CHRISTOPH J. NEUGEBAUER (*)

III. - A Further Extension of a Cyclic Additivity Theorem of a Surface Integral. (**)

Introduction.

This is the third and last paper in a series of three papers and deals with an application of the theory developed in [7] to a surface integral. The papers [7], [8] will be referred to with Roman numerals I, II, respectively, followed, if necessary, by Arabic numerals indicating the specific section in I or II.

Let A be an admissible set of E_2 (see [2; 5.1]), and let (T, A) be a continuous mapping from A into E_3 , where E_2 , E_3 are the Euclidean plane and the Euclidean three space, respectively. If L(T, A) denotes the Lebesgue area of (T, A) (see [2]), then for $L(T, A) < \infty$, L. Cesari [4, 2] has introduced a surface integral $J(T, A) = \int F d\sigma$ as a Weierstrass integral. In this paper a cyclic additivity theorem for J(T, A) will be established similar to the corresponding theorem for the Lebesgue area L(T, A) (see **H.12**).

The question of cyclic additivity of J(T,A) was first studied by J. Cecconi [1], who has proved the following theorem. If A=Q is the unit square, and if $(T, Q) = lm, \ m: Q \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{NS}, \ l: \mathfrak{NS} \to E_3$ is a monotone-light factorization of (T, Q), then J(T, Q) is weakly cyclicly additive, i. e.,

$$J(T, Q) = \sum J(l \ r_C \ m, \ Q), \qquad C \in \mathfrak{Nb},$$

where r_C is the monotone retraction from \mathfrak{I} onto a proper cyclic element C of \mathfrak{I} of \mathfrak{I} .

^(*) Address: Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana, U. S. A..

^(**) Received September 9, 1955.

If (T, Q) = sf, $f: Q \to \mathfrak{R}$, $s: \mathfrak{R} \to E_3$ is an unrestricted factorization of (T, Q) (see [6]), then J(T, Q) is also strongly cyclicly additive, i. e.,

(2)
$$J(T, Q) = \sum' J(s r_C f, Q)$$

where \sum' extends over all proper cyclic elements C of \mathfrak{I} for which $C \cap f(Q) \neq 0$. The formula (2) has been proved in $[\mathbf{9}]$.

Both formulas (1) and (2) are concerned with the unit square Q. In this paper Q is replaced by an admissible set, and the following further extension of (2) is proved. If (T, A) = sf, $f: A \to \mathfrak{Nis}^*$, $s: \mathfrak{Nis}^* \to E_3$, $\mathfrak{Nis}^* \subset \mathfrak{Nis}$, is an unrestricted factorization of (T, A) in the sense of **I.9**, then

(3)
$$J(T, A) = \sum J(s r_C f, G_C), \qquad C \in \mathcal{A},$$

where \mathcal{K} is the class of proper cyclic elements associated with (T, A) = sf, and where G_C is the set associated with $C \in \mathcal{K}$. For the above terminology the reader is referred to I.12.

The treatment of cyclic additivity of the surface integral J(T, A) and the Lebesgue area L(T, A) are somewhat different. The difference stems from the fact that J(T, A) need not be non-negative. From this point of view, the present paper is not a direct application of I. As will be seen, however, many proofs given in I can readily be modified to apply to J(T, A).

A Cyclic Additivity Theorem.

III.1. – In this paragraph we shall give the definition of an admissible set and those properties of the LEBESGUE area which are needed in the sequel.

Definition. A subset A of the Euclidean plane E_2 will be termed admissible provided one of the following cases holds. (a) A is a simply connected Jordan region; (b) A is a finitely connected Jordan region; (c) A is a finite union of disjoint regions of the type (a) or (b); (d) A is any open set in E_2 ; (e) A is any set open in a set of the type (a), (b), or (c). In particular A may be a figure F, i. e., a finite union of disjoint finitely connected polygonal regions. The reader is referred to [2; 5.1].

For (T, A) a continuous mapping from an admissible set into E_3 one can define the LEBESGUE area L(T, A) as in L. CESARI [2; 5.8].

- (i) If (T, A) is a continuous mapping from an admissible set A into E_3 , and if A_n (n = 1, 2, ...) is any sequence of admissible sets such that $A_n \subset A_{n+1} \subset A$, $A_n^0 \uparrow A^0$, then $L(T, A_n) \to L(T, A)$ as $n \to \infty$ (L. CESARI [2; 5.14 (iv)]).
- (ii) If an admissible set A can be written as the union A_i (i=1, 2, ...) of disjoint admissible sets with the property that each interior point of A is interior to one A_i , then $L(T, A) = \sum L(T, A_i)$ (L. CESARI [2; 5.14 (ii)]).
- III.2. Let A be an admissible set in E_2 and let (T, A) be a continuous mapping from A into E_3 such that $L(T, A) < \infty$. Then T: x = x(u, v), y = y(u, v), z = z(u, v), $(u, v) \in A$. Let us introduce the plane mappings T_1 : y = y(u, v), z = z(u, v); T_2 : z = z(u, v), x = x(u, v); T_3 : x = x(u, v), y = y(u, v), $(u, v) \in A$. The image of A under T_r lies in a Euclidean plane which we designate by E_{2r} (r = 1, 2, 3). For π a polygonal region in A, let us denote by π^* the counterclockwise oriented boundary curve of π . Let $O(p_r; T_r, \pi)$ be the topological index of a point $p_r \in E_{2r}$ with respect to $T_r(\pi^*)$ if $p_r \notin T_r(\pi^*)$. We set $O(p_r; T_r, \pi) = 0$ if $p_r \in T_r(\pi^*)$. We define according to L. CESARI [2] the following quantities:

$$\begin{split} v(T_r, \ \pi) &= \iiint O(p_r; \ T_r, \ \pi) \mid, \quad u(T_r, \ \pi) = \iint O(p_r; \ T_r, \ \pi), \qquad (r = 1, \ 2, \ 3), \\ u(T_r, \ \pi) &= \left[u(T_1, \ \pi)^2 + u(T_2, \ \pi)^2 + u(T_3, \ \pi)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{split}$$

where the integration in the above expressions is performed over the plane E_{2r} . Let V(T, A), $V(T_r, A)$ (r = 1, 2, 3) be the Geöcze area of the mappings (T, A), (T_r, A) (r = 1, 2, 3) (see [2; 9.1]). By [2; 24.1 (i)] we have the equality L(T, A) = V(T, A).

- III.3. Let X be a compact subset of E_3 , and let F(x, y, z, u, v, w) be a function defined for each $(x, y, z) \in X$ and for each triple $(u, v, w) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ satisfying, moreover, the following conditions:
- (1) F(x, y, z, u, v, w) is continuous for each $(x, y, z) \in X$ and for each triple $(u, v, w) \neq (0, 0, 0)$.
- (2) F(x, y, z, u, v, w) is positively homogeneous of degree one with respect to u, v, w, i. e.,

$$F(x, y, z, ku, kv, kw) = k \cdot F(x, y, z, u, v, w)$$

for each k > 0.

If we put F(x, y, z, 0, 0, 0) = 0 for each $(x, y, z) \in X$ we have as a consequence of (2) that F is also continuous at each point (x, y, z, 0, 0, 0) where $(x, y, z) \in X$.

Let (T, A) be a continuous mapping from an admissible set $A \subset E_2$ into E_3 , and assume that $T(A) \subset X$ and $L(T, A) < \infty$. Let T_r (r = 1, 2, 3) be the plane mappings introduced in **III.2**. For $[\pi_k \ (k = 1, ..., n)]$ a finite system of non-overlapping polygonal regions in A let us consider the following nonnegative indices with respect to (T, A):

$$m = \max_{r=1,2,3} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} T_r(\pi_k^*) \right|, \qquad \delta = \max_{k=1,...,n} \operatorname{diam} \left[T(\pi_k) \right],$$

$$\mu = \max \left[V(T, A) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} u(T, \pi_k), \quad V(T_r, A) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} |u(T_r, \pi_k)| \quad (r = 1, 2, 3) \right]$$

In view of the hypothesis $L(T, A) < \infty$, it is possible to determine for $\varepsilon > 0$ given, a system of non-overlapping polygonal regions $[\pi_k \ (k = 1, ..., n)]$ in A with indices less than ε with respect to (T, A) (L. Cesari [2; 22.4 (i)]). Select a point (u_k, v_k) from each π_k and consider the sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} F[x(u_k, v_k), y(u_k, v_k), z(u_k, v_k), u(T_1, \pi_k), u(T_2, \pi_k), u(T_3, \pi_k)].$$

L. CESARI [4, 2] has shown that

$$\lim_{m,\delta,\mu\to 0}\quad \sum_{k=1}^n F[\quad \dots\quad]\;,$$

exists and is finite, and we shall denote this limit by J(T, A) or $\int_{(T, A)} F d\sigma$.

III.4. – In the following three paragraphs we will discuss three lemmas for J(T, A), in nature similar to those for the LEBESGUE area mentioned in III.1.

Lemma. Let (T, A) be a continuous mapping from an admissible set $A \subset E_2$ into E_3 such that $L(T, A) < \infty$ and $T(A) \subset X$. Assume there is a finite number of disjoint admissible sets A_i (i = 1, ..., t) with $A_i \subset A$. If $L(T, A) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} L(T, A_i)$ and $L(T_r, A) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} L(T_r, A_i)$ (r = 1, 2, 3), where T_r (r = 1, 2, 3) are the plane mappings introduced in III.2, then $J(T, A) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} J(T, A_i)$.

Proof. For each i, let $\{S_n^i\}$ be a sequence defined as follows. For each i, S_n^i is a system of a finite number of non-overlapping polygonal regions $\pi \in A_i$ with indices m_n^i , δ_n^i , μ_n^i with respect to (T, A_i) such that m_n^i , δ_n^i , $\mu_n^i \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. For each n, let $S_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^t S_n^i$. Then S_n is a finite system of non-overlapping polygonal regions in A. In view of the hypothesis $L(T, A) = \sum_{i=1}^t L(T, A_i)$, $L(T_r, A) = \sum_{i=1}^t L(T_r, A_i)$ (r = 1, 2, 3), and the fact that L(T, A) = V(T, A), we conclude that the indices m_n , δ_n , μ_n of S_n with respect to (T, A) satisfy the following relation:

(1)
$$m_n \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^t m_n^i, \qquad \delta_n = \max \left[\delta_n^i \ (i = 1, ..., t) \right], \qquad \mu_n \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^t \mu_n^i.$$

Hence:

$$(2)$$
 $m_n, \delta_n, \mu_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$

Select now a point in each polygonal region $\pi \in S_n$ and form

(3)
$$\sum_{\pi \in S_n} F[\dots] = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{\pi \in S_i^t} F[\dots],$$

where the argument within the brackets is given by III.3. Letting $n \to \infty$ we finally infer

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum\limits_{\pi \,\in\, S_n} \,F[\quad \dots \quad] \,\rightarrow\, J(T,\ A)\;, \\ \\ \sum\limits_{\pi \,\in\, S_n^i} \,F[\quad \dots \quad] \,\rightarrow\, J(T,\ A_i) \qquad (i\,=\,1,\,\dots,\ t)\;, \end{array} \right.$$

and hence, in view of (3),

(5)
$$J(T, A) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} J(T, A_i).$$

III.5. – Lemma. Let (T, A) be a continuous mapping from an admissible set $A \subset E_2$ into E_3 such that $T(A) \subset X$ and $L(T, A) < \infty$. Assume there is a sequence of admissible sets A_j (j = 1, 2, ...) with the property that $A_j \subset A_{j+1} \subset A$, $A_j^0 \uparrow A^0$. Then $J(T, A_j) \to J(T, A)$ as $j \to \infty$.

Proof. From III.1 (i):

(1)
$$\begin{cases} L(T,\ A_j) \to L(T,\ A) & \text{as } j \to \infty \,, \\ L(T_r,\ A_j) \to L(T_r,\ A) & \text{as } j \to \infty \quad (r = 1,\ 2,\ 3) \,, \\ L(T,\ A_j) \leqslant L(T,\ A) & (j = 1,\ 2,...) \,, \\ L(T_r,\ A_j) \leqslant L(T_r,\ A) & (r = 1,\ 2,\ 3;\ j = 1,\ 2,...) \,. \end{cases}$$

For each j, choose a finite system S_j of non-overlapping simple polygonal regions $\pi \in A_j$ with indices m'_j , δ'_j , μ'_j with respect to (T, A_j) subject to the following conditions:

(2)
$$m'_j, \ \delta'_j, \ \mu'_j \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty.$$

(3) If we select a point in each $\pi \in S_j$ $(j=1,2,\ldots)$ and form the sum $\sum_i = \sum_{\pi \in S_j} F[\quad \ldots \quad]$ as in III.3, then $|J(T,A_j) - \sum_i| \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$.

Now, for each j, S_j is also a finite system of non-overlapping polygonal regions in A. Hence there is associated with each S_j a set of indices m_j , δ_j , μ_j with respect to (T, A). We assert that

(4)
$$m_i, \ \delta_i, \ \mu_i \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty$$
.

Since $m_i = m'_j$, $\delta_i = \delta'_j$, we have m_i , $\delta_i \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. To prove that $\mu_i \to 0$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. From (1) we have an integer I' > 0 such that

(5)
$$\begin{cases} 0 \leqslant L(T, A) - L(T, A_{i}) = V(T, A) - V(T, A_{i}) < \varepsilon/2, \\ 0 \leqslant L(T_{r}, A) - L(T_{r}, A_{i}) = V(T_{r}, A) - V(T_{r}, A_{i}) < \varepsilon/2, \end{cases}$$
 $(r = 1, 2, 3)$

for all j > I'. In view of (2) there is an integer I'' > 0 with the property that

(6)
$$\mu_{j}^{'} < \varepsilon/2$$
 for all $j > I''$.

Let $I = \max[I', I'']$. Let j be any integer greater than I. By definition (see III.3) we have:

$$\mu'_{j} = \max [C_{j}, C_{jr} \ (r = 1, 2, 3)], \qquad \mu_{j} = \max [C, C_{r} \ (r = 1, 2, 3)],$$

where $C_j = V(T, A_j) - \sum_{\pi \in S_j} u(T, \pi)$, and similar identifications for $C_{j\tau}$ (r = 1, 2, 3), C_j , and $C_{rj\tau} = (r = 1, 2, 3)$.

Since $C \geqslant C_i$, $C_r \geqslant C_{ir}$ (r = 1, 2, 3) we have $\mu_i \geqslant \mu'_i$. Suppose now that

(7)
$$\mu_{i} - \mu'_{i} \geqslant \varepsilon/2.$$

The cases where $\mu_i = C$, $\mu'_j = C_j$; $\mu_i = C_r$, $\mu'_j = C_{jr}$, (r = 1, 2, 3) are excluded, since in each case by (5) $\mu_j - \mu'_j < \varepsilon/2$. Let us define τ_j as follows. If $\mu_j = C$, let $\tau_j = C_j$; if $\mu_j = C_r$, let $\tau_j = C_{jr}$ (r = 1, 2, 3). Then from (5)

$$(8) 0 \leqslant \mu_j - \tau_j < \varepsilon/2 .$$

Hence subtracting (8) from (7), $\mu_{i} - \mu'_{j} - \mu_{i} + \tau_{i} > 0$ or $\tau_{i} > \mu'_{j}$, a contradiction. Hence $\mu_{i} - \mu'_{j} < \varepsilon/2$, and since $\mu'_{i} < \varepsilon/2$ from (6), we have $\mu_{i} < \varepsilon$ for all i > I. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, (4) follows.

From the definition (see III.3), we have $\sum_{i} \to J(T, A)$ as $j \to \infty$. From (3) we finally infer $J(T, A_i) \to J(T, A)$ as $j \to \infty$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

III.6. – Lemma: There is a constant M>0 such that $|J(T,A)| \leq M \cdot L(T,A)$, where T is any continuous mapping from an admissible set $A \subset E_2$ into E_3 for which $L(T,A) < \infty$ and $T(A) \subset X$.

Proof. Since F(x, y, z, u, v, w) is continuous on X and since X is compact, we have a constant M > 0 such that

(1) |F(x, y, z, u, v, w)| < M for $(x, y, z) \in X$ and for all (u, v, w) for which $u^2 + v^2 + w^2 = 1$. Let S_n be a finite system of non-overlapping simple polygonal regions $\pi \in A$ with indices m_n , δ_n , μ_n with respect to (T, A). In view of L. Cesari [2; 21.3 (i), 22.4 (i)] there is a sequence $\{S_n\}$ such that

(2)
$$m_n, \delta_n, \mu_n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

(3)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} u(T, \pi) = L(T, A).$$

Let us select a point (u, v) from each $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and consider the sum (see III.3)

(4)
$$\sum_{\pi \in S_n} F[x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v), u_1, u_2, u_3] = T_n,$$

23. - Rivista di Matematica.

where $u_r = u(T_r, \pi)$ (r = 1, 2, 3). We may assume that $(u_1, u_2, u_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)$. In view of the homogeneity of F, (4) becomes

(5)
$$T_n = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} u(T, \pi) \cdot F[x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v), a_1, a_2, a_3],$$

where $a_r = u_r/u$ (r = 1, 2, 3). Since $a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = 1$, we infer from (1)

(6)
$$|T_n| \leqslant M \cdot \sum_{\pi \in S_n} u(T, \pi) .$$

From (3) and the definition of J(T, A), $|J(T, A)| \leq M \cdot L(T, A)$.

III.7. – Let (T, A) be a continuous mapping from an admissible set $A \subset E_2$ into E_3 such that $L(T, A) < \infty$ and $T(A) \subset X$. The approach followed to show that J(T, A) is cyclicly additive is similar to the approach used in II. However, as already noted in the introduction, the functional J(T, A) need not be non-negative and hence one cannot directly apply the result in I.15. The cyclic additivity of L(T, A) (see II.12) in conjunction with the lemmas III.4, 5, 6 will lead to a cyclic additivity formula of J(T, A).

III.8. – Let T be a continuous mapping from a Peano space P into a metric space P^* , written $T: P \to P^*$.

Definition: An unrestricted factorization of T consists of a Peano space $\mathfrak{D}|\mathfrak{S}$ and two continuous mappings s,f such that $f:P\to\mathfrak{D}|\mathfrak{S},\quad s:\mathfrak{D}|\mathfrak{S}\to P^*,$ T=sf.

III.9. - In accordance with the observation made in III.7, we proceed to establish the following theorem.

Theorem. Let (T, R) be a continuous mapping from a finitely connected J or d an region $R \subset E_2$ into E_3 such that $L(T, R) < \infty$ and $T(R) \subset X$. Let (T, R) = sf, $f: R \to \mathfrak{N}$, $s: \mathfrak{N} \to E_3$ be an unrestricted factorization of (T, R). If for C a proper cyclic element of \mathfrak{N} , we denote by r_C the monotone retraction from \mathfrak{N} onto C, then

(1)
$$J(T, R) = \sum_{\alpha} J(s r_{\alpha} f, R),$$

where \sum' denotes the summation extended over all $C \subset \mathfrak{N}$ for which $C \cap f(R) \neq 0$.

Proof. From II.8, we have

(2)
$$L(T, R) = \sum L(s r_C f, R), \qquad C \in \mathfrak{I}_{\omega}.$$

With this additional formula, the proof of (1) can be carried out by an entirely analogous procedure as in [9].

III.10. – The definition of an unrestricted factorization of a mapping given in **III.8** does not apply to continuous mappings (T, A) from an admissible set $A \subset E_2$ into E_3 , since A need not be a Peano space. Hence we use the definition already stated in **I.9** and **II.10**.

Definition: An unrestricted factorization of a mapping (T, A) as above consists of a Peano space \mathfrak{IG} , a subset \mathfrak{IG} * of \mathfrak{IG} , and two continuous mappings s, f such that

$$f: A \to \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{G}^*,$$

(2)
$$s: \mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}^* \to E_3$$
,

$$(3) T = sf.$$

We shall write $(T, A) = sf, f: A \to \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{G}^*, s: \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{G}^* \to E_3, \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{G}^* \subset \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{G}$.

The remarks in I.9 as well as the Remark in II.11 should be observed. In particular, as we have seen in II.11, there is always a *trivial* unrestricted factorization of a mapping (T, A).

III.11. - The following lemma corresponds to the lemma in I.10 and is proved by the same method.

Lemma. Let (T, R) be a continuous mapping from a finitely connected Jordan region R into E_3 such that $L(T, R) < \infty$ and $T(R) \subset X$. Let (T, R) = sf, $f: R \to \mathfrak{Olo}^*$, $s: \mathfrak{Olo}^* \to E_3$, $\mathfrak{Olo}^* \subset \mathfrak{Olo}$, be an unrestricted factorization of (T, R). Then, if $s(\mathfrak{Olo}^*) \subset X$, we have

(1)
$$J(T, R) = \sum^* J(s r_C f, R),$$

where \sum^* denotes the summation over all proper cyclic elements C of \mathfrak{IK} for which $r_C \cdot f(R) \subset \mathfrak{IK}^*$.

Proof. In view of III.9, the proof is entirely analogous to the proof in I.10. However, some care should be taken concerning an interchange in the order of summation which was justified in I.10 since the functional Φ considered there is non-negative. Since the surface integral J(T, A) need not be non-negative, the following observation is in order.

Remark. Let (T_i, A) (i = 0, 1, 2, ...) be a sequence of continuous mappings from an admissible set $A \subset E_2$ into E_3 such that for each i, $L(T_i, A) < \infty$ and $T_i(A) \subset X$. Assume we have the following additivity formulas

$$J(T_0, A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} J(T_i, A), \qquad L(T_0, A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L(T_i, A).$$

Then the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} J(T_i, A)$ converges absolutely. This is a simple consequence of **III.6**; namely, by **III.6**, there is a constant M > 0 such that, for each i, $|J(T_i, A)| \leq M \cdot L(T_i, A)$. Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |J(T_i, A)| \leqslant M \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L(T_i, A) = M \cdot L(T_0, A) < \infty.$$

III.12. – Let A be an admissible set in E_2 , and let G be a component of A. Then $G \cap A^0 \neq 0$. This follows readily from **III.1**. If G is a component of A, then G is open in A, and hence G is admissible. But then $G^0 \neq 0$, and since $G^0 \subset A^0$, the result follows:

Let (T, A) be a continuous mapping from an admissible set $A \subset E_2$ into E_3 , and let (T, A) = sf, $f: A \to \mathfrak{Olb}^*$, $s: \mathfrak{Olb}^* \to E_3$, $\mathfrak{Olb}^* \subset \mathfrak{Olb}$, be an unrestricted factorization of (T, A) (see III.10). From I.11 we have that for a component G of A either $r_C \cdot f(G)$ is disjoint with \mathfrak{Olb}^* or else lies entirely in \mathfrak{Olb}^* , where r_C is the monotone retraction from \mathfrak{Olb} onto a proper cyclic element C of \mathfrak{Olb} .

According to **I.12**, we introduce the following terminology. Let \mathcal{K} be the class of proper cyclic elements C of \mathfrak{IK} for which there exists at least one component G of A such that $r_C \cdot f(G) \subset \mathfrak{IK}^*$. For each $C \in \mathcal{K}$, we denote by G_C the union of all components G of A satisfying $r_C \cdot f(G) \subset \mathfrak{IK}^*$. Since G_C is open in A, G_C is an admissible set.

We shall term \mathcal{K} the class of proper cyclic elements associated with (T, A) = sf, and we shall term G_C the set associated with $C \in \mathcal{K}$.

In I.13 a series of lemmas were proved concerning the class $\mathcal K$ and the set G_C . For convenient reference we restate the results.

Lemma 1. Let A' be an admissible subset of A. Then (T, A') admits of an unrestricted factorization of the form (T, A') = sf, $f: A' \to \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{S}^*$, $s: \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{S}^* \to E_3$, $\mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{S}^* \subset \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{S}$. Let \mathfrak{K}' be the class of proper cyclic elements associated with (T, A') = sf. Then a set G'_C is associated with $C \in \mathfrak{K}'$ if and only if G'_C is of the form $G_C \cap A'$.

Lemma 2. Let A_i (i=1, 2, ...) be admissible subsets of A such that $\bigcup A_i^0 = A^0$. For each i, (T, A_i) admits of an unrestricted factorization $(T, A_i) = sf$, $f: A_i \to \mathfrak{Olo}^*$, $s: \mathfrak{Olo}^* \to E_3$, $\mathfrak{Olo}^* \subset \mathfrak{Olo}$. Let \mathfrak{K}_i be the class of proper cyclic elements associated with $(T, A_i) = sf$. Then $\bigcup \mathfrak{K}_i = \mathfrak{K}$. Moreover, if $A_i \subset A_{i+1}$ (i=1, 2, ...), then $\mathfrak{K}_i \subset \mathfrak{K}_{i+1}$.

III.13. – Let (T, A) be a continuous mapping from an admissible set $A \subset E_2$ into E_3 such that $T(A) \subset X$ and $L(T, A) < \infty$. Let (T, A) = sf, $f: A \to \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{G}}^*$, $s: \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{G}}^* \to E_3$, $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{G}}^* \subset \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{G}}$ be an unrestricted factorization of (T, A) (see III.10). Then, if we denote by s' the mapping s restricted to $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{G}}' = f(A)$, we have (T, A) = s'f, $f: A \to \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{G}}'$, $s': \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{G}}' \to E_3$, $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{G}}' \subset \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{G}}$ and $s'(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{G}}) \subset X$. We can therefore assume in the sequel that the original unrestricted factorization satisfies the property $s(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{G}}^*) \subset X$.

III.14. - The following lemma is similar to the lemma proved in I.14.

Lemma. Let A be a finite union of disjoint finitely connected Jordan regions R_1, \ldots, R_n , and let (T, A) = sf, $f: A \to \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{G}^*$, $s: \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{G}^* \to E_3$, $\mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{G}^* \subset \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{G}$ be an unrestricted factorization of (T, A). If for C a proper cyclic element of $\mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{G}$, r_C denotes the monotone retraction from $\mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{G}$ onto C, then

(1)
$$J(T, A) = \sum J(s r_C f, G_C), \qquad C \in \mathcal{X},$$

where \mathcal{K} is the class of proper cyclic elements associated with (T, A) = sf, and where G_C is the set associated with $C \in \mathcal{K}$.

Proof. We first assume that $\mathcal{H} \neq 0$. For each i, the mapping (T, R_i) admits of an unrestricted factorization $(T, R_i) = sf$, $f: R_i \to \mathfrak{Olb}^*$, $s: \mathfrak{Olb}^* \to E_3$, $\mathfrak{Olb}^* \subset \mathfrak{Olb}$. If we denote by \mathcal{H}_i the class of proper cyclic elements associated with $(T, R_i) = sf$, then by III.12 (Lemma 2), $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}$. For each $C \in \mathcal{H}_i$, let n(C) be the integers among i = 1, ..., n for which $C \in \mathcal{H}_i$. If we set for $C \in \mathcal{H}_i$, $G_C^i = R_i \cap G_C$, then by III.12 (Lemma 1), G_C^i is the set associated with $C \in \mathcal{H}_i$. Since R_i is connected, $G_C^i = R_i$, and since

 $G_C = \bigcup_{i \in n(C)} G_C^i$, G_C is a finite union of disjoint finitely connected Jordan regions. In view of L. Cesari [2; 5.14 (ii)] and by III.4,

(2)
$$\begin{cases} J(T, A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} J(T, R_i), \\ J(s r_C f, G_C) = \sum_{i \in n(C)} J(s r_C f, G_C^i). \end{cases}$$

From III.11 we have now for each i, $1 \le i \le n$,

(3)
$$J(T, R_i) = \sum^* J(s \, r_C f, R_i),$$

where \sum^* denotes the summation over all proper cyclic elements C of \mathfrak{I} for which $r_C \cdot f(R_i) \subset \mathfrak{I}$. Using the terminology introduced in III.12, (3), becomes

(4)
$$J(T, R) = \sum J(s r_C f, G_C^i), \qquad C \in \mathfrak{F}_i$$

From (4) and (2) we obtain now:

(5)
$$J(T, A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{J}_{i}} J(s \, r_{C} f, G_{C}^{i}).$$

Since, for a given $C \in \mathcal{H}$, $C \in \mathcal{H}_i$ if and only if $i \in n(C)$, we can rewrite (5) in the form (see also the Remark in III.11):

(6)
$$J(T, A) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{J}_{i}} \sum_{i \in n(C)} J(s r_{C} f, G_{C}^{i}).$$

From (2) we infer (1).

The above proof was carried out under the assumption that $\mathcal{K} \neq 0$. If $\mathcal{K} = 0$, then it follows from (3) that $J(T, R_i) = 0$ (i = 1, ..., n) and from (2) that J(T, A) = 0. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

III.15. - We are now ready to state and prove our main result.

Theorem. Let (T, A) be a continuous mapping from an admissible set $A \subset E_2$ into E_3 such that $L(T, A) < \infty$ and $T(A) \subset X$ (see III.3). Let (T, A) = sf, $f: A \to \mathfrak{NS}^*$, $s: \mathfrak{NS}^* \to E_3$, $\mathfrak{NS}^* \subset \mathfrak{NS}$ be an unrestricted factorization of (T, A) for which $s(\mathfrak{NS}^*) \subset X$ (see III.13). If for C a proper cyclic element of \mathfrak{NS} ,

we denote by $r_{\mathcal{C}}$ the monotone retraction from $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{G}$ onto \mathcal{C} , then we have the following cyclic additivity formula :

(1)
$$J(T, A) = \sum J(s r_C f, G_C), \qquad C \in \mathcal{J}(s, C)$$

where \mathcal{K} is the class of proper cyclic elements associated with (T, A) = sf, and where G_C is the set associated with $C \in \mathcal{K}$ (see III.12).

Proof. We first assume that $\mathfrak{K}\neq 0$. Let F_n (n=1,2,...) be a sequence of figures (III.1) such that $F_n\subset F_{n+1}\subset A$, $F_n^0\uparrow A^0$ (see L. CESARI [2; 5.6]). The mapping (T,F_n) admits of an unrestricted factorization $(T,F_n)=sf$, $f\colon F_n\to \mathfrak{N}_G^*$, $s\colon \mathfrak{N}_G^*\to E_3$, $\mathfrak{N}_G^*\subset \mathfrak{N}_G^*$. Let \mathfrak{K}_n be the class of proper cyclic elements associated with $(T,F_n)=sf$. Then by III.12 (Lemma 2), $\mathfrak{K}_n\subset \mathfrak{K}_{n+1}$ (n=1,2,...) and $\bigcup \mathfrak{K}_n=\mathfrak{K}$. Hence for each $C\in \mathfrak{K}$, there is an integer N(C)>0 such that $C\in \mathfrak{K}_n$, n>N(C). By III.12 (Lemma 1), $G_C^n=G_C^n\cap F_n$ is the set associated with $C\in \mathfrak{K}_n$, n>N(C). The sequence G_C^n , n>N(C), satisfies the property that $G_C^n\subset G_C^{n+1}\subset G_C$, $G_C^{n0}\uparrow G_C^n$. Hence from III.5 we have the following relations:

(2)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} J(T, F_n) = J(T, A),$$

(3)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}J(s\;r_C\,f,\;G_C^n)=J(s\;r_C\,f,\;G_C),\qquad n>N(C)\;\;\text{for each}\;\;C\in\mathcal{K}.$$

Let us first assume that there is an infinite number of proper cyclic elements C_1, \ldots, C_j, \ldots in \mathcal{H} . From II.12,

(4)
$$L(T, A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) < \infty.$$

Hence, for $\varepsilon > 0$ given, there is an integer I > 0 such that

(5)
$$\sum_{i\geq j} L(s \; r_{C_i} f, \; G_{C_i}) < \varepsilon/(4M), \qquad \text{ for all } j > I,$$

where M is the constant of III.6. Let us fix $j_0 > I$.

There exists now an integer N > 0 such that

$$(6) \begin{cases} |J(T, A) - J(T, F_n)| < \varepsilon/4 & (n > N), \\ C_i \in \mathcal{K}_n & (i = 1, ..., j_0; n > N), \\ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j_0} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}^n) - \sum_{i=1}^{j_0} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \right| \leq \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j_0} \left| J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}^n) - J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \right| < \varepsilon/4 & (n > N). \end{cases}$$

For n > N, let $j_0(n)$ be the integers i greater than j_0 for which $C_i \in \mathcal{H}_n$. Then from (5):

(7)
$$\sum_{i \in j_{c}(n)} L(s \ r_{C_{i}} f, \ G_{C_{i}}^{n}) \leqslant \sum_{i \geqslant j_{c}} L(s \ r_{C_{i}} f, \ G_{C_{i}}) < \varepsilon/(4M).$$

Now, from (6), (7), III.6, and from III.14, for n > N,

$$\big| \, J(T, \ A) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} J(s \, \, r_{C_i} f, \ G_{C_i}) \, \big| \leqslant \big| \, J(T, \ A) - J(T, \ F_n) \, \big| \, + \,$$

$$+ \mid J(T, F_n) - \sum_{C \in \mathcal{H}_n} J(s \, r_C f, G_C^n) \mid + \mid \sum_{i=1}^{j_0} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}^n) - \sum_{i=1}^{j_0} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{C_i} f, G_{C_i}) \mid + \mid \int_{C_i} J(s \, r_{$$

$$+ \sum_{i \in j_0(n)} \left| J(s \; r_{C_i} f, \; G_{C_i}^n) \; \right| \; + \sum_{i > j_0} \left| J(s \; r_{C_i} f, \; G_{C_i}) \; \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \; + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \; + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \; + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \; = \varepsilon \; .$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, $J(T,\ A) = \sum J(s\ r_C\ f,\ G_C), \quad C \in \mathcal{K}$.

Now let us assume that the number of proper cyclic elements in \mathcal{K} is finite. Then, as above, $J(T, F_n) = \sum J(s \, r_C \, f, \, G_C^n), \quad C \in \mathcal{K}_n$, and for n large we have $\mathcal{K}_n = \mathcal{K}$. From (3), $J(T, A) = \sum J(s \, r_C \, f, \, G_C), \quad C \in \mathcal{K}$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{K} = 0$, $J(T, F_n) = 0$ for all n, and hence J(T, A) = 0. This completes the proof.

Bibliography.

- J. Cecconi, Sulla additività degli integrali sopra una superficie, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma 4 (1953), 41-67.
- 2. L. CESARI, Surface Area, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1956.
- 3. L. Cesari, La nozione di integrale sopra una superficie in forma parametrica, Ann. Scuola Norm. Super. Pisa (2) 13 (1944), 77-117.
- L. Cesari, Condizioni necessarie per la semicontinuità degli integrali sopra una superficie in forma parametrica, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 29 (1950), 199-224.
- L. Cesari, Condizioni sufficienti per la semicontinuità degli integrali sopra una superficie in forma parametrica, Ann. Scuola Norm. Super. Pisa (2) 14 (1948), 47-79.
- 6. E. J. MICKLE and T. RADÓ, On Cyclic Additivity Theorems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1949), 347-365.
- 7. C. J. NEUGEBAUER, A Cyclic Additivity Theorem of a Functional, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma 7 (1956), 33-49.
- C. J. Neugebauer, A Cyclic Additivity Theorem of the Lebesgue Area, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma 7 (1956), 000-000.
- C. J. Neugebauer, A Strong Cyclic Additivity Theorem of a Surface Integral, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma 6 (1955), 239-259.
- 10. T. RADÓ, Length and Area, Amer. Math. Soc. Col. Pub., Vol. 30, 1948.
- 11. G. T. WHYBURN, Analytic Topology, Amer. Math. Soc. Col. Pub., Vol. 27, 1942.

