

SURESH CHANDRA A R Y A (*)

**Inversion Theorem
for a Generalized Stieltjes Transform. (**)**

1. — Introduction.

If we iterate the LAPLACE transform, i.e., if we take

$$\chi(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} \varphi(t) dt,$$

where

$$(1) \quad \varphi(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} \varphi(t) dt,$$

then

$$(2) \quad \chi(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{s+t} \varphi(t) dt$$

and the equation (2) is referred to as the STIELTJES transform.

VARMA [8] has given a generalization of the LAPLACE transform in the form

$$(3) \quad f(s) = \int_0^{\infty} (st)^{m-1/2} e^{-st/2} W_{k,m}(st) \varphi(t) dt,$$

(*) Address: Stoneleigh, Nainital, India.

(**) Received November 21, 1957.

where $W_{k,m}$ denotes a WITAKER function. Further he has shown that if we take $f(s)$ to be the transform of $\varphi(t)$ in the sense of (3) and $\varphi(s)$ to be the ordinary LAPLACE transform of $\varphi(t)$ in the sense of (1), then

$$(4) \quad f(s) = \frac{\Gamma(2m+1)}{\Gamma(m-k+3/2)} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{\infty} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 2m+1, 1 \\ m-k+3/2 \end{matrix}; -\frac{t}{s} \right] \varphi(t) dt$$

provided that $\operatorname{Re}(2m+1) > 0$.

If $k+m=1/2$, the hypergeometric function degenerates into a binomial expression and (4) reduces to (2). Also if $k-m=1/2$ and $2m+1=\sigma$, (4) reduces to the form

$$(5) \quad f_1(s) = \Gamma(\sigma) s^{\sigma-1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(s+t)^{\sigma}} \varphi(t) dt$$

which is equivalent to the generalization of STIELTJES of order σ considered by POLLARD [6].

We shall call (4) as the VARMA's generalized STIELTJES transform of $\varphi(t)$. The object of this paper is to give an inversion theorem for (4).

2. — Inversion theorem.

Theorem A:

If $f(s)$ is the VARMA's generalized STIELTJES transform of $\varphi(t)$, i.e.,

$$f(s) = \frac{\Gamma(2m+1)}{\Gamma(m-k+3/2)} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{\infty} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 2m+1, 1 \\ m-k+3/2 \end{matrix}; -\frac{t}{s} \right] \varphi(t) dt,$$

then

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{2} [\varphi(t+) + \varphi(t-)] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-i\tau}^{c+i\tau} \frac{\Gamma(m-k+l+1/2)}{\Gamma(2m+l) \Gamma(l) \Gamma(1-l)} t^{-l} \vartheta(l) dl$$

where

$$\vartheta(l) = \int_0^{\infty} s^{l-1} f(s) ds,$$

provided that

$$(i) \quad x^{c-1} \varphi(x) \in L(0, \infty),$$

$$(ii) \quad x^{l-1} f(x) \in L(0, \infty) \quad (l = c \pm i\tau, \quad -\infty < \tau < \infty),$$

(iii) $\varphi(x)$ is of bounded variation in the neighbourhood of the point $x = t$ ($t > 0$),

$$(iv) \quad \varphi(t) = O(t^\rho), \quad \operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0, \quad (t \rightarrow 0) \\ = O(e^{-t^\nu}), \quad \operatorname{Re}(\nu) > 0, \quad (t \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$(v) \quad 1 > \operatorname{Re}(l) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(2m + l) > 0 \text{ and } m - k + 3/2 \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$$

If $\varphi(t)$ is continuous at $x = t$, we have

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-i\tau}^{c+i\tau} \frac{\Gamma(m-k+l+1/2)}{\Gamma(2m+l) \Gamma(l) \Gamma(1-l)} t^{-l} \vartheta(l) dl.$$

Proof:

Multiplying both sides of (4) by s^{l-1} and integrating with respect to s from 0 to infinity, we have

$$\int_0^\infty s^{l-1} f(s) ds = \frac{\Gamma(2m+1)}{\Gamma(m-k+3/2)} \int_0^\infty s^{l-2} ds \int_0^\infty {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 2m+1 \\ m-k+3/2 \end{matrix}; -\frac{t}{s} \right] \varphi(t) dt = \\ = \frac{\Gamma(2m+1)}{\Gamma(m-k+3/2)} \int_0^\infty \varphi(t) dt \int_0^\infty s^{l-2} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 2m+1, 1 \\ m-k+3/2 \end{matrix}; -\frac{t}{s} \right] ds,$$

provided that we can justify the change in the order of integration.

But we have [2, p. 79, (4)]

$$\int_0^\infty s^{l-2} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 2m+1, 1 \\ m-k+3/2 \end{matrix}; -\frac{t}{s} \right] ds = t^{l-1} \frac{\Gamma(2m+l) \Gamma(l) \Gamma(m-k+3/2) \Gamma(1-l)}{\Gamma(2m+1) \Gamma(m-k+l+1/2)},$$

provided that $1 > \operatorname{Re}(l) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(2m+l) > 0$ and $m-k+3/2 \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$.

Hence

$$\int_0^{\infty} s^{l-1} f(s) ds = \frac{\Gamma(2m+l) \Gamma(l) \Gamma(1-l)}{\Gamma(m-k+l+1/2)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{l-1} \varphi(t) dt,$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(2m+l) > 0$, $1 > \operatorname{Re}(l) > 0$ and $m-k+3/2 \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$

If we apply the MELLIN'S inversion formula [7] to the integral

$$\int_0^{\infty} t^{l-1} \varphi(t) dt = \frac{\Gamma(m-k+l+1/2)}{\Gamma(2m+l) \Gamma(l) \Gamma(1-l)} \vartheta(l),$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{2} [\varphi(t+) + \varphi(t-)] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-i\tau}^{c+i\tau} \frac{\Gamma(m-k+l+1/2)}{\Gamma(2m+l) \Gamma(l) \Gamma(1-l)} t^{-l} \vartheta(l) dl,$$

where

$$\vartheta(l) = \int_0^{\infty} s^{l-1} f(s) ds$$

provided that

$$(i) \quad c^{c-1} \varphi(x) \in L(0, \infty),$$

$$(ii) \quad x^{l-1} f(x) \in L(0, \infty) \quad (l = c \pm i\tau, \quad -\infty < \tau < \infty),$$

(iii) $\varphi(x)$ is of bounded variation in the neighbourhood of the point $x = t$ ($t > 0$).

To justify the change in the order of integration, let

$$A(s) = s^{l-2} \int_0^s {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 2m+1, 1 \\ m-k+3/2 \end{matrix}; -\frac{t}{s} \right] \varphi(t) dt,$$

and

$$B(t) = \varphi(t) \int_0^{\infty} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 2m+1, 1 \\ m-k+3/2 \end{matrix}; -\frac{t}{s} \right] ds.$$

We see that $A(s)$ is uniformly convergent in $s \geq 0$, provided that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\rho + 1) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(l - 1 + \rho) \geq 0,$$

since

$${}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 2m+1, 1 \\ m-k+3/2 \end{matrix}; -v \right] = O(1) \quad (v \rightarrow 0).$$

Also $B(t)$ is uniformly convergent in $t \geq 0$, provided that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\rho) \geq 0, \quad 1 > \operatorname{Re}(l) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(2m+l) > 0, \quad m-k+3/2 \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots,$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 2m+1, 1 \\ m-k+3/2 \end{matrix}; -v \right] &= O(v^{-2m-1}) && (\operatorname{Re}(m) < 0, v \rightarrow \infty) \\ &= O(v^{-1}) && (\operatorname{Re}(m) > 0, v \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Further we consider the integral

$$\int_T^{\infty} |\varphi(t)| dt \int_{T'}^{\infty} \left| s^{l-2} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 2m+1, 1 \\ m-k+3/2 \end{matrix}; -t/s \right] \right| ds,$$

where T and T' are large. This integral does not exceed a constant multiple of

$$\int_T^{\infty} |e^{-t^v}| dt \int_{T'}^{\infty} |s^{l-2}| ds$$

which tends to zero if $\operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(l) < 1$.

3. — Particular cases.

(1) If $k + m = 1/2$, we have:

Theorem B: If

$$\chi(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{s+t} \varphi(t) dt,$$

then

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{2} [\varphi(t+) + \varphi(t-)] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-i\tau}^{c+i\tau} \frac{1}{\Gamma(l) \Gamma(1-l)} t^{-l} \vartheta_1(l) dl,$$

where

$$\vartheta_1(l) = \int_0^{\infty} s^{l-1} \chi(s) ds$$

provided that conditions (i) to (iv) of Theorem A hold and $1 > \text{Re}(l) > 0$.

If $\varphi(t)$ is continuous (7) takes the form

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-i\tau}^{c+i\tau} \frac{1}{\Gamma(l) \Gamma(1-l)} t^{-l} \vartheta_1(l) dl.$$

(2) If $k - m = 1/2$, $2m + 1 = \sigma$ we have:

Theorem C: If

$$f_1(s) = \Gamma(\sigma) s^{\sigma-1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(s+t)^{\sigma}} \varphi(t) dt,$$

then

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{2} [\varphi(t+) + \varphi(t-)] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-i\tau}^{c+i\tau} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma-1+l) \Gamma(1-l)} t^{-l} \vartheta_2(l) dl,$$

where

$$\vartheta_2(l) = \int_0^{\infty} s^{l-1} f_1(s) ds,$$

provided that conditions (i) to (iv) of Theorem A hold and

$$(v) \quad 1 > \operatorname{Re}(l) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(l + \sigma - 1) > 0.$$

If $\varphi(t)$ is continuous, result (8) becomes

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-i\tau}^{c+i\tau} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma-1+l) \Gamma(1-l)} t^{-l} \vartheta_2(l) dl.$$

4. — We will now give an example each for the verification of the theorems given above.

Example A. Let us take

$$\varphi(t) = t^{\mu/2} Y_\nu(t^{1/2}).$$

Then [3, p. 118, (52)]

$$\begin{aligned} f(s) &= \frac{\Gamma(2m+1)}{\Gamma(m-k+3/2)} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{\infty} P \left[\begin{matrix} 2m+1, 1 \\ m-k+3/2 \end{matrix}; -\frac{t}{s} \right] \varphi(t) dt = \\ &= 2^{1/2} s^{(\mu-1/2)/2} G_{35}^{41} \left(\frac{s}{4} \left| \begin{matrix} 1/4 - \mu/2, m-k+3/4 - \mu/2, -1/4 - \nu/2 \\ 1/4 + \nu/2, 1/4 - \nu/2, 2m+1/4 - \mu/2, 1/4 - \mu/2, -1/4 - \nu/2 \end{matrix} \right. \right), \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\mu + 1/2) > |\operatorname{Re}(\nu)| - 3/2$, $\operatorname{Re}(\mu + 1/2) < 2 \cdot \operatorname{Re}(2m+1)$, $\operatorname{Re}(\mu + 1/2) < 2$; and [2, p. 337, (14)]

$$\vartheta(l) = \int_0^{\infty} s^{l-1} f(s) ds = 2^{2l+\mu} \int_0^{\infty} u^{l+\mu/2-5/4}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot G_{35}^{41} \left(u \left| \begin{matrix} 1/4 - \mu/2, m-k+3/4 - \mu/2, -1/4 - \nu/2 \\ 1/4 + \nu/2, 1/4 - \nu/2, 2m+1/4 - \mu/2, 1/4 - \mu/2, -1/4 - \nu/2 \end{matrix} \right. \right) du = \\ &= 2^{2l+\mu} \frac{\Gamma(l+\mu/2+\nu/2) \Gamma(l+\mu/2-\nu/2) \Gamma(2m+l) \Gamma(l) \Gamma(1-l)}{\Gamma(-l+\nu/2-\mu/2+3/2) \Gamma(m-k+l+1/2) \Gamma(l-\nu/2+\mu/2-1/2)}, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $-\min \operatorname{Re} (1/4 + \nu/2, 1/4 - \nu/2, 2m + 1/4 - \mu/2, 1/4 - \mu/2) < \operatorname{Re} (l + \mu/2 - 1/4) < 1 - \max \operatorname{Re} (1/4 - \mu/2, m + k + 3/4 - \mu/2, -1/4 - \nu/2)$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(m-k+l+1/2)}{\Gamma(2m+l) \Gamma(l) \Gamma(1-l)} t^{-l} \vartheta(l) dl = \\ & = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} 2^{2l+\mu} \frac{\Gamma(l+\mu/2+\nu/2) \Gamma(l+\mu/2-\nu/2)}{\Gamma(-l+\nu/2-\mu/2+3/2) \Gamma(l-\nu/2+\mu/2-1/2)} t^{-l} dl = t^{\mu/2} Y_\nu(t^{1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

since [1, p. 219, (46)]

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\mu/2} Y_\nu(x^{1/2}) &= 2^\mu G_{13}^{20} \left(\frac{x}{4} \left| \begin{matrix} \mu/2 - \nu/2 - 1/2 \\ \mu/2 - \nu/2, \mu/2 + \nu/2, \mu/2 - \nu/2 - 1/2 \end{matrix} \right. \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} 2^{2l+\mu} \frac{\Gamma(l+\mu/2+\nu/2) \Gamma(l+\mu/2-\nu/2)}{\Gamma(-l+\nu/2-\mu/2+3/2) \Gamma(l-\nu/2+\mu/2-1/2)} x^{-l} dl, \end{aligned}$$

by definition of G function.

Example B. Let us take $\varphi(t) = t^\mu J_\nu(t^{1/2})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(s) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{s+t} \varphi(t) dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{s+t} t^\mu J_\nu(t^{1/2}) dt = \\ &= 2^{2\mu+2} s^{-1} G_{13}^{21} \left(\frac{s}{4} \left| \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \mu+1+\nu/2, 1, \mu+1-\nu/2 \end{matrix} \right. \right), \end{aligned}$$

taking a particular case of the result [3, p. 81, (6)]

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty x^{\delta-1/2} {}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; -\lambda^2 x^2) J_\nu(xy) (xy)^{1/2} dx = \\ &= \frac{2^\delta \Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} y^{-\delta-1/2} G_{21}^{31} \left(\frac{y^2}{4\lambda^2} \left| \begin{matrix} 1, \gamma \\ (1+\delta+\nu/2), \alpha, \beta, (1+\delta-\nu/2) \end{matrix} \right. \right) \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\text{Re}(2\mu + \nu + 2) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(s) > 0$; and [2, p. 337, (14)]

$$\vartheta_1(l) = \int_0^\infty s^{l-1} \chi(s) ds = 2^{2l+2\mu} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + \nu/2 + l) \Gamma(l) \Gamma(1-l)}{\Gamma(-\mu + \nu/2 - l + 1)}$$

provided that $\min \text{Re}(\mu + 1 + \nu/2, 1) > \text{Re}(1-l) > 0$.

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\vartheta_1(l)}{\Gamma(l) \Gamma(1-l)} t^{-l} dl = \frac{2^{2\mu}}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} 2^{2l} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + \nu/2 + l)}{\Gamma(-\mu + \nu/2 - l + 1)} t^{-l} dl = t^\mu J_\nu(t^{1/2}),$$

since [1, p. 219, (44)]

$$t^\mu J_\nu(t^{1/2}) = 2^{2\mu} G_{02}^{10} \left(\frac{t}{4} \middle| \frac{1}{2} \nu + \mu, \mu - \frac{1}{2} \nu \right) = \frac{2^{2\mu}}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} 2^{2l} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + \nu/2 + l)}{\Gamma(-\mu + \nu/2 - l + 1)} t^{-l} dl$$

by definition of G function.

Example C. Let us take

$$\varphi(t) = e^{-pt}, \quad \text{Re}(p) > 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(s) &= \Gamma(\sigma) s^{\sigma-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(s+t)^\sigma} \varphi(t) dt = \Gamma(\sigma) s^{\sigma-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(s+t)^\sigma} e^{-pt} dt = \\ &= \Gamma(\sigma) (ps)^{\sigma/2-1} e^{ps/2} W_{\sigma/2, (\sigma-1)/2}(ps) \end{aligned}$$

taking a particular case of the result [5]

$$W_{k,m}(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} e^{-z/2} z^k \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{c-1} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 1/2 - k + m, & 1/2 - k - m \\ c \end{matrix}; -\frac{t}{2} \right] dt$$

provided that $|\arg s| < \pi$; and [4]

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta_2(l) &= \int_0^\infty s^{l-1} f_1(s) ds = \Gamma(\sigma) \int_0^\infty s^{l-1} (ps)^{\sigma/2-1} e^{ps/2} W_{\sigma/2, (\sigma-1)/2}(ps) ds = \\ &= p^{-l} \Gamma(l) \Gamma(1-l) \Gamma(\sigma-1+l)\end{aligned}$$

provided that $\operatorname{Re}(2l + \sigma) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(2l + 1 - \sigma) > 0$.

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{t^{-l} \vartheta_2(l)}{\Gamma(\sigma-1+l) \Gamma(1-l)} dl = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma(l) (pt)^{-l} dl = e^{-pt}.$$

I am indebted to Dr. K. M. SAKSENA for guidance and help in the preparation of this paper.

References.

1. BATEMAN Manuscript Project, *Higher transcendental functions*, Vol. 1, McGraw-Hill, New York 1953.
2. BATEMAN Manuscript Project, *Tables of integral transforms*, Vol. 1, McGraw-Hill, New York 1954.
3. BATEMAN Manuscript Project, *Tables of integral transforms*, Vol. 2, McGraw-Hill, New York 1954.
4. A. ERDELYI, *Infinite integrals involving Whittaker functions*, J. Indian Math. Soc. 3 (1938-39), 169-181.
5. C. S. MEIJER, *Über die Integraldarstellungen der Wittakerschen und Besselschen Funktionen*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (2) 18 (1934), 35-37.
6. H. POLLARD, *On Stieltjes integral equation*, Ann. of Math. 46 (1945), 83-87.
7. E. C. TITCHMARSH, *The theory of functions*, University Press, Oxford 1939 (cf. p. 46).
8. R. S. VARMA, *On a generalization of Laplace integral*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India (Sect. A) 20 (1951), 209-216.