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m) = o(1) + \frac{\delta + 1}{r^{\delta+1}} \int_{r_0}^r \log G(x, f_1 \dots f_m) x^\delta dx,$$

where  $r_0 \geq r_1 + 1$ , we obtain

$$\log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m) > (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{\delta + 1}{\delta + 2} \cdot r \log r.$$

Dividing this by  $r \log r$  and proceeding to limits, (4.6) follows.

On the other hand, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $r > r_2 = r_2(\varepsilon)$ , we have from (4.7)

$$n(r, f_1) + \dots + n(r, f_m) < (1 + \varepsilon) r \log r,$$

which together with (4.9) gives

$$(4.12) \quad \log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) < \text{const.} + (1 + \varepsilon) r (\log r - 1) \quad (r_1 \geq r_2 + 1).$$

Substituting this in (4.11), we have

$$\log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m) < (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{\delta + 1}{\delta + 2} r \log r,$$

from which (4.8) follows immediately.

*Corollary. We have*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{r \log r} > 1,$$

*provided (4.5) holds, and*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)}{r \log r} < 1,$$

*provided (4.7) holds.*

These results are immediate consequences of (4.10) and (4.12) respectively.

5. - Theorem 7. For  $m$  integral functions of finite orders  $\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_m$ , respectively,

$$(5.1) \quad G(r, f_1^{(1)} \dots f_m^{(1)}) < K G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) r^{\varrho_1 + \dots + \varrho_m - m + \varepsilon},$$

except at a set of measure zero, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and large  $r$ , where constant  $K$  is independent of  $r$ .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} G(r, f_1^{(1)} \dots f_m^{(1)}) &= \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f_1^{(1)}(r e^{i\theta}) \dots f_m^{(1)}(r e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right\} = \\ &= G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{f_1^{(1)}(r e^{i\theta})}{f_1(r e^{i\theta})} \dots \frac{f_m^{(1)}(r e^{i\theta})}{f_m(r e^{i\theta})} \right| d\theta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

But, we know ([2], p. 363) that

$$\left| \frac{f^{(1)}(r e^{i\theta})}{f(r e^{i\theta})} \right| \leq O(r^{\varepsilon-1}),$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and large  $r$  outside a set of measure zero. Using this for the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  in the above result, we obtain

$$G(r, f_1^{(1)} \dots f_m^{(1)}) < K G(r, f_1 \dots f_m) r^{\varrho_1 + \dots + \varrho_m - m + \varepsilon}.$$

Corollary 1. We have

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \log \left( r^m \frac{G(r, f_1^{(1)} \dots f_m^{(1)})}{G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)} \right) / \log r \right\} \leq \varrho_1 + \dots + \varrho_m.$$

Corollary 2. For integral functions  $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)$  of finite orders  $\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_m$  respectively

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \log \left( r^m \left\{ \frac{G(r, f_1^{(n)} \dots f_m^{(n)})}{G(r, f_1 \dots f_m)} \right\}^{1/n} \right) / \log r \right] \leq \varrho_1 + \dots + \varrho_m.$$

Writing (5.1) for the  $s$ -th derivatives of  $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)$ , we have

$$\frac{G(r, f_1^{(s)} \dots f_m^{(s)})}{G(r, f_1^{(s-1)} \dots f_m^{(s-1)})} < K_s r^{\varrho_1 + \dots + \varrho_m - m + \varepsilon}.$$

Giving  $s$  the values  $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , multiplying all the inequalities thus obtained, replacing  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  by  $K$ , where  $K = \max(K_1, \dots, K_n)$  and proceeding to limits the result follows.

**Theorem 8.** *If  $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)$  are  $m$  integral functions of finite orders  $\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_m$  respectively, then*

$$(5.2) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \log \left( r^m \frac{g_\delta(r, f_1^{(1)} \dots f_m^{(1)})}{g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)} \right) / \log r \right\} \leq \varrho_1 + \dots + \varrho_m,$$

where  $r$  tends to infinity through values outside a set of measure zero.

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \log g_\delta(r, f_1^{(1)} \dots f_m^{(1)}) &= \frac{\delta + 1}{r^{\delta+1}} \int_0^r \log G(x, f_1^{(1)} \dots f_m^{(1)}) x^\delta dx \\ &= o(1) + \frac{\delta + 1}{r^{\delta+1}} \int_{r_0}^r \log G(x, f_1^{(1)} \dots f_m^{(1)}) x^\delta dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.1) in this, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\log g_\delta(r, f_1^{(1)} \dots f_m^{(1)}) \leq \\ &\leq O(1) + \log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m) + \frac{\delta + 1}{r^{\delta+1}} \int_{r_0}^r (\varrho_1 + \dots + \varrho_m - m + \varepsilon) \log x \cdot x^\delta dx = \\ &= O(1) + \log g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m) + (\varrho_1 + \dots + \varrho_m - m + \varepsilon) \log r, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\log \left( r^m \frac{g_\delta(r, f_1^{(1)} \dots f_m^{(1)})}{g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)} \right) \leq O(1) + (\varrho_1 + \dots + \varrho_m + \varepsilon) \log r.$$

Proceeding to limits in this, (5.2) follows.

Corollary. *We have*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \log \left( r^m \frac{\{g_\delta(r, f_1^{(m)} \dots f_m^{(n)})\}^{1/n}}{g_\delta(r, f_1 \dots f_m)} \right) / \log r \right] \leq \varrho_1 + \dots + \varrho_m.$$

I wish to express my sincere thanks to Dr. S. K. BOSE for his helpful suggestions in the preparation of this paper.

#### References.

- [1] E. C. TICHMARSH, *The Theory of Functions*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 1939.
- [2] R. P. SRIVASTAV, *On the mean value of integral functions and their derivatives*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (1) 8 (1957), 361-369.
- [3] S. K. BOSE and S. N. SRIVASTAVA, *On the means products of integral functions*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (2) 5 (1964), 139-150.

\* \* \*

